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#### Abstract

In this short paper, we present an alternative approach to a classical non simplicity criterion for $\mathrm{AF} \mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebras proved by Mundici in [6]. The results of [6] are based on model theoretical tools. Our aim here is to make use of a group theoretical approach to Petri nets as presented in [2]. This algebraic viewpoint, besides providing a simpler access to Mundici criterion, allows to treat different types of Petri nets in a unified way.


## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that model theory is a deep subject that encompasses a large range of applications in pure and applied mathematics, see, e.g., the excellent book by Manin [5]. Nevertheless, it seems that a large number of mathematicians are not fully acquainted with its basic notions, so our approach, based on Petri nets [7], aims to be more palatable, though following the same guideline of Mundici original result, that reads
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Mundici theorem. Let $A$ be an $A F \mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebra with lattice ordered $K_{0}$. Assume there exists a theory $\Theta \in \theta(A)$ such that the set $\tilde{\Theta}$ of consequences of $\Theta$ is recursively enumerable but not recursive. Then $A$ is not simple.

## 2. Basic Definitions

Definition. A set $K$ with an associative law + possessing an identity $e$ and with the property $a+b=e \Rightarrow a=b=e$ for all $a, b$ in $K$ is called a cone and is denoted by $K:=(K, e,+)$. If + is commutative $K$ is said commutative or abelian.

Example. Let $A$ be a non-empty set and denote by $A^{*}$ the set of all finite sequences or strings on $A$. Then the system $\mathcal{K}=\left(A^{*}, \varepsilon, \cdot\right)$ is a cone (nonabelian), where $\varepsilon$ denotes the empty string and $\cdot$ the concatenation of strings in $A^{*}$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{K}_{1}=\left(K_{1}, e_{1},+_{1}\right), \mathcal{K}_{2}=\left(K_{2}, e_{2},+_{2}\right)$ be cones. A cone homomorphism $F$ from $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{2}$ denoted by $F: \mathcal{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2}$ is a mapping $F: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ such that $F\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}$ and such that the diagram

commutes.
The image $I M(F)$ of a cone homomorphism is a cone. The cones with cone homomorphisms define a category. An isomorphism of cones is an isomorphism in this category. A bijective mapping $F: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ defines a cone isomorphism if for $F$ and $F^{-1}$ the diagram is commutative and $e_{2}=F\left(e_{1}\right), e_{1}=F^{-1}\left(e_{2}\right)$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{K}_{1}=\left(K_{1}, e_{1},+_{1}\right), \mathcal{K}_{2}=\left(K_{2}, e_{2},{ }_{2}\right)$ be cones with
$K_{1} \subset K_{2}$. The cone $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ is called a subcone of $\mathcal{K}_{2}$ if the natural injection of $K_{1}$ in $K_{2}$ defines a cone homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{2}$.

Example. For $n \geq 2$ let be given the cones $\mathcal{K}_{0}:=\left(\{0,1, \ldots, n\}, 0, \max _{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\left(\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, 1, \max _{1}\right)$. The mapping $F: K_{0} \rightarrow K_{1}$ defined by $F(v):=v-1$, for $v=1, \ldots, n$ defines a cone homomorphism $F$, which maps the cone $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to the cone $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}:=F\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right):=\left(F\left(K_{1}\right), F(1)\right.$, max $\left._{0}\right)$ with $F\left(K_{1}\right)$ $\subset K_{0}$. Since the natural injection of $F\left(K_{1}\right)$ in $K_{0}$ defines a homomorphism from $F\left(K_{1}\right)$ to $K_{0}$ the cone $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is a subcone of $\mathcal{K}_{0}$.

The injective mapping $F_{1}: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{0}$ defined by $F_{1}(v):=v$, for $v=$ $1, \ldots, n$ defines a cone homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{0}$. The image $\operatorname{IM}\left(F_{1}\right)$ is the cone $F_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)=\left(F_{1}\left(K_{1}\right), F_{1}(1)\right.$, $\left.\max _{1}\right)$ such that $F_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) \subset K_{0}$. Since the natural injection does not define a homomorphism the cone $F_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)$ is not a subcone of $\mathcal{K}_{0}$.

