



SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS USING COMPATIBLE MAPS OF TYPE(α) IN \mathcal{M} -FUZZY METRIC SPACES

Jong Seo Park

Department of Mathematics Education

Institute of Mathematics Education

Chinju National University of Education

Jinju 660-756, South Korea

e-mail: parkjs@cue.ac.kr

Abstract

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems and present the example for six mappings satisfying the some conditions in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. We generalize and extend for the results of [3], [7] and [9].

1. Introduction

Kramosil and Michalek [4] introduced the concepts of fuzzy metric spaces, and George and Veeramani [2] modified the concept of fuzzy metric spaces due to Kramosil and Michalek. Jungck et al. [3] have studied the fixed point theory in these spaces. Also, many authors have defined the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and proved the several fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space ([5, 7-9]). Park et al. [6] and Sedghi et al. [11] introduced the concept of \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space which is

© 2012 Pushpa Publishing House

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46S40, 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords and phrases: compatible of type(α), fixed point, complete, \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric.

Received October 11, 2011

generalization of fuzzy metric space and proved common fixed point theorems in complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems and give the example for six mappings satisfying the some conditions in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

2. Preliminaries and Properties

Now, we give some definitions, properties on \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space as following:

Definition 2.1 [1]. Let X be a nonempty set. Then a generalized metric (or D -metric) on X is a function $D : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
- (b) $D(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (c) $D(x, y, z) = D(p\{x, y, z\})$, where p is a permutation function,
- (d) $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(a, z, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

The pair (X, D) is called a *generalized metric* (or *D -metric*) space.

Let us recall (see [10]) that a continuous t -norm is a binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies the following conditions: (a) $*$ is commutative and associative, (b) $*$ is continuous, (c) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$, (d) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ ($a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$).

Definition 2.2 ([11]). The 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is said to be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, and \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$,

- (a) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$,
- (b) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y = z$,

- (c) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,
- (d) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \leq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$,
- (e) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

We know that both D -metric and fuzzy metric induce an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric as following.

Example 2.3. Let (X, D) be a D -metric space. Denote $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + D(x, y, z)}.$$

Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 2.4 [11]. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Then for any $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$, we have

- (a) $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t)$, (b) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing.

Definition 2.5 [6]. Let X be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$. Then

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to an $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$;
- (b) $\{x_n\}$ is called *Cauchy sequence* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$;
- (c) an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be *complete*.

Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with the following condition:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X, t > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.6 [6]. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with condition (2.1). If there exists a $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x_{n+1}, x_n, x_n, t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.7 [6]. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with condition (2.1). If for all $x, y \in X$ and for a number $k \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$, then $x = y = z$.

Definition 2.8 [11]. Let A, B be mappings from \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ into itself. Then the mappings are said to be *compatible* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(ABx_n, BAx_n, BAx_n, t) = 1$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Definition 2.9 [6]. Let A, B be mappings from \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ into itself. Then the mappings are called *compatible* of type(α) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(ABx_n, BBx_n, BBx_n, t) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(BAx_n, AAx_n, AAx_n, t) = 1$$

for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$.

Proposition 2.10 [9]. Let X be an \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space and A, B be mappings from X into itself. If A, B are compatible of type(α) and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$ for some $z \in X$, then

(a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = Az$ if A is continuous at $z \in X$,

(b) $ABz = BAz$ and $Az = Bz$ if A and B are continuous at $z \in X$.

3. Some Common Fixed Point using Compatible Maps of Type(α)

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy the condition (2.1). Let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself such that*

- (a) $P(X) \subset AB(X), Q(X) \subset ST(X)$,
- (b) $AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QS = SQ$ and $QT = TQ$,
- (c) A, B, S and T are continuous,
- (d) (P, AB) and (Q, ST) are compatible of type(α),
- (e) *There exists a $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X, \beta \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Px, Qy, Qy, kt) \\ & \geq \mathcal{M}(ABx, Px, Px, t) * \mathcal{M}(STy, Qy, Qy, t) * \mathcal{M}(STy, Px, Px, \beta t) \\ & \quad * \mathcal{M}(ABx, Qy, Qy, (2 - \beta)t) * \mathcal{M}(ABx, STy, STy, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Since $P(X) \subset AB(X)$ from (a), we can choose a point $x_1 \in X$ for any $x_0 \in X$ such that $Px_0 = ABx_1$. Also, since $Q(X) \subset ST(X)$, we can choose $x_2 \in X$ for this point x_1 such that $Qx_1 = STx_2$. Inductively, construct sequence $\{y_n\} \subset X$ such that $y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = ABx_{2n+1}$, $y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By (b), we have for all $t > 0$ and $\beta = 1 - q$ with $q \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \\ & = \mathcal{M}(Px_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+2}, Qx_{2n+2}, kt) \\ & \geq \mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(STx_{2n+2}, Qx_{2n+2}, Qx_{2n+2}, t) \\ & \quad * \mathcal{M}(STx_{2n+2}, Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n+1}, \beta t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& * \mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+2}, Qx_{2n+2}, (2 - \beta)t) \\
& * \mathcal{M}(ABx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+2}, STx_{2n+2}, t) \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) \\
& * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, qt).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t). \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+2}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+3}, t). \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, t)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. And for positive integers n, p ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, kt) \\
& \geq \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t) * \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right) = 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, t).$$

By Lemma 2.6, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to $z \in X$. Also, since $\{Px_{2n}\}$, $\{Qx_{2n+1}\}$, $\{ABx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{STx_{2n+2}\}$ are subsequences of $\{y_n\}$, hence they converge to the point

$z \in X$. Since A, B are continuous and (P, AB) is compatible maps of type(α), by Proposition 2.10(a), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(AB)x_{2n+1} = ABz \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (AB)^2 x_{2n+1} = ABz.$$

Also, since S, T are continuous and (Q, ST) is compatible maps of type(α), by Proposition 2.10(b), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(ST)x_{2n+2} = STz$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (ST)^2 x_{2n+2} = STz$.

