



\mathcal{X} -INJECTIVE AND GORENSTEIN \mathcal{X} -INJECTIVE MODULES

C. Selvaraj and A. Umamaheswaran

Department of Mathematics

Periyar University

Salem - 636 011, Tamil Nadu, India

e-mail: selvavr@yahoo.com

ruthreswaran@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study the notion of Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective module with respect to its complete injective resolution and give its characterization, where $\mathcal{X}^\perp = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \{N \in R\text{-Mod} \mid N \text{ is finitely presented and } \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}\}$. We prove that (i) the class of all \mathcal{X} -injective modules and the class of all Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective modules are injectively resolving, respectively, (ii) if R is a coherent ring, then every R -module M has an \mathcal{X} -injective cover. Finally, we show that if $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules with M_2 and M_3 Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules, then M_1 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(G', M_1) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathcal{X}\text{-injective } R\text{-modules } G'.$$

Received: August 6, 2014; Accepted: September 26, 2014

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E10, 18G10, 18G05.

Keywords and phrases: \mathcal{X} -injective module, Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective cover, Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -flat module.

Communicated by E. Thandapani

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and all R -modules, if not specified otherwise, are left R -modules. $R\text{-Mod}$ denotes the category of left R -modules.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of left R -modules. Following [2], we say that a map $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{C} -precover of M , if the group homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(C', f): \text{Hom}_R(C', C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C', M)$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. A \mathcal{C} -precover $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ of M is called a \mathcal{C} -cover of M if f is right minimal. That is, $fg = f$ implies that g is an automorphism for each $g \in \text{End}_R(C)$. $\mathcal{C} \subseteq R\text{-Mod}$ is a precovering class (resp. covering class) provided that each module has a \mathcal{C} -precover (resp. \mathcal{C} -cover). Dually, we have the definition of \mathcal{C} preenvelope (resp. \mathcal{C} envelope).

Given a class \mathcal{C} of left R -modules, we write

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{N \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

$${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \{N \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

A class \mathcal{C} of left R -modules is said to be *injectively resolving* [5] if \mathcal{C} contains all injective modules and if given an exact sequence of left R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

with $A \in \mathcal{C}$, the conditions $B \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ are equivalent. The $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{X}$ -coresolution dimension of M , denoted by $\text{cores} \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{X}}(M)$, is defined to be the smallest nonnegative integer n such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(A, M) = 0$ for all R -modules $A \in \mathcal{X}$ (if no such n exists, set $\text{cores} \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{X}}(M) = \infty$).

The notion of Gorenstein injective module was introduced by Enochs and Jenda in [3]. An R -module M is called *Gorenstein injective* if there is an

exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ of injective R -modules such that $M = \ker(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$ and the functor $\text{Hom}_R(I, -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever I is an injective R -module.

In this paper, we define a class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid \text{there exists a finitely presented } R\text{-module } N \text{ such that } \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0\}$. It follows that we can define a class $\mathcal{X} = \{N \in \mathcal{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}\}$, where \mathcal{N} is the class of all finitely presented R -modules. We get $\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$, that is, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the class of all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules. Thus $({}^{\perp}\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a *cotorsion theory*.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove that the class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules is injectively resolving and if R is a coherent ring, then every R -module M has an \mathcal{X} -injective cover.

In the last section, we define a Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective module with respect to its complete injective resolution and give its characterization. Finally, we show that if $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules with M_2 and M_3 Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules, then M_1 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(G', M_1) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' .

