



FUZZY PAIRWISE α -SEMI-IRRESOLUTE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Young Bin Im¹, Joo Sung Lee^{2,*} and Yung Duk Cho¹

¹College of Dharma
Dongguk University
Seoul 100-715, Korea
e-mail: philpen@dongguk.edu
joyd@dongguk.edu

²Department of Mathematics
Dongguk University
Seoul 100-715, Korea
e-mail: jsln@dongguk.edu

Abstract

We define and characterize a fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mapping on a fuzzy bitopological space.

1. Introduction

Kharal and Ahmad [3] defined a fuzzy α -semicontinuous set and studied a fuzzy α -semicontinuous mapping on a fuzzy topological space. Recently, Im et al. [2] characterized a fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mapping and a

Received: July 16, 2014; Accepted: September 13, 2014

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40.

Keywords and phrases: fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping, fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mapping.

*Corresponding author

fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen mapping (a fuzzy pairwise α -semiclosed mapping) on a fuzzy bitopological space as a natural generalization of a fuzzy topological space. One purpose of this paper is to find more stronger mapping than we studied in [2].

In this paper, we define a fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen irresolute mapping (a fuzzy pairwise α -semiclosed irresolute mapping) on a fuzzy bitopological space and study their properties. We also give an example, which is a fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mapping but not a fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a set and let τ_1 and τ_2 be fuzzy topologies on X . Then we call (X, τ_1, τ_2) a *fuzzy bitopological space* [*fpts*].

Throughout this paper, we take an ordered pair (τ_i, τ_j) with $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $i \neq j$. For simplicity, we abbreviate a τ_i -fuzzy open set μ and a τ_j -fuzzy closed set μ with a $\tau_i - fo$ set μ and a $\tau_j - fc$ set μ , respectively. Also, we denote the interior and the closure of μ for a fuzzy topology τ_i with $\tau_i - \text{Int } \mu$ and $\tau_i - \text{Cl } \mu$, respectively.

A fuzzy set μ on an *fpts* X is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy semiopen* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fso*] set if $\mu \leq \tau_j - \text{Cl}(\tau_i - \text{Int } \mu)$, and μ is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy semiclosed* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fsc*] set if $\tau_j - \text{Int}(\tau_i - \text{Cl } \mu) \leq \mu$.

Let μ be a fuzzy set on an *fpts* X . Then the (τ_i, τ_j) -*semi-interior* of μ , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - s\text{Int } \mu$, is $\bigvee\{\nu | \nu \leq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fso \text{ set}\}$ and the (τ_i, τ_j) -*semi-closure* of μ , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - s\text{Cl } \mu$, is $\bigwedge\{\nu | \nu \geq \mu, \nu \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - fsc \text{ set}\}$.

A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise semicontinuous* [*fpsc*] if $f^{-1}(v)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fso$ set on X for each

$\tau_i^* - fo$ set v on Y and a mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise semiopen* [*fps open*] if $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fso$ set on Y for each $\tau_i - fo$ set μ on X [6].

A fuzzy set μ on an *fbts* X is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy α -open* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fao*] set if $\mu \leq \tau_i - \text{Int}(\tau_j - \text{Cl}(\tau_i - \text{Int } \mu))$, and μ is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy α -closed* [(τ_i, τ_j) -*fac*] set if $\tau_i - \text{Cl}(\tau_j - \text{Int}(\tau_i - \text{Cl } \mu)) \leq \mu$.

Let μ be a fuzzy set on an *fbts* X . Then the (τ_i, τ_j) - *α -interior of μ* , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha \text{Int } \mu$, is $\bigvee \{v \mid v \leq \mu, v \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fao set}\}$ and the (τ_i, τ_j) - *α -closure of μ* , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha \text{Cl } \mu$, is $\bigwedge \{v \mid v \geq \mu, v \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fac set}\}$.

A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -continuous* [*fpac*] if $f^{-1}(v)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fao}$ set on X for each $\tau_i^* - fo$ set v on Y and a mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -open* [*fpa open*] if $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{fao}$ set on Y for each $\tau_i - fo$ set μ on X [5].

