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# INERTIAL MANIFOLDS FOR DUAL PERTURBATIONS OF THE CAHN-HILLIARD EQUATIONS 
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#### Abstract

In this paper, on the basis of the singular perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equtions, by verifying the spectral gap condition, we consider the inertial manifolds for dual perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the inertial manifolds for dual perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations by studying exponential attractor of this equation and verifying spectral gap condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(u_{t t}+u_{t}\right)-\alpha \Delta u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u_{t}-\Delta u^{k}=f, x \in \Omega \subset R^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) ; \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \subset R^{n},  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left.u(x, t)\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}, u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2} \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$, and $\varepsilon, \alpha$ are positive constants, especially, $k \geq 2, u=u(x, t)$ is a real-valued function.

We have known the long-time behavior of the semiflows generated by equation (2.1) when $\varepsilon, \delta=0$, certainly, we also have discussed the semiflows generated by equation (2.1) when $\delta=0$. Our motivations for this study reside in part in the fact that equation (2.1) and when $\delta=0$ are the examples of nonlinear beam equations with viscous dissipation, which are hyperbolic. However, in many situations, it is found that the asymptotic properties of the solutions of the parabolic equations and those of their hyperbolic perturbations are similar, in the next section, we will consider the inertial manifolds for dual perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations for the effects of external $f$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we introduce basic concepts concerning inertial manifolds. In Section 3, we obtain the existence and non-existence of the inertial manifolds.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a Banach space, $L^{p}(a, b ; X)$ be a function space from $(a, b)$ to $X$, and its norm be $\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, p \geq 1$.

Assume $L^{2}=L^{2}(0, \pi), H^{m}=H^{m}(0, \pi), m$ is a positive integer and $m \geq 1,\|\cdot\|_{m}$ is the norm of $H^{m},|\cdot|$ is the norm of $L^{2} .(\cdot, \cdot)$ is an inner product of $L^{2}(0, \pi) ; \quad \Delta:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad H^{\alpha}=D\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right),\|u\|_{\alpha}=\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\right\|$, $u \in H^{\alpha}$. Because of Poincaré inequality, we have $\|u\|_{1}=\|\nabla u\|$.

We have discussed the singular perturbations of the Cahn-Hilliard equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon u_{t t}+u_{t}+\Delta\left(\Delta u-u^{3}+u-\delta u_{t}\right)=0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular, $\varepsilon \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, x \in(0, \pi), t>0$.
On the basis of equation (2.1), we consider equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), first, we have mathematical variable about time, $t \rightarrow \sqrt{\varepsilon} t$, hence, equation (1.1) is (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t t}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} u_{t}-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Delta u_{t}+\Delta^{2} u_{t}-\Delta u^{k}=f . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $U=\left(u, u_{t}\right)=(u, v) \in X, U_{t}=\left(v, u_{t t}\right)$,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I \\
\Delta^{2} & \sqrt{\varepsilon}-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Delta
\end{array}\right), \quad F(U)=\binom{0}{g(u)}, \quad g(u)=\Delta u^{k}+f,
$$

therefore, we transform equation (1.1) into an equivalent fist-order system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}+A U=F(u), \quad U \in X \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we can do priori estimates for equation (2.2).
Lemma 1. If $\Omega$ is a bounded region, there exists $\xi, \eta>0$, we have inequation (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|^{2}+\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \xi\left(\left|v_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\eta . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among them

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega),  \tag{2.5}\\
& u_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
& u_{1} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In order to writing, let $v^{\prime}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}$, and so on. For $\varepsilon>0$, let $v=u_{t}+\sqrt{\varepsilon} u$, we multiply $v$ for both sides of equation (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v\right)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(u^{\prime}, v\right)-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\Delta u^{\prime}, v\right)+\left(\Delta^{2} u, v\right)-\left(\Delta u^{k}, v\right)=(f, v) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for equation (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|v|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|u\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\Delta u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u\|^{2}-\left(\Delta u^{k}, v\right) \\
= & (f, v), \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

because of Sobolev embedding theorem and Nirenberg-Gagliardo inequation, there are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\Delta u, v) \leq|(\Delta u, v)| \leq|\Delta u| \cdot|v| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Delta u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|v|^{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

certainly, there are also

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\Delta u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|v\|_{1}^{2}+\alpha(\Delta u, v)-\alpha(\nabla v, \nabla u)+\alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{1}^{2},  \tag{2.12}\\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left(\Delta u^{k}, v\right) \leq\left|\Delta u^{k}\right| \cdot|v| \leq k(k-1) u^{k-2}|\Delta u| \cdot|v| \\
& \leq k(k-1)|u|_{L^{k-2}}^{k-2}\|u\|_{2} \cdot|v| \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{2} \cdot|v| \leq \frac{\rho}{2}|v|^{2}+\frac{2 C}{\rho}\|u\|_{2}^{2} \\
&(f, v) \leq|(f, v)| \leq|f| \cdot|v| \leq \frac{|f|^{2}}{2}+\frac{|v|^{2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

