Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics
© 2014 Pushpa Publishing House, Allahabad, India
Published Online: June 2014
Available online at http://pphmj.com/journals/fjam.htm

# EXTENDED PRÉKOPA-LEINDLER INEQUALITY FOR GEOMETRIC MEANS 

Xiao Li<br>Zhejiang University of Water Resources and Electric Power<br>Hangzhou City 310018, P. R. China<br>e-mail: hzlixiao88@126.com


#### Abstract

Using the method of "marginals of geometric inequality", we obtain the extended Prékopa-Leindler inequality for the geometric mean.


## 1. Introduction

The method of "marginals of geometric inequality" is very effective to obtain the functional inequalities from different types of geometric inequalities, and has been extensively applied in Functional Analysis and Convex Geometry (see [2-8], [10]).

This method can be simply explained as follows. Given a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a $k$-dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the marginal of $K$ on the subspace $E$ is the functional $f_{K, E}: E \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined as

$$
f_{K, E}(x)=\operatorname{Vol}_{n-k}\left(K \cap\left[x+E^{\perp}\right]\right),
$$

where $E^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement to $E$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $V o l_{n-k}$ is the
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Lebesgue measure on the affine subspace $x+E^{\perp}$. A trivial observation is that

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{n}(A) \geq \operatorname{vol}_{n}(B) \Rightarrow \int_{E} f_{A, E} d x \geq \int_{E} f_{B, E} d x
$$

Thus, geometric inequalities give rise to certain functional inequalities in lower dimension.

Applying the method of marginals, Klartag [11] proved some wellknown functional inequalities, such as the $L_{p}$ logarithmic Sobolev inequality, the Prékopa-Leindler inequality, and the functional version of Minkowski inequality and the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality. Another application of the "marginals of geometric inequality" is the functional version of Blaschke-Santaló inequality and its inverse. Appropriately taking marginals of both sides of Blaschke-Santaló inequality [12], the following inequalities are established (see [1, 7, 8, 10]): There exist universal constants $c, C>0$ such that for any dimension $n$ and for any $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, an even log-concave function with $0<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f d x<\infty$, we have

$$
c<\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f^{\circ} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C,
$$

where $f^{\circ}$ is the polar of $f$ defined by

$$
f^{\circ}=\inf _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[e^{-\langle x, y\rangle} / f(y)\right] .
$$

The right equality holds if and only if $f$ is a certain Gaussian function.
Using the method of "marginals of geometric inequality", in this paper, we will establish the extended Prékopa-Leindler inequality for the geometric mean.

Theorem 1. Let $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty), 0 \leq i \leq m$ be integrable functions and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. If

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right)^{\mu_{i}} \leq f_{0}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i 1}^{\mu_{i}}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i n}^{\mu_{i}}\right)
$$

then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f_{0} d x
$$

Next, we have another version of the extended Prékopa-Leindler inequality.

Corollary 1.1. Let $\tilde{f}_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be integrable functions, and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. Define $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\tilde{h}(x)=\sup _{x=\prod x_{i}^{\mu_{i}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{f}_{i}^{\mu_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \tilde{h}(x) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} d x \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$

## 2. Proofs of the Main Results

Let $n, m, s>0$ be integers, and let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function. The support of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$, is the closure of $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; f(x)>0\right\}$. We say $f$ is $s$-concave if $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$ is compact, convex and $f^{\frac{1}{s}}$ is concave on $\operatorname{Supp}(f)$. Note that an $s$-concave function is continuous in the interior of its support [13].

The classical Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see [14]) states that for any non-empty compact sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}_{m}(A+B)^{\frac{1}{m}} \geq \operatorname{Vol}_{m}(A)^{\frac{1}{m}}+\operatorname{Vol}_{m}(B)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A+B$ is the Minkowski sum defined by $A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$.
For any function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{f}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{s}: x \in \operatorname{Supp}(f),|y| \leq \kappa_{s}^{-\frac{1}{s}} f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)\right\}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{s}=\frac{\pi^{s / 2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)}$ is the volume of the $s$-dimensional Euclidean unit ball. If the function $f$ is measurable, so is the set $\mathcal{K}_{f}$. In addition, the set $\mathcal{K}_{f}$ is convex if and only if $f$ is $s$-concave. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V o l_{n+s}\left(\mathcal{K}_{f}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \kappa_{s}\left(\kappa_{s}^{-\frac{1}{s}} f^{\frac{1}{s}}(x)\right)^{s} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For functions $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty), 1 \leq i \leq m, \lambda>0$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\lambda \times_{s} f\right](x)=\lambda^{s} f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right),}  \tag{2.4}\\
& {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \oplus_{s} f_{i}\right](x)=\left[f_{1} \oplus_{s} \cdots \oplus_{s} f_{m}\right](x)=\left(\sup _{\substack{x_{i} \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(f_{i}\right) \\
x=\sum x_{i}}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \frac{1}{s}\right)^{s}} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

whenever $x \in \sum \operatorname{Supp}\left(f_{i}\right)$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\lambda \times_{s} f}=\lambda \mathcal{K}_{f}=\left\{\lambda y: y \in \mathcal{K}_{f}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \oplus_{S} f_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{K}_{f_{i}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (Hölder's inequality [9]). Let $\mu_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq m$, and $1<p_{j}<\infty$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{1}{p_{j}}=1$. Then for $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mu_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left|a_{i j}\right|\right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{p_{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_{j}}}
$$

Taking marginals of both sides of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (2.1), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $f_{i}, h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be integrable functions, and s, $\mu_{i}>0$ be real numbers. Assume that for any $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i}\right) \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} f_{i}(x)^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $s$ is an integer. By (2.6) and (2.7), the BrunnMinkowski inequality (2.1) for $(n+s)$-dimensional sets implies that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}_{n+s}^{*}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \oplus_{s}\left[\mu_{i} \times_{s} f_{i}\right]}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} \operatorname{Vol}_{n+s}\left(\mathcal{K}_{f_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}}
$$

where Vol $_{n+s}^{*}$ is outer Lebesgue measure. Using (2.3), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \oplus_{s}\left[\mu_{i} \times_{s} f_{i}\right] d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int^{*}$ is the outer integral. Since $h \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \oplus_{S}\left[\mu_{i} \times_{s} f_{i}\right]$ pointwise, it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{*} h d x$. Thus, this proves the inequality (2.9) for integer $s$.