Remark. Let be given a family $\left\{\mathcal{K}_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$, $I$ an index set, of subcones of a cone $\mathcal{K}$. Then the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}_{i}:=\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} K_{i}, e_{\mathcal{K}},+\mathcal{K}\right)$ is also a subcone of $\mathcal{K}$.

Example. Consider the cones $\mathcal{K}_{0}:=\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, 0,+\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=(\mathbb{N}, 1, *)$. The mapping $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}$ defined by $F(n):=\alpha(n, 1)+\alpha(n, 2)+\cdots+\alpha\left(n, \beta_{n}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ defines a surjective cone homomorphism $F: \mathcal{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}$. Here $n=p_{n, 1}^{\alpha(n, 1)} p_{n, 2}^{\alpha(n, 2)} \cdots p_{n, \beta_{n}}^{\alpha\left(n, \beta_{n}\right)}$ denotes the unique factorization of $n$ into primes. The mapping $F_{1}: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$
F_{1}(n):= \begin{cases}n p, & \text { if } n>0 \\ 1, & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
$$

defines an injective cone homomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_{0}$ to $\mathcal{K}_{1}$; here $p$ denotes a given prime.

Example. Let be given a set $A:=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, n \geq 1$, and consider the cones $\mathcal{K}_{0}:=\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, 0,+\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\left(A^{*}, \varepsilon, \cdot\right)$. The mapping $L: A^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$
L(\omega):= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \omega=\varepsilon, \\ k, & \text { if } \omega=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{k}, k \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

defines a surjective cone homomorphism $h: \mathcal{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0} . L(\omega)$ is called the length of the string $\omega \in A^{*}$.

The system $\mathcal{K}_{n}:=\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}, 0,+\right)$ endowed with the usual addition in $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ and the zero vector as the identity element is an abelian cone. The mapping $p: A^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$ defined by $p(\omega):=\left(p_{1}(\omega), p_{2}(\omega), \ldots, p_{n}(\omega)\right)$ defines a surjective cone homomorphism $p: \mathcal{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}$, where $p_{v}(\omega)$ denotes the number of occurrences of $a_{v}$ in the string $\omega, v=1,2, \ldots, n$. The function $p$ is called the Parikh vector of the set $A$.

Remark. Let be given a non-empty set $A$ and a cone $\mathcal{K}$. For any mapping $F$ from $A$ into $\mathcal{K}$ there is a unique extension of $F$ to a cone homomorphism

$$
F^{*}:\left(A^{*}, \varepsilon, \cdot\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}
$$

such that diagram below is commutative where $i$ denotes the natural injection.


In fact, if $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \in A^{*}$, then we must have $F^{*}(\omega)=F\left(a_{1}\right) F\left(a_{2}\right)$ $\cdots F\left(a_{n}\right)$. So $F^{*}$ is unique. If $F^{*}$ is defined in this way, then $F^{*}$ defines a cone homomorphism which satisfies $F=F^{*} \circ i$.

Now, it is well-known that a group homomorphism $h$ from a group $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ into a group $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is injective if and only if $\operatorname{ker}(h):=\left\{a \in G_{1} \mid h(a)=e_{2}\right\}$
$=\left\{e_{1}\right\}$. In general, this is not true for cone homomorphisms. In fact, consider the cones $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}, 0,+\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\{1\}, 0,+\right)$. Consider the cone homomorphism $F(x, y)=2 x+3 y$. Since $2 x+3 y=0$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ if and only if $x=0$ and $y=0$, one has $\operatorname{ker}(F)=(0,0)$. However, $F$ is not injective since it maps $(3,0)$ and $(0,2)$ into 6.