with the help of (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we can see (2.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{2}|v|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
\leq & \frac{\rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}-C_{1} \sqrt{\varepsilon}-2 \alpha+2}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}|v|^{2}+\frac{1-2 \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}\|u\|_{1}^{2} \\
& +\frac{4 C+\rho \alpha-2 \rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2 \rho}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{|f|^{2}}{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}-C_{1} \sqrt{\varepsilon}-2 \alpha+2}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}>0, \frac{1-2 \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}>0, \frac{4 C+\rho \alpha-2 \rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2 \rho}>0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2}\right\},  \tag{2.18}\\
& \gamma=\max \left\{\frac{\rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}-C_{1} \sqrt{\varepsilon}-2 \alpha+2}{2 \beta \sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \frac{1-2 \alpha \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2 \beta}, \frac{4 C+\rho \alpha-2 \rho \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2 \beta \rho}\right\} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We combine (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19), we have (2.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(|v|^{2}+\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq \gamma\left(|v|^{2}+\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{|f|^{2}}{2 \beta}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of Gronwall inequation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v|^{2}+\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq \xi\left(\left|v_{0}\right|^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)+\eta, \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, Lemma 1 is proved.
Definition 1. Assume $S=(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a semiflow in Banach space $X$, if $\mu$ is a finite dimensional Lipschitz manifold in $X$, and it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\mu$ is positively invariant about semiflow $S(t)$, that is to say, $S(t) \mu \subseteq \mu, t \geq 0 ;$
(2) $\mu$ is exponential attractor trajectory, that is to say, $\forall x \in X$, there are $\gamma^{\prime}>0$ and $C^{\prime}>0$ such that $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}(S(t) x, \mu) \leq C^{\prime} e^{-\gamma^{\prime} t}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, $\mu$ is an inertial manifold about $s$.
In order to describing the spectral gap condition, first, we consider that the nonlinear term $F: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be bounded and whole Lipschitz continuous, and there is a Lipschitz constant $l_{F}$; its operator $A$ has a number of positive real characteristic values, the characteristic function is expanded into the corresponding orthogonal space $X$, and assume that $F \in C_{b}(X, X)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F(u)-F\left(u^{*}\right)\right\|_{X} \leq l_{F}\left\|u-u^{*}\right\|_{X}, \quad u, u^{*} \in X \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. Assume the point spectrum of operator $A$ can be divided into the following two parts $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, of which $\sigma_{1}$ is finite and such that if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \wedge_{1}=\sup \left\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma_{1}\right\}, \quad \wedge_{2}=\inf \left\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \mid \lambda \in \sigma_{2}\right\},  \tag{2.24}\\
& X_{i}=\operatorname{span}\left\{w_{j} \mid \lambda \lambda_{j} \in \sigma_{j}\right\}, \quad j=1,2, \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

span says expansion into space symbols, Re denotes the real part of a complex number $\lambda$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge_{1}-\wedge_{2}>4 l_{F} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, with continuous orthogonal projections $P_{1}: X \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ : $X \rightarrow X_{2}$. Hence, the operator $A$ is said to satisfy the spectral gap condition.

Lemma 2. Let $g(u)=\Delta u^{k}(k \geq 3), g: H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1} \rightarrow H$ is said to be bounded and whole Lipschitz continuous function.

Proof. $\forall u, u^{*} \in H^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g(u)-g\left(u^{*}\right)\right|= & \left|\Delta u^{k}-\Delta u^{* k}\right| \\
= & \mid k(k-1) u^{k-2}(\nabla u)^{2}-k(k-1) u^{k-2}\left(\nabla u^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& +k u^{k-1} \Delta u-k u^{k-1} \Delta u^{*} \mid \\
\leq & \left|k(k-1) u^{k-2}(\nabla u)^{2}-k(k-1) u^{k-2}(\nabla v)^{2}\right| \\
& +\left|k u^{k-1} \Delta u-k u^{k-1} \Delta u^{*}\right| \\
\leq & k(k-1)\left(\left|u^{k-2}\right|_{\left.L^{\infty}+\left|u^{* k-2}\right| L^{\infty}\right)\left(\left|\nabla u+\nabla u^{*}\right|\right)\left(\left|\nabla u-\nabla u^{*}\right|\right)}\right. \\
& +k\left(\left|u^{k-1}\right|_{L^{\infty}}+\left|u^{* k-1}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\right)\left(\left|\Delta u-\Delta u^{*}\right|\right) \\
\leq & l_{0}^{k-2}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u^{*}\right|+l_{0}^{k-1}\left|\Delta u-\Delta u^{*}\right| \\
\leq & l_{0}\left(\left|\nabla u-\nabla u^{*}\right|+\left|\Delta u-\Delta u^{*}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l=l_{0}$. Then $l$ is a Lipschitz coefficient of function $g(u)$. Therefore, with the help of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 is proved.