A fuzzy μ on an *fbts* X is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy α -semiopen* [$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{faso}$] set if $\mu \leq \tau_i - \text{Int}(\tau_j - \text{Cl}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{sInt } \mu))$, and μ is called a (τ_i, τ_j) -*fuzzy α -semiclosed* [$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fasc}$] set on X if $\tau_i - \text{Cl}(\tau_j - \text{Int}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{sCl } \mu)) \leq \mu$.

Let μ be a fuzzy set on an *fbts* X . Then the (τ_i, τ_j) - *α -semi-interior* of μ , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha \text{sInt } \mu$, is $\bigvee \{v \mid v \leq \mu, v \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{faso set}\}$ and the (τ_i, τ_j) - *α -semi-closure of μ* , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha \text{sCl } \mu$, is $\bigwedge \{v \mid v \geq \mu, v \text{ is a } (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{fasc set}\}$.

Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous* [*fpasc*] if $f^{-1}(v)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - faso$ set on X for each $\tau_i^* - fo$ set v on Y and f is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen* [*fpa-semiopen*] (*fuzzy pairwise α -semiclosed* [*fpa-semiclosed*]) if $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - faso$ ($(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fasoc$) set on Y for each $\tau_i - fo$ ($\tau_i - fc$) set μ on X .

Every *fpasc* mapping is *fpsc*. And every *fpa-semiopen* (*fpa-semiclosed*) is *fps open* (*fps closed*). But the converses are not true in general [2].

3. Fuzzy Pairwise α -semi-irresolute Continuous Mappings

In this section, we introduce a fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen irresolute mapping which are stronger than a fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen mapping, respectively. And we characterize a fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous mapping and a fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen irresolute mapping.

Definition 3.1. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -semi-irresolute continuous* [*fpa-semi-irresolute*] mapping if $f^{-1}(v)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - faso$ set on X for each $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - faso$ set v on Y .

It is clear that every *fpa-semi-irresolute* is *fpasc* from the above definition. But the converse is not true in general as the following example shows.

Example 3.2. Let μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 be fuzzy sets on $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with

$$\mu_1(a) = 0.2, \quad \mu_1(b) = 0.2, \quad \mu_1(c) = 0.2,$$

$$\mu_2(a) = 0.3, \quad \mu_2(b) = 0.3, \quad \mu_2(c) = 0.3 \text{ and}$$

$$\mu_3(a) = 0.4, \quad \mu_3(b) = 0.4, \quad \mu_3(c) = 0.4.$$

Let

$$\tau_1 = \{0_X, \mu_1, \mu_3, 1_X\}, \quad \tau_2 = \{0_X, \mu_2, \mu_3, 1_X\} \text{ and}$$

$$\tau_1^* = \{0_X, \mu_2, 1_X\}, \quad \tau_2^* = \{0_X, \mu_3, 1_X\}$$

be fuzzy topologies on X .

Then identity mapping $i_X : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fpaasc*. Since a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -*faso* set μ_1^c is not (τ_i, τ_j) -*faso*, i_X is not *fpa-semi-irresolute*.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *f is fpa-semi-irresolute.*
- (2) *The inverse of each (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -fasc set on Y is a (τ_i, τ_j) -fasc set on X.*
- (3) *$f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X.*
- (4) *$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } v)$ for each fuzzy set v on Y.*
- (5) *$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } v) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(v))$ for each fuzzy set v on Y.*

Proof. (1) implies (2) Let v be a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -fasc set on Y . Then v^c is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -faso set on Y . Since f is *fpa-semi-irresolute*, $f^{-1}(v^c) = (f^{-1}(v))^c$ is a (τ_i, τ_j) -faso set on X . Hence $f^{-1}(v)$ is a (τ_i, τ_j) -fasc set on X .