Definition. A system $\mathcal{C}:=(G, e,+, K)$ is called a cone group if $\mathcal{K}=$ $(K, e,+), \mathcal{G}=(G, e,+)$ is a group and $K \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Example. (a) Let $\mathcal{V}_{A}$ be the set of all mappings $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(a)=0$ for almost all $a \in A$, i.e. $\psi(a)=0$ with exception of a finite number of points. Then $\left(\mathcal{V}_{A}, 0,+,\left(\mathcal{V}_{A}\right)_{0}^{+}\right)$is an abelian cone group, here + is the pointwise addition. (b) Let $\mathcal{F}_{A}$ be the free group generated by the non-empty set A. The system $\left(\mathcal{F}_{A}, \varepsilon, \cdot, A^{*}\right)$ is a non-abelian cone group.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}:=\left(G_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1}, K_{1}\right), \mathcal{C}_{2}:=\left(G_{2}, e_{2},{ }_{2}, K_{2}\right)$ be cone groups. A cone group homomorphism, short a CG-homomorphism, from $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ denoted by $F: \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}$ is a group homomorphism $F:\left(G_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(G_{2}, e_{2},+_{2}\right)$ such that $F\left(K_{1}\right) \subset K_{2}$.

The image $I M(F)$ of a CG-homomorphism is a cone group. The cone groups with the CG-homomorphisms define a category. CG-isomorphims of cone groups are isomorphisms in this category. Morphisms in this category which are set-theoretically bijective need not to be isomorphisms. For instance, consider the cone groups $\left(\mathbb{Z}, 0,+, \mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\{1\}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{Z}, 0,+, \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$. Then the set-theoretic identity map is a bijective CG-homomorphism but not a CGisomorphism.

An isomorphism $F: \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}$ in this category must satisfy $F\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{2}$. Conversely, a group isomorphism $F: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfying $F\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{2}$ must be a CG-isomorphism, because $F$ and $F^{-1}$ are both cone homomorphisms.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left(G_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1}, K_{1}\right), \mathcal{C}_{2}=\left(G_{2}, e_{2},{ }_{2}, K_{2}\right)$ be cone groups with $G_{1} \subset G_{2}$. The cone group $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ is called a subcone group of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ if the natural injection of $G_{1}$ in $G_{2}$ defines a CG-homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

Remark. Let $F:(G, e,+, K) \rightarrow\left(F_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1}, K_{1}\right)$ be a CG-homomorphism. Then $F:\left(G, e,+, \leq_{K}\right) \rightarrow\left(G_{1}, e_{1},+_{1}, \leq_{K_{1}}\right)$ is an isotone homomorphism. In fact, it suffices to note that $F$ is isotone. Consider the elements $a, b \in G$ with $a \leq_{K} b$. Then one has the following equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \leq_{K} b & \Leftrightarrow b-a \in K \\
& \Leftrightarrow F(b-a) \in K_{1} \\
& \Leftrightarrow F(b){ }_{1} F(a) \in K_{1} \\
& \Leftrightarrow F(a) \leq_{K_{1}} F(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $F$ is an isotone mapping. Analogously, let $F:(G, e,+, \leq) \rightarrow$ $\left(G_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1}, \leq_{1}\right)$ be an isotone group homomorphism. Then $F:\left(G, e,+, G_{e}^{+}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(G_{1}, e_{1},{ }_{1},\left(G_{1}\right)_{e_{1}}^{+}\right)$is a CG-homomorphism. Here it suffices to show that $F$ maps cone elements on cone elements. Let $a$ be an element $\in G^{+}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \in G^{+} & \Leftrightarrow e \leq a \\
& \Leftrightarrow F(e) \leq_{1} F(a) \\
& \Leftrightarrow e_{1} \leq_{1} F(a) \\
& \Leftrightarrow F(a) \in\left(G_{1}\right)_{e_{1}}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition. An abelian Petri net is a system $\mathcal{P}=(\mathcal{C}, T$, Pre, Post $)$ consisting of an abelian cone group $\mathcal{C}=(G, e,+K)$, a non-empty set of
transitions $T$, mappings Pre :T $\rightarrow K$ and Post : $T \rightarrow K$. The cone group $\mathcal{C}$ is called the net group. An element $m \in K$ is called marking or state of the Petri net.