For first-order system of the form (2.3), the solution can be expressed in the characteristic function of $A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(u(t), w_{j}\right) w_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{j}(t) w_{j}, \\
& P_{n}: H \rightarrow \operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{j}\right\}, \quad Q_{n}=I-P_{n} . \text { Let } p=P_{n} u=P u, \quad q=Q_{n} u \\
& =Q u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3. Assume arbitrary solutions $u(t)$ and $\overline{u(t)}$ of equation (2.3) satisfy:
(1) because of cone invariance $|q(0)-\bar{q}(0)| \leq|p(0)-\bar{p}(0)|$, we have $|q(t)-\bar{q}(t)| \leq|p(t)-\bar{p}(t)|, t>0$ and
(2) attenuation properties: because of $|q(t)-\bar{q}(t)| \geq|q(0)-\bar{q}(0)|$, ( $\exists t>0$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(t)-\bar{q}(t)| \leq|q(0)-\bar{q}(0)| e^{-k t} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, question (2.3) has strong squeezing properties.
Lemma 3. If question (2.3) satisfies strong squeezing properties, then there exists a Lipschitz function $\Phi: P_{n} H \rightarrow Q_{n} H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi\left(p_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|p_{1}-p_{2}\right|, \quad \forall p_{1}, p_{2} \in P_{n} H \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and attractor $A \subset \operatorname{graph}(\Phi)$.
Proof. For $u, v \in A$, we have $|Q u-Q v| \leq|P u-P v|$, otherwise, because of equation (2.28) and invariance of operator $A$, there exist $u_{t}, v_{t} \in A, u=S(t) u_{t}, v=S(t) v_{t}$ for any $t$, therefore,

$$
|u-v| \leq e^{-k t}\left|u_{t}-v_{t}\right|,
$$

$A$ is finite $(|u| \leq R, \forall u \in A),|u-v| \leq 2 R e-k t, \forall t>0$, therefore, $u=v$. We define a Lipschitz function $\Phi: P A \rightarrow Q A, \Phi(P u)=Q u$. Because of squeezing properties, (2.29) is proved.

Definition 4. If there is a bounded absorbing ball $B(0, \rho)$ in Hilbert space $H, B(0, \rho) \cap P H$ is a positive constant and $\forall t \geq 0, P S(t)[P H]=P H$, that is to say, $\forall p \in P H$, there exists $p_{0} \in P H$, we have $p=P\left(S(t) p_{0}\right)$, therefore, equation (2.3) has similar preparation conditions.

Lemma 4. Assume equation (2.3) has strong squeezing properties and similar preparation conditions, therefore, equation (2.3) has an inertial manifold $\mu=\operatorname{graph}(\Phi)$, Lipschitz function $\Phi: P_{n} H \rightarrow Q_{n} H$,

$$
|\Phi(p)-\Phi(\bar{p})| \leq|p-\bar{p}|, \quad \forall p, \bar{p} \in P_{n} H
$$

Proof. Let $\Phi_{0}=0$ and $\mu_{0}=P_{n} H=\operatorname{graph}\left(\Phi_{0}\right), S(t)$ be semigroups, therefore,

$$
\mu_{t}=S(t) \mu_{0}=\left\{S(t) \mu_{0} \mid u_{0} \in \mu_{0}\right\}
$$

we will prove $\mu_{t}=\operatorname{graph}\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$, Lipschitz constant of $\Phi_{t}$ is less than or equal to 1 . For $\forall u, \bar{u} \in \mu_{0}$, there is $q=\bar{q}=0$, therefore, $|q-\bar{q}| \leq$ $|p-\bar{p}|$. According to strong squeezing properties, we know that

$$
|q(t)-\bar{q}(t)| \leq|p(t)-\bar{p}(t)| .
$$

Therefore, $\left|q_{1}-q_{2}\right| \leq\left|p_{1}-p_{2}\right|, \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mu_{t}$. For $p \in P \mu_{t}$, there are unique $\Phi_{t}(p)$ and $p+\Phi_{t}(p) \in \mu_{t}$. Because of similar preparation conditions, $\mu_{t}=\operatorname{graph}\left(\Phi_{t}\right), P \mu_{t}=P H$.

Further, we consider the state of $\Phi_{t}(t \rightarrow \infty)$. There are $u=p+\Phi_{t}(p)$ and $\bar{u}=p+\Phi_{\tau}(p), \quad \tau>t$. Because $u=S(t) u_{0} \in \mu_{t}, \quad u_{0} \in P H . \quad \bar{u}=$ $S(\tau) \overline{u_{0}} \in \mu_{t}, \overline{u_{0}} \in P H$.