(2) implies (3) Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu)))$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - f\text{asc}$ set on X . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu)))) \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu) &\leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu)))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) implies (4) Let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v))) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(f^{-1}(v))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v)) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v)))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } v). \end{aligned}$$

(4) implies (5) Let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v^c)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(v^c)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } v) &= f^{-1}(((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(v^c))^c) \\ &\leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v^c)))^c \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(v)). \end{aligned}$$

(5) implies (1) Let v be a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - f\text{-aso}$ set on Y . Then

$$f^{-1}(v) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int } v) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v)).$$

Hence $f^{-1}(v)$ is a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - f\text{-aso}$ set on X and therefore, f is $fpa\text{-semi-irresolute}$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. Then, f is $fpa\text{-semi-irresolute}$ if and only if $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int } \mu)$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .*

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then, by Theorem 3.1,

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(f(\mu))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(\mu)) &= f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(\mu)))) \\ &\leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int } \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(f^{-1}(v))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v))).$$

Recall that f is a bijection. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int } v &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f(f^{-1}(v))) \\ &\leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha s\text{Int } v) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v)))) \\ &= (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha s\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, f is $fpa\text{-semi-irresolute}$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then f is called:

- (1) a *fuzzy pairwise α -semiopen irresolute [fp α -semiopen irresolute]* mapping if $f(\mu)$ is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -f α so set on Y for each (τ_i, τ_j) -f α so set μ on X , and
- (2) a *fuzzy pairwise α -semiclosed irresolute [fp α -semiclosed irresolute]* mapping if $f(\mu)$ is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -f α sc set on Y for each (τ_i, τ_j) -f α sc set μ on X .

It is clear that every fp α -semiopen irresolute (fp α -semiclosed irresolute) is fp α -semiopen (fp α -semiclosed). But the converses are not true in general.

In fact, in Example 3.2, the identity mapping $i_X : (X, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is fp α -semiopen (fp α -semiclosed) but not fp α -semiopen irresolute (fp α -semiclosed irresolute).

Theorem 3.3. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is fp α -semiopen irresolute.
- (2) $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int } \mu) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha\text{Int}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .
- (3) $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int}(f^{-1}(v)) \leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha\text{Int } v)$ for each fuzzy set v on Y .

Proof. (1) implies (2) Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int } \mu)$ is a (τ_i^*, τ_j^*) -f α so set on Y and $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int } \mu) \leq f(\mu)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int } \mu) &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha\text{Int}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha\text{Int } \mu)) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha\text{Int}(f(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) implies (3) Let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sInt(f^{-1}(v))) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt(f(f^{-1}(v))) \\ &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sInt(f^{-1}(v)) &\leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sInt(f^{-1}(v)))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt v). \end{aligned}$$

(3) implies (1) Let μ be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fas\alpha$ set on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sInt \mu &\leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sInt(f^{-1}(f(\mu))) \\ &\leq f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt(f(\mu))). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$f(\mu) \leq f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt(f(\mu)))) \leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt(f(\mu)).$$

Hence $f(\mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sInt(f(\mu))$. Consequently, $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fas\alpha$ set on Y and therefore, f is *fpa-semiopen irresolute*. \square

Theorem 3.4. A mapping $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is *fpa-semiclosed irresolute* if and only if $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu)$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .

Proof. Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fas\alpha$ set on Y and $f(\mu) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(\mu)) &\leq (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu)) \\ &= f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let μ be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - fas\acute{e}c$ set on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(\mu)) &\leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl \mu) \\ &= f(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - fas\acute{e}c$ set on Y and therefore, f is fpa -semiclosed irresolute. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) f is fpa -semiclosed irresolute.

(2) $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl v) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(v))$ for each fuzzy set v on Y .

(3) f is fpa -semiopen irresolute.

(4) f^{-1} is fpa -semi-irresolute.

Proof. (1) implies (2) Let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then, by Theorem 3.4,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(f^{-1}(v))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(v))).$$

Hence

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(f^{-1}(v)))) \leq f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(v)))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl v) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(v)).$$

(2) implies (1) Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(\mu))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(f(\mu))).$$

Hence

$$f(f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \alpha sCl(f(\mu)))) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \alpha sCl(f^{-1}(f(\mu)))).$$

Since f is a bijection,

$$(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu)) \leq f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.4, f is fpa -semiclosed irresolute.