Next, assume that $s=\frac{p}{q}$ is a rational number, and $p, q>0$ are integers. By Lemma 2.1 and (2.8), for any $x_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq q$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mu_{i} \prod_{j=q}^{q} f_{i}\left(x_{i j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q s}}\right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} f_{i}\left(x_{i j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q s}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{q} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q s}} .
$$

Since $q s$ is an integer, the above argument implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}} & =\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n q}} \prod_{j=1}^{q} h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i j}\right) d x_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q(n+s)}} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mu_{i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n q}} \prod_{j=1}^{q} f_{i}\left(x_{i j}\right) d x_{i j}\right)^{\frac{1}{q(n+s)}} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
If $\sum \mu_{i}=1$, letting $s$ tend to infinity, then we obtain the extended Prékopa-Leindler inequality as follows.

Lemma 2.3. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $f_{i}, h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be integrable functions, and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. If

$$
h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$

then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h d x \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$

Proof. Let $M>1$. The basic observation is that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{s} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$

uniformly for each $\frac{1}{M}<f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)<M, i=1, \ldots, m$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $s_{0}(\varepsilon, M)$, such that whenever $s>s_{0}(\varepsilon, M)$ and $\frac{1}{M}<f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ $<M$ for all $i$,

$$
h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} x_{i}\right)+\varepsilon \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\right)^{s}
$$

Denote

$$
K_{f_{i}}^{M}=\left\{x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \frac{1}{M}<f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)<M\right\} .
$$

Then Lemma 2.2 implies that for $\varepsilon>0, s>s_{0}(\varepsilon, M)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\sum \mu_{i} K_{f_{i}}^{M}}(h(x)+\varepsilon) d x & \geq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}\left(\int_{K_{f_{i}}^{M}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{n+s}}\right)^{n+s} \\
& \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{K_{f_{i}}^{M}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{i}$ are integrable, the sets $K_{f_{i}}^{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are bounded, and so is $\sum \mu_{i} K_{f_{i}}^{M}$. Letting $\varepsilon$ tend to zero, and then $M$ tends to infinity. Then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h d x \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$

The following theorem can be viewed as extended Prékopa-Leindler inequality for the geometric mean.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty), 0 \leq i \leq m$ be integrable functions and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. If

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right)^{\mu_{i}} \leq f_{0}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i 1}^{\mu_{i}}, \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i n}^{\mu_{i}}\right),
$$

then

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f_{0} d x
$$

Proof. For $t_{i}=\left(t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, define

$$
g_{i}\left(t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n}\right)=f_{i}\left(e^{t_{i 1}}, \ldots, e^{t_{i n}}\right) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{i j}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g_{i}\left(t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n}\right) d t_{i} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i}\left(e^{t_{i 1}}, \ldots, e^{t_{i n}}\right) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{i j}} d t_{i} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} f_{i}\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right) d x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}\left(t_{i 1}, \ldots, t_{i n}\right)^{\mu_{i}} & =\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(e^{t_{i 1}}, \ldots, e^{t_{i n}}\right)^{\mu_{i}} e^{\mu_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{i j}} \\
& \leq f_{0}\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i 1}}, \ldots, e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i n}}\right) e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{i} t_{i j}} \\
& \left.=f_{0}\left(e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i 1}}, \ldots, e^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i n}}\right) e^{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i j}\right.}\right) \\
& =g_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i 1}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i} t_{i n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the results follow from Lemma 2.3.
For any functions $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty), 1 \leq i \leq m$, we define their Asplund product as (see [1])

$$
\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \star f_{i}\right](x)=\left(f_{1} \star \cdots \star f_{m}\right)(x)=\sup _{x=\sum x_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) .
$$

Define

$$
\lambda \cdot f(x)=f^{\lambda}\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)
$$

Then Lemma 2.3 can be read as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be integrable functions, and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \star\left(\mu_{i} \cdot f_{i}\right)\right](x) d x \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{i} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let

$$
f_{i}(x)=\tilde{f}\left(e^{-x_{1}}, \ldots, e^{-x_{n}}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we have

$$
\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \star\left(\mu_{i} \cdot \tilde{f}_{i}\right)\right](t)=\sup _{t=\prod t_{i}^{\mu_{i}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{f}_{i}^{\mu_{i}}\left(t_{i}\right) .
$$

In (2.11), setting $y_{j}=e^{-x_{j}}$ for $j=1, \ldots$, $n$, we obtain
Corollary 2.5. Let $\tilde{f}_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be integrable functions, and $\mu_{i}>0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}=1$. Define $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by

$$
\tilde{h}(x)=\sup _{x=\prod x_{i}^{\mu_{i}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{f}_{i}^{\mu_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \tilde{h}(x) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} d x \geq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \tilde{f}_{i}(x) / \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} d x\right)^{\mu_{i}}
$$
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