Assuming $\Phi_{t}(p) \neq \Phi_{\tau}(p)$, we have

$$
\left|Q S(t) u_{0}-Q S(\tau) \overline{u_{0}}\right|=\left|\Phi_{t}(p)-\Phi_{\tau}(p)\right|>0=\left|P S(t) u_{0}-P S(t) \overline{u_{0}}\right| .
$$

And because of the exponential decay,

$$
\left|\Phi_{t}(p)-\Phi_{\tau}(p)\right| \leq\left|Q u_{0}-Q S(\tau-t) \overline{u_{0}}\right| e^{-k t} \leq\left|Q S(\tau-t) \overline{u_{0}}\right| e^{-k t} .
$$

Assume $u_{0} \in P H$, because of similar preparation conditions $S(t) u_{0} \in$ $B(0, \rho) \cap P H, t \geq 0$,

$$
\left|Q S(\tau-t) \overline{u_{0}}\right| \leq \rho \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\Phi_{t}-\Phi_{\tau}\right|_{\infty} \leq \rho e^{-k t}, \quad \tau>t .
$$

This indicates that the sequences of $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}$ are the sequences of Cauchy, therefore, it converges to the Lipschitz function $\Phi$. We have limit

$$
\left|\Phi_{t}-\Phi\right|_{\infty} \leq \rho e^{-k t}, \quad \tau>t,
$$

therefore, the graph of $\Phi$ is called $\mu$, that is to say, $\mu=\operatorname{graph}(\Phi)$.

Assume $u_{0} \in \mu, u_{0}=p+\Phi(p)$. We consider approximation of $u_{0}, u_{0}^{t}$ $\in \mu_{t}, \quad u_{0}^{t}=p+\Phi(p), \quad S(\tau) u_{0}^{t} \in \mu_{t+\tau}$. Let $t \rightarrow \infty$, by the continuous dependence of the corresponding initial value of the solution,

$$
S(\tau) u_{0}^{t} \rightarrow S(\tau) u_{0}
$$

$\Phi_{t}$ converges $\Phi$ uniformly, for $S(\tau) u_{0}^{t}=P\left[S(\tau) u_{0}^{t}\right]+\Phi_{t+\tau}\left(P\left[S(\tau) u_{0}^{t}\right]\right)$, we have limit $P\left[S(\tau) u_{0}\right]+\Phi\left(P\left[S(\tau) u_{0}\right]\right)$. Therefore, $S(\tau) u \in \mu, \mu$ is invariant manifold.

Finally, we prove manifold $\mu$ is exponential attraction. We consider initial conditions $u_{0} \in B(0, \rho), u=S(t) u_{0}=p+q, \forall \bar{u} \in \mu, \bar{u}=p+\Phi(p)$, we have

$$
|Q u-Q \bar{u}|>0=|P u-P \bar{u}|,
$$

therefore,

$$
|u-\bar{u}|=|q-\bar{q}| \leq\left|Q u_{0}-\Phi(Z)\right| e^{-k t},
$$

$S(t)[Z+\Phi(Z)]=\bar{u}$, and

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(S(t) u_{0}, \mu\right) \leq|u-\bar{u}| \leq\left(\rho+\|\Phi\|_{\infty}\right) e^{-k t}
$$

Initial conditions $u_{0}$ do not necessarily within $B(0, \rho)$ more generally, there exists $t_{0}(Y)(Y \subset H)$ which is a bounded set, $u_{0} \in Y$, we can get $S(t) u_{0} \in$ $B(0, \rho)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}\left(S(t) u_{0}, \mu\right) & =\operatorname{dist}\left(S\left(t-t_{0}\right)\left[S\left(t_{0}\right) u_{0}\right], \mu\right) \\
& \leq\left(\rho+\|\Phi\|_{\infty}\right) e^{-k\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \leq C(Y) e^{-k t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Constant $C$ depends on bounded set $Y$.
Assume nonlinear $F(u)$ is whole Lipschitz in equation (2.3), with the
help of Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(u)-F(v)| \leq C_{1}|u-v|, \quad u, v \in H . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. Assume there is an $n$ that makes characters $\lambda_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n+1}$ satisfy the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}>4 C_{1}, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, squeezing properties are established, $k \geq \lambda_{n}+2 C_{1}, k$ is in equation (2.28).