First, let $x = (AB)x_{2n+1}$ and $y = x_{2n+2}$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(ABz, z, z, kt) &\geq 1 * 1 * 1 * \mathcal{M}(ABz, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz, z, z, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(ABz, z, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.7, $ABz = z$.

Second, let $x = Px_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, kt) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, Pz, Pz, t) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(Pz, z, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Pz = z$. Hence $Pz = z = ABz$.

Third, let $x = Bz$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, z, kt) &\geq \mathcal{M}(Bz, Bz, Bz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, Bz, Bz, t) \\ &\quad * \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(Bz, z, z, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Bz = z$. Also, since $ABz = z$, hence $Az = z$.

Fourth, let $x = z$ and $y = STx_{2n+2}$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{M}(z, STz, STz, kt) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(STz, STz, STz, t) * \mathcal{M}(STz, z, z, t) \\
 & \quad * \mathcal{M}(z, STz, STz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, STz, STz, t) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(z, STz, STz, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $STz = z$.

Fifth, let $x = z$ and $y = Qx_{2n+1}$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, kt) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) \\
 & \quad * \mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, t) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(z, Qz, Qz, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Qz = z$ and hence $STz = z = Qz$.

Sixth, let $x = z$ and $y = Tz$ with $\beta = 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (e), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{M}(Pz, Q(Tz), Q(Tz), kt) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(ABz, Pz, Pz, t) * \mathcal{M}(ST(Tz), Q(Tz), Q(Tz), t) \\
 & \quad * \mathcal{M}(ST(Tz), Pz, Pz, t) \\
 & \quad * \mathcal{M}(ABz, Q(Tz), Q(Tz), t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz, ST(Tz), ST(Tz), t) \\
 & \geq \mathcal{M}(Tz, z, z, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Tz = z$. Since $STz = z$, hence $STz = z = Sz$. Therefore, z is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q .

Finally, let $u(u \neq z)$ be another common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q , and $\beta = 1$. Then by (e),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Pz, Qu, Qu, kt) \\ & \geq \mathcal{M}(ABz, Pz, Pz, t) * M(STu, Qu, Qu, t) * \mathcal{M}(STu, Pz, Pz, t) \\ & \quad * \mathcal{M}(ABz, Qu, Qu, t) * \mathcal{M}(ABz, STu, STu, t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, u, u, kt) & \geq M(z, z, z, t) * M(u, u, u, t) * M(u, z, z, t) \\ & \quad * M(z, u, u, t) * M(z, u, u, t) \\ & \geq M(z, u, u, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q . \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy the condition (2.1). Let P, S be compatible maps of type (α) on X such that $P(X) \subset S(X)$. If S is continuous and there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}(Px, Py, Py, kt) \\ & \geq \mathcal{M}(Sx, Px, Px, t) * \mathcal{M}(Sy, Py, Py, t) * \mathcal{M}(Sy, Px, Px, \beta t) \\ & \quad * \mathcal{M}(Sx, Py, Py, (2 - \beta)t) * \mathcal{M}(Sx, Sy, Sy, t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $\beta \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$, then P and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 with $P = Q$, $S = A$ and $B = T = I_X$. \square

Example 3.3. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and (X, D) be a D -metric space. Define $D(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$ and $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) =$

$\frac{t}{t + D(x, y, z)}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$. Then X is a complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space. Let A, B, S, T, P and Q be defined as $Ax = x$, $Bx = \frac{x}{2}$, $Sx = \frac{x}{5}$, $Tx = \frac{x}{3}$, $Px = \frac{x}{6}$ and $Qx = 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then $P(X) = \left[0, \frac{1}{6}\right] \subset \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] = AB(X)$. Also, since $Q(X) = \{0\}$ and $ST(X) = \left[0, \frac{1}{15}\right]$, hence $Q(X) \subset ST(X)$. If we take $k = \frac{1}{2}$, $t = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, then we see that Theorem 3.1(e) is satisfied. Furthermore, Theorems 3.1(b) and (c) are satisfied, and (P, AB) is compatible maps of type(α) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$, where $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABx_n = 0$ for some $0 \in X$. Similarly, (Q, ST) is also compatible maps of type(α). Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q .

References

- [1] B. C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [2] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [3] G. Jungck, P. P. Murthy and Y. J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type(A) and common fixed points, Math. Japonica 38(2) (1993), 381-390.
- [4] J. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [5] J. H. Park, J. S. Park and Y. C. Kwun, A common fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Advances in Natural Comput. Data Mining, Proc. 2nd ICNC and 3rd FSKD, 2006, pp. 293-300.
- [6] J. H. Park, J. S. Park and Y. C. Kwun, Fixed points in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Mak. 7(4) (2008), 305-315.
- [7] J. S. Park, Some common fixed point theorems using compatible maps of type(α) on intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B 16(1) (2009), 19-30.

- [8] J. S. Park, S. Y. Kim and H. J. Kang, A common fixed point theorem in the intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, J. KFIS 16(3) (2006), 321-325.
- [9] J. S. Park, J. H. Park and Y. C. Kwun, On some results for five mappings using compatibility of type(α) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, International J. Fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems 8(4) (2008), 299-305.
- [10] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 314-334.
- [11] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and J. H. Park, A common fixed point theorem in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces (submitted).