2. Covers and Envelopes of \mathcal{X} -injective Modules

In this section, we define a class $\mathcal{X} = \{N \in \mathcal{N} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}\}$, where \mathcal{N} is the class of all finitely presented R -modules. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.1 [7]. A left R -module M is called \mathcal{X} -injective if $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, M) = 0$ for all left R -modules $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 2.2. *The class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of all \mathcal{X} -injective modules is closed under pure submodules.*

Proof. Let A be a pure submodule of an \mathcal{X} -injective module M . Then there is a pure exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/A \rightarrow 0$ and a functor $\text{Hom}_R(N, -)$ preserves this sequence is exact whenever N is finitely presented. This implies that the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M/A) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R(N, A) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is also exact for all $N \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A) = 0$ for all $N \in \mathcal{X}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Every R -module has an \mathcal{X} -injective preenvelope.*

Proof. Let M be an R -module. By [4, Lemma 5.3.12], there is a cardinal number \aleph_α such that for any R -homomorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow G$ with G an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module, there exists a pure submodule A of G such that $|A| \leq \aleph_\alpha$ and $\phi(M) \subset A$. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under direct products and by Proposition 2.2, A is \mathcal{X} -injective. Hence the theorem follows by [4, Proposition 6.2.1]. \square

Proposition 2.4. *The class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules is injectively resolving.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} M_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of left R -modules with $M_1 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. If $M_3 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$, then $M_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ since the class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under extension. Let $M_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $G \in \mathcal{X}$. By [5, Theorem 3.2.1(a)], G has a special $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -precover. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ with $A \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $K \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. We prove that M_3 is \mathcal{X} -injective, i.e., to prove that $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, M_3) = 0$. For this, it suffices to

extend any $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(K, M_3)$ to an element of $\text{Hom}_R(A, M_3)$. Clearly, K has $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -precover,

$$0 \rightarrow K' \xrightarrow{f} A' \xrightarrow{g} K \rightarrow 0,$$

where $K, K' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $A' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. As the class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under extensions, $A' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Since $\alpha \circ g : A' \rightarrow M_3$ with $A' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and M_1 an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module, then there exists $\beta : A' \rightarrow M_2$ such that $\psi \circ \beta = \alpha \circ g$. That is the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 \end{array}$$

Now, we define $\beta \upharpoonright_{\text{im}\phi} : A' \rightarrow \text{im}\phi$, where \upharpoonright is a restriction map. Then there exists $\gamma : K' \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\beta \upharpoonright_{\text{im}\phi}(f(K')) = \phi\gamma(K')$. Hence, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \xrightarrow{f} & A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The \mathcal{X} -injectivity of M_1 yields a homomorphism $\gamma_1 : A' \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_1 \circ f$. So for each $k' \in K'$, we get $(\beta \circ f)(k') = (\phi \circ \gamma)(k') = (\phi \circ (\gamma_1 \circ f))(k')$. Then there exists a map $\beta_1 \in \text{Hom}_R(K, M_2)$ such that $\beta = \beta_1 \circ g$ and we get $\alpha = \psi \circ \beta_1$. That is, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \xrightarrow{f} & A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & \swarrow \gamma_1 & \downarrow \beta & \swarrow \beta_1 & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since M_2 is \mathcal{X} -injective, there exists $\rho \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M_2)$ such that $\beta_1 = \rho \circ f$. Thus $\alpha = \psi \circ \beta_1 = \psi \circ (\rho \circ f)$, where $\psi \circ \rho \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M_3)$. Hence M_3 is \mathcal{X} -injective. \square

Proposition 2.5. *The class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is injectively resolving if and only if for every pure submodule A of M , M/A is also \mathcal{X} -injective.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is injectively resolving. Let $M \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and A be a pure submodule of M . By Proposition 2.2, A is \mathcal{X} -injective. From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/A \rightarrow 0$, we get M/A is \mathcal{X} -injective. Conversely, assume that for every $M \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and every pure submodule A of M , M/A is \mathcal{X} -injective. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules with $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. By the \mathcal{X} -injectivity of M_1 , we get $\text{im} f \subset M_2$ is also \mathcal{X} -injective. Thus $\text{im} f$ is pure in M_2 . By assumption, $M_2/\text{im} f \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Since $M_2/\text{im} f \cong M_3$, $M_3 \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Hence $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is injectively resolving since $E \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ for all injective R -modules E . \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a coherent ring. Then every R -module M has an \mathcal{X} -injective cover.*

Proof. Let M be an R -module with $|M| = \alpha$. Let κ be a cardinal as in [1, Theorem 5]. By [4, Lemma 5.3.12], we show that any homomorphism $G \rightarrow M$ with $G \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ factors through an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' with $|G'| \leq \kappa$.

Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(G, M)$ and $G \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. If $|G| \leq \kappa$, then the assertion holds by taking $G' = G$. So we may assume that $|G| \geq \kappa$. Let $K = \ker \phi$. Thus $|G/K| \leq \lambda$ since G/K can be embedded in M . By [1, Theorem 5], K contains a nonzero submodule H which is pure in G . It follows that H is \mathcal{X} -injective by Proposition 2.2. Hence G/H is \mathcal{X} -injective by Proposition 2.4.

If $|G/H| \leq \kappa$, then the desired result follows immediately since ϕ factors through G/H . Now, we assume that $|G/H| \geq \kappa$. Define a class $\mathcal{C} = \{C \mid H \leq C \leq K \text{ and } G/C \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}\}$. Since $H \in \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} is a nonempty class. Let $\{C_{\beta} \in \mathcal{C} \mid \beta \in I\}$ be a sequence of R -modules. Then we have $H \leq \bigcup C_{\beta} \leq K$ and $G/\bigcup C_{\beta} = G/\varinjlim C_{\beta} = \varinjlim (G/C_{\beta})$ is \mathcal{X} -injective since direct limit of \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules is \mathcal{X} -injective. Thus $\bigcup C_{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence G' is a maximal element of the class \mathcal{C} by the Zorn's Lemma.

Suppose $|G/G'| \geq \kappa$. Since $G' \subset K$, there exists $\gamma : G/G' \rightarrow M$ such that $\ker \gamma = K/G'$. Now, $|(G/G')/(K/G')| = |G/K| \leq \lambda$. By Lemma [1, Theorem 5], K/G' contains a nonzero submodule F/G' which is pure in G/G' . Then F/G' is \mathcal{X} -injective by Proposition 2.2. Therefore, $G/F \cong (G/G')/(F/G')$ is \mathcal{X} -injective by Proposition 2.5. This implies that $F \in \mathcal{C}$, which is a contradiction to the maximality of G' .

Finally, it is clear that G/G' is \mathcal{X} -injective and ϕ factors through G/G' . Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under direct sums. It follows that M has an \mathcal{X} -injective precover. Since R is a coherent ring, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed under direct limits. Therefore, M has an \mathcal{X} -injective cover. \square

3. Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective Modules

We define the following definition with respect to a complete injective resolution of a module:

Definition 3.1. An R -module M is called *Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective* if there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of injective R -modules such that $M = \ker(I^0 \rightarrow I^1)$ and such that $\text{Hom}_R(G, -)$ leaves the above sequence exact whenever G is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module.

Remark 3.2. (1) The following implications are straightforward. Every injective module is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective and every Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective is Gorenstein injective.

(2) If $\mathbb{I} : \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ is a sequence of injective R -modules and $\text{Hom}_R(G, -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever G is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module, then by symmetry, all the images, the kernels and the cokernels of \mathbb{I} are Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective.

(3) The class of Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules is closed under direct products.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. Then*

(1) $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, M) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G and all $i \geq 1$.

(2) *The injective dimension of M is zero or infinite.*

Proof. (1) Let $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be an injective resolution of G . By hypothesis, the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever G' is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. It follows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', M) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' and all $i \geq 1$ by definition.

(2) Assume that $\text{id}(M) < \infty$. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I^n \rightarrow 0$ of injective R -modules I^i such that $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever G' is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. It follows that M is injective. \square

Now we give some characterizations of Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent for an R -module M :*

(1) M is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective.

(2) M has an exact left \mathcal{X} -injective resolution and $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, M) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G and all $i \geq 1$.