Proof. Assume $u, \bar{u}$ are the solutions of equation (2.3), $w=u-\bar{u}$, and $w$ is in bounded cone, that is to say,

$$
\{(u, \bar{u})||Q(u-\bar{u})| \leq P| u-\bar{u} \mid\} .
$$

First, the trajectory cannot leave the cone, we need to prove that $\frac{d u}{d t}(|Q w|-|P w|)($ when $|Q w|=|P w|)$ is negative. $w$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t}+A w=F(u)-F(\bar{u}) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p=P w, q=Q w$, because of equation (2.32),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d p}{d t}+A p=P F(u)-P F(\bar{u}),  \tag{2.33}\\
& \frac{d q}{d t}+A q=Q F(u)-Q F(\bar{u}) \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

and equation (2.33) takes the inner product by $p=P w$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|p|^{2}+\|p\|^{2}=(P F(u)-P F(\bar{u}), p)=-(P F(\bar{u})-P F(u), p), \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|p|^{2}=-\|p\|^{2}-(P F(\bar{u})-P F(u), p) \geq-\lambda_{n}|p|^{2}-C_{1}|w \| p| .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $|q(0)|=|p(0)|$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{d}{d t}|p|\right)_{t=0} \geq\left(-\lambda_{n}+2 C_{1}\right)|q|, \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|q|^{2}+\|q\|^{2}=(Q F(u)-Q F(\bar{u}), q), \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|q|^{2} \leq-\lambda_{n+1}|q|^{2}+C_{1}|q \| w| . \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

When $|q(0)|=|p(0)|,\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|q|^{2}\right)_{t=0} \leq-\lambda_{n+1}|q|^{2}+2 C_{1}|q|$. Therefore,

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d t_{+}}|q|\right)_{t=0} \leq-\left(\lambda_{n+1}-2 C_{1}\right)|q| .
$$

When $t=0, \frac{d}{d t_{+}}(|q|-|p|)_{t=0} \leq-\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}-4 C_{1}\right)|q(0)|$. We prove invariance of cone by the spectrum gap condition (2.31).

We have $|q| \geq|p|$ without cone, by equation (2.35), we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|q|^{2} \leq-\lambda_{n+1}|q|^{2}+C_{1}|q||w| .
$$

Let $k=\lambda_{n+1}-2 C_{1}$, and with the help of inequation of Gronwall, we can get

$$
|q(t)| \leq|q(0)| e^{-k t} .
$$

## 3. Inertial Manifolds

In this section, we will discuss many cases of the parameters, then we obtain the existence and non-existence of inertial manifolds.

### 3.1. Existence

To determine the characteristic value of the matrix operator $A$, we have the inner product on $X$ first,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U, V)_{X}=(\Delta u, \Delta \bar{y})+(\bar{z}, v), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U=(u, v), V=(y, z) \in X, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ are conjugated for $y, z$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(A U, U)_{X} & =(-\Delta v, \Delta \bar{u})+\left(\bar{v}, \Delta^{2} u+\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Delta\right) v\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\varepsilon}|v|^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|v\|_{1}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the operator $A$ is monotonically increasing, and $(A U, U)_{X}$ is a nonnegative real number.

To determine the eigenvalues of $A$, we observe the eigenvalue equation

$$
A U=\lambda U, \quad U=(u, v) \in X
$$

is equivalent to the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-v=\lambda u  \tag{3.3}\\
\Delta^{2} u+\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Delta\right) v=\lambda u
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, $u$ must solve the eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda^{2} u+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) u \lambda+\Delta^{2} u=0  \tag{3.4}\\
u(0)=u(\pi)=0, \quad \Delta u(0)=\Delta u(\pi)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We easily see that (3.3) has, for each positive integer $j$, the pair of eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j}^{ \pm}=\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)=\left(u_{j},-\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} u_{j}\right), \quad u_{j}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (j x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $A$ does have countable set of eigenvalues, with $\mathfrak{R} u_{j}^{ \pm}>0$ for all $j$. Because of the first of (3.3), the corresponding eigenfunctions have the form $U_{j}^{ \pm}=\left(u_{j},-\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} u_{j}\right)$, with $u_{j}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (j x)$. For future reference, we note that for all $j>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{1}=j, \quad\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{-1}=\frac{1}{j} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, we substitute $u$ of (3.4) by $u_{j}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin (j x)$ and do inner product with $(-\Delta)^{-1} u_{j}(x)$, with the help of (3.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2}-\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right) \lambda+j^{4}=0  \tag{3.7}\\
& \therefore \lambda_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)^{2}-4 j^{4}}}{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left[\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)+2 j^{2}\right] \cdot\left[\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)-2 j^{2}\right]}}{2} \in C \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$C$ is the complex domain.
For (3.8), when $\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)-2 j^{2} \geq 0$, eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}$are real numbers; when $\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)-2 j^{2}<0$, eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}$of $A$ are complex. And they have the same real part $\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}}{2}$, in this case, there is no decomposition of eigenvalues of $A$, the spectral gap condition (2.26) is not valid, therefore, existence of inertial manifolds of equation (2.3) cannot be assured.

Furthermore, we consider $\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right)-2 j^{2} \geq 0$, assuming $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, we only consider $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}-2 j^{2} \geq 0$, that is to say, when
$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \geq 2$, some eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}$of $A$ are different positive real numbers, therefore, the spectral gap condition can be valid in this case.