Example. Consider the system $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the cone group $\mathcal{C}=$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, 0,+,\left(\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}\right)^{n}\right)$, addition and identity 0 in its usual meaning, the set of transitions $T:=\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \times T_{0}$, where $T_{0}:=\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{k}\right\}$, the mappings Pre and Post defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pre}(t):=\operatorname{Pre}(r, \tau):=r \cdot \operatorname{pr}(\tau), \\
& \operatorname{Post}(t):=\operatorname{Post}(r, \tau):=r \cdot \operatorname{po}(\tau),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $p r$ and po map $T_{0}$ into the cone $\left(\mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}\right)^{n}$. The system is an abelian Petri net with an uncountable set of transitions.

Example. Consider the system $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of the cone group $\mathcal{C}=$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2},(0,0),+, K\right)$, addition and identity in its usual meaning and the cone given by $K:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \mid x>0 \vee(x=0)\right.$ and $\left.y \geq 0\right\}$, the set of transitions $T$, the mappings Pre and Post. This system is an abelian Petri net. The net group is totally ordered by the cone $K$. Note that we can define Petri nets morphisms in a natural way.

Definition. A transition $t \in T$ of a Petri net $\mathcal{P}=((\mathcal{G}, e,+, K), T$, Pre, Post) is enabled with respect to a marking $m \in K$ if:
(a) one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

- $m-\operatorname{Pre}(t) \in K$;
- $\operatorname{Pre}(t) \leq_{K} m$ (here $\leq_{K}$ denotes the partial order induced by $K$ on $G$ ).
(b) $\operatorname{Pre}(t) \neq e$ or $\operatorname{Post}(t) \neq e$.

Definition. Let be given a Petri net $\mathcal{P}$ and a transition $t$ which is enabled with respect to the marking $m$. We say that $t$ fires whenever $m$ is transformed
into the marking $\delta(t, m):=m-\operatorname{Pre}(t)+\operatorname{Post}(t)$. The partially defined mapping $\delta: K \times T \rightarrow K$, is well defined iff $t$ is enabled with respect to the marking $m$.

Definition. Given a Petri net $\mathcal{P}=((\mathcal{G}, e,+, K), T$, Pre, Post $)$ and a marking $m_{0} \in K$, we say a word of transitions $\omega=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{\ell} \in T^{*}$ is enabled with respect to $m_{0}$, whenever

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1} \text { is enabled with respect to } m_{0} \\
& t_{2} \text { is enabled with respect to } m_{1}:=\delta\left(m_{0}, t_{1}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& t_{\ell} \text { is enabled with respect to } m_{\ell-1}:=\delta\left(m_{\ell-2}, t_{\ell-1}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

An enabled word of transitions $\omega=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{\ell}$ can fire, in this case the marking $m_{0}$ is transformed in $\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega\right):=\delta\left(m_{\ell-1}, t_{\ell}\right)$. Thus one gets the partial mapping $\delta^{*}: K \times T^{*} \rightarrow K$, where
$\delta^{*}(m, \omega)= \begin{cases}m, & \text { if } \omega=\varepsilon, \\ \delta(m, t), & \text { if } \omega=t \text { and } t \text { is enabled with respect to } m, \\ \delta\left(\delta^{*}\left(m, \omega_{1}\right), t\right), & \text { if } \omega=\omega_{1} t \text { and } t \\ & \text { is enabled with respect to } \delta^{*}\left(m, \omega_{1}\right) .\end{cases}$
Definition. Given a Petri net $\mathcal{P}=((\mathcal{G}, e,+, K), T$, Pre, Post $)$ and a marking $m_{0} \in K$. We say a marking $m \in K$ is reachable from marking $m_{0}$ if there is $\omega \in T^{*}$ such that $m=\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega\right)$. The set $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left(m_{0}\right)=\mathcal{E}\left(m_{0}\right):=$ $\left\{m \in K \mid m\right.$ is reachable from $\left.m_{0}\right\}$ is called the reachable set with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ and $m_{0}$.

## 3. Main Theorems

We shall need the following classical result.

Koenig Infinity Lemma. Let $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of disjoint non-empty finite sets, and let $G$ be a graph on their union. Assume that every vertex $v$ in a set $V_{n}$, with $n \geq 1$ has a neighbour $f(v)$ in $V_{n-1}$. Then $G$ contains an infinite path $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{n} \in V_{n}$ for all $n$.

