



## COEFFICIENT BOUNDS OF STARLIKE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER INVOLVING GENERALIZED OPERATOR

Aisha Ahmed Amer and Maslina Darus\*

School of Mathematical Sciences

Faculty of Science and Technology

Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia

e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

### Abstract

In this paper, we derive some coefficient bounds for functions in the subclasses  $SC_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma)$  and  $T_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma, \mu)$  of  $A$  which consists of functions  $f \in A$ . We also obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for functions to be in these subclasses.

### 1. Introduction

As usual, let  $A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.1)$$

---

© 2012 Pushpa Publishing House

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: analytic function, convex function, starlike function, coefficient bounds, generalized operator.

\*Corresponding author

Received July 2, 2012

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  on the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

It is well known that for two functions  $f, g$ , given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

the Hadamard product (convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$ , is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

Let  $S$  stand for the well known subclass of  $A$  consisting of univalent functions.

The authors in [4] have, recently, introduced a new generalized operator  $D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z)$  as the following:

For complex parameters  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$ , ( $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ ;  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ).

Let the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  be defined by

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k, (\alpha_2)_k, \dots, (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k, (\beta_2)_k, \dots, (\beta_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

where

$$(q \leq s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers and  $(x)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the  $\Gamma$  function, by

$$(x)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+k-1) & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Corresponding to a function  $h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) \\ & =: z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z). \end{aligned}$$

Dziok-Srivastava [8] introduced a convolution operator on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) \\ & = h(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z) * f(z) \\ & = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1}, (\alpha_2)_{k-1}, \dots, (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1}, (\beta_2)_{k-1}, \dots, (\beta_s)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}}.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let

$$\phi_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(z)(\alpha_i, \beta_j; z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \delta_k z^k,$$

where

$$\delta_k = \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k-1))^m}$$

$$(i = \{1, 2, \dots, q\}, j = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

and  $(z \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z})$ , also  $(x)_k$  is the Pochhammer symbol.

We define a linear operator  $D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j): A \rightarrow A$  by the following Hadamard product:

$$D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z) := \varphi_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(z)(\alpha_i, \beta_j; z) * f(z)$$

$$D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k. \quad (1.2)$$

This operator  $D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z)$  includes various other linear operators which were considered in earlier works in the literature.

For  $m = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , we obtain

$$D_0^{1, 0, 0}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z) = H_{q, s}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s; z),$$

which was given by Dziok-Srivastava [8].

For  $\alpha_i = 1$  and  $\beta_j = 1$ , we obtain

$$D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(1, 1)f(z) = I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, l, n)f(z)$$

as given in [3].

For  $s = 1$  and  $q = 2$ , we obtain the linear operator:

$$D_0^{1, 0, 0}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1)f(z) = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1)f(z)$$

which was introduced by Hohlov [9]. Moreover, putting  $\alpha_2 = 1$ , we obtain the Carlson-Shaffer operator [5]:

$$D_0^{1, 0, 0}(\alpha_1, 1, \beta_1)f(z) = L(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z).$$

Ruscheweyh [19] introduced an operator

$$D_0^{1, 0, 0}(\lambda + 1, 1, 1)f(z) = D^\lambda f(z).$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let the class  $S_b^*$  consisting of all analytic functions  $f \in A$  satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > 0, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The class  $C_b$  consists of all analytic functions  $f \in A$  satisfying

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The function classes  $S_b^*$  and  $C_b$  were considered earlier by Nasr and Aouf [16-18] and Wiatrowski [21], respectively.

Furthermore, a function  $f(z) \in A$  is said to be in the class  $SC(b, \lambda, \gamma)$  if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z[\lambda zf'(z) + (1-\lambda)f(z)]'}{\lambda zf'(z) + (1-\lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \gamma, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $(f(z) \in A, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1)$ .

The function class satisfying the inequality (1.3) was considered by [2].

Let  $SC_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma)$  denote the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$  which satisfy the following condition:

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{z[D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z)]'}{D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \gamma, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $(z \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, l \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{Z})$  and  $(f(z) \in A, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, q \leq s+1, q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\})$ .

Note that for  $q = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\gamma = 0$ , the class  $SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{q, s}(b, \lambda, \gamma)$  coincides the class  $S_b^*$ . Furthermore, for  $q = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $l = 0$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , we obtain the class  $C_b$ , and when  $q = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $l = 0$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , we obtain the class of  $SC(b, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

The main aim of the present investigation is to derive some coefficient bounds for functions in the subclass  $T_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma, \mu)$  of  $A$  which consists of functions  $f(z) \in A$  satisfying the following nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Euler differential equation:

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 \omega}{dz^2} + 2(1 + \mu)z \frac{d\omega}{dz} + \mu(1 + \mu)\omega = (1 + \mu)(2 + \mu)g(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $(\omega = f(z) \in A, g(z) \in SC_{\alpha, \beta}^{q, s}(b, \lambda, \gamma), \mu \in R \setminus (-\infty, -1])$ .

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in A$  be defined by (1.1). If the function  $f \in SC_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma)$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{\delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}). \quad (2.1)$$

**Proof.** Define the function  $F(z)$  by

$$F(z) = D_l^{m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\alpha_i, \beta_j)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k,$$

where  $A_k = \delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k$ .