We first prove that the spectral gap condition can be valid when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, finally, we prove the existence of inertial manifolds of equation (2.3).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\varepsilon$ and $\alpha$ satisfy $0<\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}<2, l$ Lipschitz constant of $g(u)$ in Lemma 2, let $N_{1} \in N$, when $N \geq N_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left[(N+1)^{2}-N^{2}\right]>8 l, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, operator A satisfies the spectral gap condition (2.26).
Proof. For (2.3) and (3.1), $U=(u, \bar{u}), V=(v, \bar{v}) \in X$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(u)-F(v)\|_{X}=\|g(u)-g(v)\|_{H} \leq l\|u-v\|_{H^{2}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is to say, $l_{F} \leq l_{0}$. According to (3.8), $\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}$is a real number, necessary and sufficient condition of which is $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \geq\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) j^{2}$. If $2-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}>0$, then $A$ has finite $2 N_{0}$ characteristic roots at most, when $N_{0}=0, \sqrt{\varepsilon}<$ $\left(2-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) j^{2}, \wedge_{0}=\max \left\{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j \leq N_{0}\right\}$. When $j>N_{0}+1$, eigenvalues are complex,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R} \lambda_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, there exists $N_{1} \geq N_{0}+1$ so that $\mathfrak{R} \lambda_{j}^{ \pm}>N_{0}, j \geq N_{1}$.
Assuming $N \geq N_{1}$, (3.9) is right. The point spectrum of the operator $A$ can be divided into two parts $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=\left\{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j \leq N\right\}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\left\{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j>N+1\right\} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume the corresponding subspaces are

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j \leq N\right\}, \quad X_{2}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j>N+1\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thereon exists $j$ so that $\lambda_{j}^{-} \in \sigma_{1}$ and $\lambda_{j}^{+} \in \sigma_{2}$. There cannot be $U_{j}^{-} \in X_{1}$ and $U_{j}^{ \pm} \notin X_{2}$. Therefore, $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are the orthogonal subspaces of $X$, with the help of (2.24) and (3.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\lambda_{N+1}^{-}-\lambda_{N}^{+}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(N+1)^{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} N^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(N+1)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} N^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left[(N+1)^{2}-N^{2}\right], \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore, with the help of (3.9), $A$ satisfies the spectral gap condition (2.26).

Theorem 3.2. Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \geq 2, l$ the Lipschitz constant of $g(u)$.
(1) Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}>2, N_{1} \in N$ sufficiently big, when $N \geq N_{1}$, there are some inequations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 N+1)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}\right) \geq \frac{8 l}{\zeta}+1, \\
& (\sqrt{R(N)}-\sqrt{R(N+1)})+(2 N+1) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}}-4 \leq 1, \\
& R(N)=\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4\right) N^{2}+2 \alpha N^{2}+\varepsilon, \quad \zeta=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1, \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Assume $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}=2, \quad N_{1} \in N$ sufficiently big, when $N \geq N_{1}$, there is an inequation,

$$
2(2 N+1)-2 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}>8 l,
$$

therefore, in (1) or (2), the operator A satisfies the spectral gap condition (2.26).

Proof. We can divide three steps to proof:
(1) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=\left\{1 \leq j \leq N \left\lvert\, \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}>2\right.\right\}, \quad Z_{1}=\left\{j \in N \left\lvert\, 0<\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}<2\right.\right\} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $j \in Z_{0}, \lambda^{ \pm} \in R$; if $j \in Z_{1}, \lambda_{j}^{ \pm}$are complex. And if $j \in Z_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{1}^{-}<\cdots<\lambda_{N_{0}+1}^{-}<\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}<\lambda_{N_{0}+1}^{+}<\cdots<\lambda_{1}^{+}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{0}=\sup Z_{0}, \mathfrak{R} \lambda_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} j^{2}\right), \forall j \in N_{0}$.
If $N_{0} \geq N$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}=\left\{\lambda_{k}^{-} \mid 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\left\{\lambda_{k}^{+}, \lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \mid 1 \leq k \leq N \leq j\right\} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) We consider the corresponding decomposition of $X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{U_{k}^{-} \mid 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}, \\
& X_{2}=\operatorname{span}\left\{U_{k}^{+}, U_{j}^{ \pm} \mid 1 \leq k \leq N \leq j\right\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

There is an equivalent inner product $((U, V))_{X}$ in $X$ so that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are orthogonal.