(3) M has an exact left \mathcal{X} -injective resolution and $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, M) = 0$ for all R -modules G with $\text{cores} \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}}(G) < \infty$ and all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows by Lemma 3.3 and (2) \Rightarrow (3) holds by dimension shifting.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\phi: G_0 \rightarrow M$ be an \mathcal{X} -injective cover of M . Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_0 \xrightarrow{i} E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E an injective envelope of G_0 . By Proposition 2.4, L is \mathcal{X} -injective. Thus, there exists $\bar{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_R(E, M)$ such that $\phi = \bar{\phi}i$ since $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, M) = 0$. It follows that there is $\phi' \in \text{Hom}_R(E, G_0)$ such that $\phi\phi' = \bar{\phi}$ since ϕ is an \mathcal{X} -injective cover of M . Therefore, $\phi = \phi(\phi'i)$, and hence $\phi'i$ is an isomorphism. It follows that G_0 is injective. For any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G , it is easy to verify that $\text{Hom}_R(G, G_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \text{im}(f)) \rightarrow 0$ is exact since ϕ is an \mathcal{X} -injective cover of M . However, the exactness of $0 \rightarrow \ker(\phi) \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow \text{im}(\phi) \rightarrow 0$ yields the exact sequence $\text{Hom}_R(G, G_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \text{im}(\phi)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(G, \ker(\phi)) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(G, \ker(\phi)) = 0$. So $\ker(\phi)$ has an \mathcal{X} -injective cover $G_1 \rightarrow \ker(\phi)$ with G_1 injective by the proof above. Continuing this process, we can get a complex $\cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each G_i an injective module such that $\text{Hom}(G, -)$ preserves the exact sequence. Note that $\text{Ext}_R^i({}_R R, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\text{Ext}_0({}_R R, M) \cong M$ since M has an exact left \mathcal{X} -injective resolution. So the complex $\cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact. On the other hand, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ of with each G^i an injective module such that $\text{Hom}(G, -)$ preserves the exact sequence since $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, M) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G and all $i \geq 1$. Now, we get an exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ of injective R -modules with $M = \ker(G^0 \rightarrow G^1)$. So M is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an sequence of R -modules. If M_1 and M_3 are Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules, then so is M_2 . That is, the class of all Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective modules is closed under extensions.*

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

Lemma 3.6. *An R -module M is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective if and only if there exists an exact sequence of modules $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that I is injective and N is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective.*

Proof. The direct implication is clear by the definition of Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective module. Conversely, let

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} I \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

be an exact sequence of modules with I an injective module and N be a Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective module. Then for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' , we get $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.3, and hence we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ by using the long exact sequence $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(G', I) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(G', N)$. Since N is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective, there exists an exact sequence of injective R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{\beta} N \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

such that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever G' is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. From the sequences (1) and (2), we get an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha\beta} I \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

with each I_i and I are injective R -modules and such that the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ preserves the exact sequence whenever G' is an \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. Consider an injective resolution of M ,

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots \quad (4)$$

Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', M) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' and all $i \geq 1$, the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ preserves the above exact sequence (4). From the sequences (3) and (4), we get an exact sequence of injective R -modules

$$\dots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha\beta} I \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that $M = \ker(I^0 \rightarrow I^1)$ which remains exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' . So M is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective. \square

Theorem 3.7. *The class $\mathcal{GX}\mathcal{I}$ of Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules is injectively resolving.*

Proof. Consider an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ with M_1 a Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. If M_3 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective, then by Lemma 3.5, M_2 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective. If M_2 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective, then by Lemma 3.6, there exists a short exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ with I an injective R -module and N a Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective R -module. Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & N & \xlongequal{\quad} & N & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3.5, we get from the left vertical sequence that X is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective. Therefore, by the middle horizontal sequence and

Lemma 3.6, M_3 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective. This proves that the class $\mathcal{GX}\mathcal{I}$ is injectively resolving. \square