Proof. See, e.g., Diestel [4].
Theorem 1. (a) Given $\mathcal{P}=((\mathcal{G}, e,+, K), T$, Pre, Post $)$ and a marking $m_{0}$. If there are $m_{1}, m_{2} \in K$ and $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in T^{*}$ such that

$$
m_{1}=\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega_{1}\right) \text { and } m_{2}=\delta\left(m_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)>m_{1}
$$

then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}\left(m_{0}\right)$ has infinitely many elements.
(b) If a Petri net $\mathcal{P}=((\mathcal{G}, e,+, K), T$, Pre, Post $)$ has $a *$-cone, that is, if each sequence in $K$ contains a monotone increasing subsequence and the reachable set $\mathcal{E}\left(m_{0}\right)$ has infinitely many elements, then there exist $m_{1}, m_{2}$ in $K_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ in $T^{*}$ such that

$$
m_{1}=\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega_{1}\right) \text { and } m_{2}=\delta\left(m_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)>m_{1}
$$

Proof. If $\omega \in T^{*}$ is enabled with respect to $m^{\prime} \in K$, so is $\omega$ enabled with respect to $m \geq m^{\prime}$. Setting

$$
\Delta\left(\omega_{2}\right):=\sum_{t \in T}[\operatorname{Post}(t)-\operatorname{Pre}(t)] p_{t}\left(\omega_{2}\right)
$$

follows from our hypothesis that

$$
\Delta\left(\omega_{2}\right)=m_{2}-m_{1}>e
$$

Hence, $\omega_{2}$ is also enabled with respect to $m_{2}$. Thus, there is

$$
m_{3}:=\delta\left(m_{2}, \omega_{2}\right)=m_{2}+\Delta\left(\omega_{2}\right)
$$

This implies that $m_{2}<m_{3}$. By induction we get that $\mathcal{E}\left(m_{0}\right)$ contains

$$
m_{0}<m_{1}<m_{2}<\cdots
$$

That proves (a).

For (b), define an infinite sequence $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots$ of pairwise, disjoint, nonempty, finite subsets $\mathcal{E}\left(m_{0}\right)$ by setting

$$
V_{k}:=\left\{\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega\right) \in \mathcal{E}\left(m_{0}\right) \mid \text { length }(\omega)=k \text { and } k \text { minimal }\right\} .
$$

Now define a graph on the union of the $V_{k}$ 's taking directed edges

$$
\left(m^{\prime}, m\right) \in V_{k-1} \times V_{k},
$$

where $m=\delta\left(m^{\prime}, t\right)$. Each element $m$ in $V_{k}$ is joined to an element from $V_{k-1}$. It follows from Koenig Infinity Lemma that there exists an infinite path $m_{0} m_{1} \cdots$ with $m_{k} \in V_{k}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By hypothesis, $K_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a *-cone, so the sequence $\left(m_{k}\right)$ contains a weak increasing subsequence, for which - since $m_{k}^{\prime} \neq m_{k+1}^{\prime}$ - holds:

$$
m_{0}^{\prime}=m_{0}<m_{1}^{\prime}<m_{2}^{\prime}<\cdots .
$$

Therefore, for suitable $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in T^{*}$

$$
m_{1}^{\prime}=\delta\left(m_{0}, \omega_{1}\right) \text { and } m_{2}^{\prime}=\delta\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}\right)>m_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Theorem 2 (Alternative Mundici Criterion). Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an $A F \mathcal{C}^{*}$-algebra with lattice ordered $K_{0}$. Assume there exists a Petri net $\mathcal{P}$ such that a set $\mathcal{S}$ of words of transitions of $\mathcal{P}$ is recursively enumerable but not recursive. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is not simple.

Proof. According to Badouel et al., see [1], the cone $K_{0}$ allows us to canonically associate to a given Petri net a so-called MV-algebra (introduced by Chang in [3]). On the other hand, this object is exactly the algebraic tool one needs to follows mutatis mutandis Mundici's reasoning, where set $\mathcal{S}$ plays the role of the set $\widetilde{\Theta}$ of consequences of the theory $\Theta$.
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