Let

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{1}=X_{C} \oplus X_{R},  \tag{3.19}\\
X_{C}=\operatorname{span}\left\{U_{1}^{k}, \ldots, U_{N}^{k}\right\}, \\
X_{R}=\operatorname{span}\left\{U_{j}^{ \pm} \mid j \geq N+1\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $X_{N}=X_{1} \oplus X_{C}$.
We define functions $\Phi: X_{N} \rightarrow R$ and $\Psi: X_{R} \rightarrow R$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(U, V)= & \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\nabla u, \nabla \bar{u})+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)(\Delta u, \Delta \bar{y})+(\bar{z},(-\Delta) u) \\
& +(\bar{v},(-\Delta) y)+(\bar{z}, v)  \tag{3.20}\\
\Psi(U, V)= & \frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\Delta u, \Delta \bar{y})+(\bar{z},(-\Delta) u)+(\bar{v},(-\Delta) y)+(\bar{z}, v), \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$U=(u, v), V=(y, z) \in X_{N}$ and $X_{R}$.
Letting $U=(u, v) \in X_{N}$, we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(U, U) & =\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\nabla u, \nabla \bar{u})+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)(\Delta u, \Delta \bar{u})+(\bar{v},(-\Delta) u)+(\bar{v},(-\Delta) y)+(\bar{v}, v) \\
& \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-2\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

let $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \geq 2, \Phi(U, U) \geq 0, \forall U \in X_{N}$, that is to say, $\Phi$ is positive definite.
Similarly, for $U=(u, v) \in X_{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(U, U) & =\frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\Delta u, \Delta \bar{u})+(\bar{v},(-\Delta) u)+(\bar{v},(-\Delta) y)+(\bar{v}, v) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)\|u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \geq 2$, therefore, $\frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1 \geq 0$, that is to say, $\Psi(U, U) \geq 0$.

There is an inner product in $X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
((U, V))_{X}=\Phi\left(P_{N} U, P_{N} V\right)+\Psi\left(P_{R} U, P_{R} V\right), \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{N}$ and $P_{R}$ are the projections of $X_{N}$ and $X_{R}$, equation (3.24) is called

$$
((U, V))_{X}=\Phi(U, V)+\Psi(U, V)
$$

With the help of inner product of equation (3.24) in $X, X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are orthogonal, in fact, only when $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are orthogonal, we can prove

$$
\left(\left(U_{j}^{-}, U_{j}^{+}\right)\right)_{X}=0 \quad\left(\text { if } U_{j}^{-} \in X_{N}, U_{j}^{+} \in X_{C}\right)
$$

since $U_{j}^{ \pm}=\left(u_{j},-\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} u_{j}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(U_{j}^{-}, U_{j}^{+}\right)= & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{j}, \nabla \overline{u_{j}}\right)+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)\left(\Delta u_{j}, \Delta \overline{u_{j}}\right)+\left(-\overline{\lambda_{j}^{+} u_{j}},(-\Delta) u_{j}\right) \\
& +\left(\overline{\lambda_{j}^{-} u_{j}},(-\Delta) u_{j}\right)+\left(-\overline{\lambda_{j}^{+} u_{j}},-\lambda_{j}^{-} u_{j}\right) \\
= & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{j}^{-}+\lambda_{j}^{+}\right)\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{1}^{2} \\
& +\lambda_{j}^{-} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{+}\left|u_{j}\right|^{2} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

we combine $\left|u_{j}\right|^{2}=1, \quad\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{1}^{2},\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}=j^{4}, \quad \lambda_{j}^{-}+\lambda_{j}^{+}=\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \cdot j^{2}$, $\lambda_{j}^{-} \cdot \lambda_{j}^{+}=j^{4}$, therefore,

$$
\Phi\left(U_{j}^{-}, U_{j}^{+}\right)=0,
$$

thus, $\left(\left(U_{j}^{-}, U_{j}^{+}\right)\right)_{X}=\Phi\left(u_{j}^{-}, u_{j}^{+}\right)=0$.
(3) We define the norm $|\|\cdot\||_{X}$ of $X$ in equation (3.24), we need to prove the spectral gap condition (2.26).

First, we estimate the Lipschitz constant $l_{F}$ of $F(u)=(0, g(u))$. Assume $g: H^{2} \rightarrow H, P_{1}: X \rightarrow X_{1}, P_{2}: X \rightarrow X_{2}$ are orthogonal projections, if
$U=(u, v) \in X, U_{1}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=P_{1} U, U_{2}=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=P_{2} U$, therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1} u=u, \quad P_{2} u=u_{2} \\
& |\|U\||_{X}^{2}=\Phi\left(P_{1} U, P_{1} U\right)+\Psi\left(P_{2} U, P_{2} U\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(P_{1} U, P_{1} U\right)= & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\nabla u_{1}, \nabla \bar{u}_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)\left(\Delta u_{1}, \Delta \bar{u}_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(\bar{v}_{1},(-\Delta) u_{1}\right)+\left(\bar{v}_{1},(-\Delta) u_{1}\right)+\left(\bar{v}_{1}, v_{1}\right) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-2\right)\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\Psi\left(P_{2} U, P_{2} U\right)= & \frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\Delta u_{2}, \Delta \bar{u}_{2}\right)+\left(\bar{v}_{2},(-\Delta) u_{2}\right)+\left(\bar{v}_{2},(-\Delta) u_{2}\right)+\left(\bar{v}_{2}, v_{2}\right) \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right)\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