Corollary 3.8. *The class $\mathcal{GX}\mathcal{I}$ is closed under direct summands.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.7 and [6, Proposition 1.4]. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If M_2 and M_3 are Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective, then M_1 is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(G', M_1) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' .*

Proof. The direct implication follows by Lemma 3.3. We now prove the sufficiency. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} M_2 \xrightarrow{\beta} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of modules such that M_2 and M_3 are Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective and $\text{Ext}_R^1(G', M_1) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' . Then there exist exact sequences of R -modules

$$\mathbb{N} : \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathbb{M} : \cdots \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with each I_i and J_i injective, which remain exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' . It is easy to see that the homomorphism $\beta \in \text{Hom}(M_2, M_3)$ can be lifted to a chain map $\bar{\beta} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$. Define a class \mathcal{C} is a mapping cone of $\bar{\beta} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$. Since $\bar{\beta}$ is a quasi-isomorphism (both \mathbb{M} and \mathbb{N} are exact), the long exact sequence of homology for the mapping cone shows that \mathcal{C} is exact. Also, the sequence \mathcal{C} of modules remains exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' since both \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{M} are so. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \mathcal{A}: & \cdots & \longrightarrow & J_2 \oplus I_1 & \longrightarrow & J_1 \oplus I_0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{B}: & \cdots & \longrightarrow & J_2 \oplus I_1 & \longrightarrow & J_1 \oplus I_0 & \longrightarrow & J_0 \oplus N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
 \mathcal{D}: & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where $K' = \ker(J_0 \oplus N \rightarrow M)$. Clearly, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since the sequences \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} are exact, we get that \mathcal{A} is exact. Moreover, \mathcal{A} remains exact after applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' since both \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{D} are so. It is easy to see that there exists a homomorphism $k \in \text{Hom}_R(K, K')$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow k & & \downarrow i & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \longrightarrow & J_0 \oplus M_2 & \longrightarrow & M_3 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where $i \in \text{Hom}_R(M_2, J_0 \oplus M_2)$ is the canonical injection. By the five lemma, we get that k is monomorphic. By the snake lemma, we have $\text{im}(k) \cong \text{im}(i) = M_2$. Thus, the sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Moreover, this short exact sequence splits by assumption $\text{Ext}_R^1(J_0, M_1) = 0$. Hence $K' \cong J_0 \oplus M_1$. On the other hand, one can check that $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', M_1) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' and all $i \geq 1$, and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', K') \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(G', J_0 \oplus M_1) = 0$ for all \mathcal{X} -injective R -modules G' and all $i \geq 1$, the injective resolution

$$\mathbb{K}' : 0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of K' remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(G', -)$ for any \mathcal{X} -injective R -module G' . Assembling the sequences \mathbb{K}' and \mathcal{A} , we get the strongly complete injective resolution of K' ,

$$\cdots \rightarrow J_2 \oplus I_1 \rightarrow J_1 \oplus I_0 \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $K' \cong J_0 \oplus K$ is Gorenstein \mathcal{X} -injective, as desired. \square

Acknowledgement

The first author was partially supported by UGC Project grant No. 41-773/2012(SR). The second author was supported by UGC Non SAP BSR grant No. 11-105/2008(BSR).

References

- [1] I. Bican, R. El. Bashir and E. E. Enochs, All modules have flat covers, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), 385-390.
- [2] E. E. Enochs, Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, Israel J. Math. 39 (1981), 189-209.
- [3] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220 (1995), 611-633.
- [4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, Relative Homological Algebra, de Gruyter Exp. Math., Vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2000.
- [5] R. Göbel and J. Trlifaj, Approximations and Endomorphisms Algebras of Modules, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [6] H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189 (2004), 167-193.
- [7] L. Mao and N. Q. Ding, \mathcal{L} -injective hulls of modules, Bull. Aus. Math. Soc. 74 (2006), 37-44.
- [8] J. Xu, Flat covers of modules, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1634, Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1996.