(2) implies (3) Let v be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(v^c)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v^c)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(v)) &= ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v^c)))^c \\ &\leq f^{-1}(((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(v^c))^c) \\ &= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is fpa -semiopen irresolute from Theorem 3.3.

(3) implies (4) Let μ be a $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - faso$ set on X . Then $(f^{-1})^{-1}(\mu) = f(\mu)$. Since f is fpa -semiopen irresolute, $f(\mu)$ is a $(\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - faso$ set on Y . Hence f^{-1} is fpa -semi-irresolute.

(4) implies (2) It is clear from Theorem 3.1. \square

We have the following corollaries from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then, f is an fpa -semiclosed irresolute and fpa -semi-irresolute if and only if $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .* \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a mapping. Then, f is fpa -semiopen irresolute and fpa -semi-irresolute if and only if $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } v) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v))$ for each fuzzy set v on Y .* \square

A bijection $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is called a *fuzzy pairwise α -semihomeomorphism* if f and f^{-1} are *fpa-semi-irresolute* continuous mappings.

Theorem 3.8. Let $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ be a bijection. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a fuzzy pairwise α -semihomeomorphism.
- (2) f^{-1} is a fuzzy pairwise α -semihomeomorphism.
- (3) f and f^{-1} are fpa-semiopen irresolute (fpa-semiclosed irresolute).
- (4) f is fpa-semi-irresolute and fpa-semiopen irresolute (fpa-semiclosed irresolute).
- (5) $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .
- (6) $f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt } \mu) = (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt}(f(\mu))$ for each fuzzy set μ on X .
- (7) $f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } v) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(v))$ for each fuzzy set v on Y .
- (8) $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(v)) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } v)$ for each fuzzy set v on Y .

Proof. (1) implies (2) It follows immediately from the definition of a fuzzy pairwise α -semihomeomorphism.

(2) implies (3) and (3) implies (4) They follow from Theorem 3.5.

(4) implies (5) It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6.

(5) implies (6) Let μ be a fuzzy set on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt } \mu) &= (f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(\mu^c)))^c \\
&= ((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl}(f(\mu^c)))^c \\
&= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } f(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

(6) implies (7) Let μ be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(\nu))) &= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt}(f(f^{-1}(\nu))) \\
&= (\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } \nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f^{-1}(f((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)))) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } \nu).$$

Therefore,

$$(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(\nu)) = f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt } \nu).$$

(7) implies (8) Let ν be a fuzzy set on Y . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asCl}(f^{-1}(\nu)) &= (f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asInt}(\nu^c)))^c \\
&= ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - \text{asInt}(f^{-1}(\nu^c)))^c \\
&= f^{-1}((\tau_i^*, \tau_j^*) - \text{asCl } \nu).
\end{aligned}$$

(8) implies (1) It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6. \square

References

- [1] K. K. Azad, On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 82 (1981), 14-32.
- [2] Y. B. Im, J. S. Lee and Y. D. Cho, Fuzzy pairwise α -semicontinuous mappings, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* 89(1) (2014), 7-19.
- [3] A. Kharal and B. Ahmad, Fuzzy α -semicontinuous mappings, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 20 (2012), 71-82.

- [4] R. Prasad, S. Thakur and R. K. Sand, Fuzzy α -irresolute mappings, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 2 (1994), 335-339.
- [5] S. Sampath Kumar, On fuzzy pairwise α -continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 62 (1994), 231-238.
- [6] S. Sampath Kumar, Semi-open sets, semi-continuity and semi-open mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64 (1994), 421-426.
- [7] M. K. Singal and N. Rajvanshi, Fuzzy alpha-sets and alpha-continuous mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 48 (1992), 383-390.
- [8] T. T. Yalcac, Semi-interior and semi-closure of a fuzzy set, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 132 (1988), 356-364.