let $\zeta=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-2, \frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right\}$. Then we combine (2.26):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|U\|\right|_{X} ^{2}=\Phi\left(P_{1} U, P_{1} U\right)+\Psi\left(P_{2} U, P_{2} U\right) \geq \zeta\|u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U=(u, \bar{u}), V=(v, \bar{v}) \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\|F(U)-F(V)\||_{X}=\|g(u)-g(v)\| \leq \frac{1}{\zeta}\|U-V\|_{X} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, $l_{F} \leq \frac{1}{\zeta}$, let $\zeta=\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-2, \frac{\alpha}{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}-1\right\}$.
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{N+1}^{-}-\lambda_{N}^{-}>\frac{1}{\zeta} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, the spectral gap condition (2.26) is valid. With the help of (3.8), there can be

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{N+1}^{-}-\lambda_{N}^{-}= & \frac{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(N+1)^{2}\right]-\sqrt{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(N+1)^{2}\right]^{2}-4(N+1)^{4}}}{2} \\
& -\frac{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} N^{2}\right]-\sqrt{\left[\sqrt{\varepsilon}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} N^{2}\right]^{2}-4(N)^{4}}}{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{R(N)}-\sqrt{R(N+1)}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(2 N+1)\right], \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

we let $R(N)=\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4\right) N^{4}+2 \alpha N^{2}+\varepsilon$.
And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\sqrt{R(N)}-\sqrt{R(N+1)}+(2 N+1) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}\right]=0 . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for (3.31), we let

$$
R^{\prime}(N)=1+\frac{2 \alpha}{\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4\right) N^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4\right) N^{4}}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{R(N)}-\sqrt{R(N+1)}+(2 N+1) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4} \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}\left[(N+1)^{2}-N^{2}+\sqrt{N^{4}+\frac{2 \alpha N^{2}}{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\sqrt{(N+1)^{4}+\frac{2 \alpha(N+1)^{2}}{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}}\right] \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4\left[(N+1)^{2}\left(1-\sqrt{R^{\prime}(N)}\right)-N^{2}\left(1-\sqrt{R^{\prime}(N)}\right)\right]}, \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

for (3.32),

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty} N^{2}\left(1-\sqrt{R^{\prime}(N)}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{4-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}},
$$

therefore, (3.31) is proved.
For $N_{1}>0$, when $N>N_{1}$, the spectral gap inequation reads

$$
\lambda_{N+1}^{-}-\lambda_{N}^{-} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[(2 N+1)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\varepsilon}-4}\right)\right] \geq 4 l
$$

therefore, when $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}>2$, Theorem 3.2 is proved.

Furthermore, we will consider the case when $\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}=2$. Therefore, the definition of $\Psi$ is modified in equation (3.21), and increase $(u, \bar{y})$, then estimate (3.23) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(U, U) \geq|u|^{2}, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\alpha=2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$, inequation (3.33) is valid. In turn, instead of (3.27) and (3.28), the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\|U\||_{X} \geq\|u\|_{2}, \quad l_{F} \leq l \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the spectral gap condition (3.29) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{N+1}^{-}-\lambda_{N}^{-}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon} N^{2}+\varepsilon}-\sqrt{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon}(N+1)^{2}+\varepsilon}+2(2 N+1)\right]>4 l,  \tag{3.35}\\
& \lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\sqrt{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon} N^{2}+\varepsilon}-\sqrt{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon}(N+1)^{2}+\varepsilon}\right]=-2 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Non-existence

Furthermore, we consider the non-existence of inertial manifolds of equation (2.2), when $\alpha=0$, we assume that it satisfies the spectral gap condition. With the help of (3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}^{ \pm}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon-4 j^{4}}}{2} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small for $j \geq 1, \varepsilon-4 j^{4}<0$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}^{ \pm} \in C, \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, equation (2.2) does not satisfy the spectral gap condition and inertial manifolds of equation (2.2) are not existent.

With the help of every theorem, we all know that
Theorem 3.3. Assuming $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, there is a positive integer $N, F(u)$ of equation (2.3) satisfies the Lipschitz condition, A satisfies the spectral gap condition, therefore, equation (2.3) has $s$ inertial manifold $\mu \subset X$,

$$
\mu=\operatorname{graph}(\Phi)=\left\{\varsigma+\Phi(\varsigma) \mid \varsigma \in X_{1}\right\} .
$$

$\Phi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function and $\operatorname{graph}(\Phi)$ means diagram of $\Phi$.
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