Thus, by setting

$$\frac{1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1 \right) - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} = p(z),$$

or, equivalently,

$$zF'(z) = [1 + b(1 - \gamma)(p(z) - 1)]F(z), \quad (2.2)$$

we get

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\Re\{p(z)\} > 0, \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1; b \in \mathbb{C}^*),$$

we conclude that

$$|p_k| \leq 2, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We also find from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(k - 1)A_k = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1 A_{k-1} + p_2 A_{k-2} + \dots + p_{k-1}).$$

In particular, for  $k = 2, 3, 4$ , we have

$$A_2 = b(1 - \gamma)p_1 \text{ implies } |A_2| \leq 2|b|(1 - \gamma),$$

$$2A_3 = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1 A_2 + p_2) \text{ implies } |A_3| \leq \frac{2|b|(1 - \gamma)[1 + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{2!}$$

and

$$3A_4 = b(1 - \gamma)(p_1 A_3 + p_2 A_2 + p_3) \text{ implies}$$

$$|A_4| \leq \frac{2|b|(1 - \gamma)[1 + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)][2 + 2|b|(1 - \gamma)]}{3!},$$

respectively. Using the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain

$$|A_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{(k-1)!}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}).$$

Moreover, by the relationship between the functions  $f(z)$  and  $F(z)$ , it is clear that

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{\delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}).$$

By choosing suitable values of all the admissible parameters that we used in Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.1** ([2]). *Let  $f(z) \in A$  be defined by (1.1). If the function  $f \in SC(b, \lambda, \gamma)$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{(k-1)! [1 + \lambda(k-1)]}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}).$$

**Corollary 2.2** ([16]). *Let  $f(z) \in A$  be defined by (1.1). If the function  $f \in S_b^*$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|]}{(k-1)!}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}).$$

**Corollary 2.3** ([16]). *Let  $f(z) \in A$  be defined by (1.1). If the function  $f \in C_b$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|]}{k!}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f(z) \in A$  be defined by (1.1). If the function  $f \in T_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma, \mu)$ , then*



$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{\delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)(k+\mu)(k+1+\mu)},$$

$$(k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}). \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  be defined by (1.1). Also, let

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in SC_{\alpha, \beta, l}^{q, s, m}(b, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma),$$

so

$$a_k \leq \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu)}{(k+\mu)(k+1+\mu)} b_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \mu \in R \setminus (-\infty, -1]).$$

Thus, by using Theorem 2.1, we readily obtain

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(1+\mu)(2+\mu) \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} [j+2|b|(1-\gamma)]}{\delta_k \Gamma_k(\alpha_1)(k+\mu)(k+1+\mu)},$$

$$(k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}),$$

which is precisely the assertion (2.4) of Theorem 2.2.

Some other works related to other generalized derivative or integral operators can be found in ([1, 6, 7, 10-15, 20]).

### Acknowledgement

The work presented here was partially supported by LRGS/TD/2011/UKM/ICT/03/02.

### References

- [1] M. H. Al-Abbadi and M. Darus, Differential subordination defined by new generalised derivative operator for analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2010, Art. ID 369078, 15 pp.

- [2] O. Altıntaş, H. Irmak, S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient bounds for some families of starlike and convex functions of complex order, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (2007), 1218-1222.
- [3] A. A. Amer and M. Darus, On some properties for new generalized derivative operator, *Jordan J. Math. Stat. (JJMS)* 4(2) (2011), 91-101.
- [4] A. A. Amer and M. Darus, On a subclass of  $k$ -uniformly starlike functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions, *Adv. Studies Theor. Phys.* 6(6) (2012), 273-284.
- [5] B. C. Carlson and D. B. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 15 (1984), 737-745.
- [6] M. Darus and K. Al-Shaqsi, On subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with generalized integral operator, *Lobachevskii J. Math.* 29(2) (2008), 90-97.
- [7] M. Darus and I. Faisal, Differential subordination for meromorphic multivalent quasi-convex functions, *Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser. Math. Inform.* 26 (2011), 1-7.
- [8] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [9] Ju. E. Hohlov, Operators and operations on the class of univalent functions, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* 10(197) (1978), 83-89.
- [10] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, Subordination and superordination for univalent solutions for fractional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345(2) (2008), 871-879.
- [11] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, On subordination theorems for new classes of normalize analytic functions, *Appl. Math. Sci.* 2 (2008), 2785-2794.
- [12] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, Differential subordination for meromorphic multivalent quasi-convex functions, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)* 79(1) (2010), 39-45.
- [13] R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus, Subordination for meromorphic functions defined by an operator, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 69(4) (2011), 413-419.
- [14] A. Mohammed, M. Darus and D. Breaz, On subordination, starlikeness and convexity of certain integral operators, *Mathematica, Tome 53* 76(2) (2011), 165-170.
- [15] M. H. Mohd and M. Darus, Differential subordination and superordination for Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.*, 2011, Art. ID 902830, 19 pp.

- [16] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Radius of convexity for the class of starlike functions of complex order, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. Sect. A 12 (1983), 153-159.
- [17] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Bounded starlike functions of complex order, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 92 (1983), 97-102.
- [18] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, J. Natur. Sci. Math. 25 (1985), 1-12.
- [19] St. Ruscheweyh, A subordination theorem for  $\Phi$ -like functions, J. London Math. Soc. 13 (1976), 275-280.
- [20] S. Sivasubramanian, A. Mohammed and M. Darus, Certain subordination properties for subclasses of analytic functions involving complex order, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 375897, 8 pp.
- [21] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Łódź Nauki. Mat. Przyrod Ser. II 39 (1971), 75-85.