



THE NUMBER OF INTEGRAL POINTS OF QUADRATIC FORMS MODULO p^2

ALI H. HAKAMI

Department of Mathematics
King Khalid University
P. O. Box 9004, Abha
Postal Code: 61431, Saudi Arabia
e-mail: aalhakami@kku.edu.sa

Abstract

Let $Q(\mathbf{x}) = Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a quadratic form over \mathbb{Z} and p be an odd prime. Let $V = V_Q = V_{p^2}$ denote the set of zeros of $Q(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} and $|V|$ denote the cardinality of V . Set $\phi(V_{p^2}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ for $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\phi(V_{p^2}, \mathbf{y}) = |V_p| - p^{2(n-1)}$ for $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$. In this paper, we are interested to determine the number of integer solutions of the congruence $Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

1. Introduction

Let $Q(\mathbf{x}) = Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}x_i x_j$ be a quadratic form with integer coefficients in n -variables and $V = V_{p^2}(Q)$ be the algebraic subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$ defined by the equation $Q(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. When n is even, we let $\Delta_p(Q) = ((-1)^{n/2} \det A_Q / p)$ if $p \nmid \det A_Q$ and $\Delta_p(Q) = 0$ if $p \mid \det A_Q$, where (\bullet/p)

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D79.

Keywords and phrases: quadratic forms, integer solutions.

Received December 19, 2010

denotes the Legendre-Jacobi symbol and A_Q is the $n \times n$ defining matrix for $Q(\mathbf{x})$.

Our interest in this paper is in the problem of finding points in V with the variables restricted to a box of the type

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n \mid a_i \leq x_i < a_i + m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad (1)$$

where $a_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 < m_i < p^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider the congruence

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (2)$$

The final result of this paper is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $V_{p^2, \mathbb{Z}} = V_{p^2, \mathbb{Z}}(Q)$ be the set of integer solutions of the congruence (2). Then for any box \mathcal{B} of type (1),*

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2, \mathbb{Z}}| \leq \gamma_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + N_{\mathcal{B}} p^n \right), \quad (3)$$

where the brackets $|\cdot|$ are used to denote the cardinality of the set inside the brackets,

$$\gamma_n = 2^n(1 + 6^n), \quad (4)$$

and we define

$$N_{\mathcal{B}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\left[\frac{m_i}{p^2} \right] + 1 \right). \quad (5)$$

We shall devote the rest of Section 4 to give the proof of Theorem 1. If V is the set of zeros of a “nonsingular” quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{x})$, then we can show that

$$|V \cap \mathcal{B}| = \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p} + O(p^{n/2}(\log p)^{2n}), \quad (6)$$

for any box \mathcal{B} (see [1] and [7]). It is apparent from (6) that $|V \cap \mathcal{B}|$ is nonempty provided

$$|\mathcal{B}| \gg p^{(n/2)+1}(\log p)^{2n}.$$

For any \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, let $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ denote the ordinary dot product, $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} =$

$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, let $e_{p^2}(x) = e^{2\pi i x/p^2}$. We use the abbreviation $\sum_{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n}$ for complete sums. The key ingredient in obtaining the identity in

(6) is a uniform upper bound on the function

$$\phi(V, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) & \text{for } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ |V| - p^{2(n-1)} & \text{for } \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In order to show that $\mathcal{B} \cap V$ is nonempty we can proceed as follows. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ be a complex valued function on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$ such that $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ for all \mathbf{x} not in \mathcal{B} . If we show that $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, then it will follow that $\mathcal{B} \cap V$ is nonempty. Now $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ has a finite Fourier expansion

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$a(\mathbf{y}) = p^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) e_{p^2}(-\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}),$$

for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} e_{p^2}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\ &= a(\mathbf{0}) |V| + \sum_{\mathbf{y} \neq 0} a(\mathbf{y}) \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} e_{p^2}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a(\mathbf{0}) = p^{-2n} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x})$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = p^{-2n} |V| \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y} \neq 0} a(\mathbf{y}) \phi(V, \mathbf{y}), \quad (8)$$

where $\phi(V, \mathbf{y})$ is defined by (7). A variation of (8) that is sometimes more useful is

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) \phi(V, \mathbf{y}), \quad (9)$$

which is obtained from (8) by noticing that $|V| = \phi(V, \mathbf{0}) + p^{2(n-1)}$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &= a(\mathbf{0}) [\phi(V, \mathbf{0}) + p^{2(n-1)}] + \sum_{\mathbf{y} \neq 0} a(\mathbf{y}) \phi(V, \mathbf{y}), \\ &= p^{2n-2} a(\mathbf{0}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) \phi(V, \mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Equations (8) and (9) express the “incomplete” sum $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x})$ as a fraction of the “complete” sum $\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x})$ plus an error term. In general, $|V| \approx p^{2(n-1)}$ so that the fractions in the two equations are about the same. In fact, if V is defined by a “nonsingular” quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{x})$, then $|V| = p^{2(n-1)} + O(p^n)$ (that is $|\phi(V, \mathbf{0})| \ll p^n$).

To show that $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x})$ is positive, it suffices to show that the error term is smaller in absolute value than the (positive) main term on the right-hand side of (8) or (9). We try to make an optimal choice of $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ in order to minimize the error term. Special cases of (8) and (9) have appeared a number of times in the literature for different types of algebraic sets V ; Chalk [4], Tietäväinen [6] and Myerson [5]. The first case treated was to let $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ be the characteristic function $\chi_s(\mathbf{x})$ of a subset S of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, whence (9) gives rise to formulas of the type

$$|V \cap S| = p^{-2} |S| + \text{Error}.$$

Equation (2) is obtained in this manner. Particular attention has been given to the case where $S = \mathcal{B}$, a box of points in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. Another popular choice for α is let it

be a convolution of two characteristic functions, $\alpha = \chi_s * \chi_T$ for $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. We recall that if $\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})$ are complex valued functions defined on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, then the

convolution of $\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})$, written $\alpha * \beta(\mathbf{x})$, is defined by

$$\alpha * \beta(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u}} \alpha(\mathbf{u})\beta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{u})\beta(\mathbf{v}),$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. If we take $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_S * \chi_T(\mathbf{x})$, then it is clear from the definition that $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ is the number of ways of expressing \mathbf{x} as a sum $\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{t}$ with $\mathbf{s} \in S$ and $\mathbf{t} \in T$.

Moreover, $(S + T) \cap V$ is nonempty if and only if $\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) > 0$.

We make use of a number of basic properties of finite Fourier series, which are listed below. They are based on the orthogonality relationship,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n} e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} p^{2n} & \text{if } \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

and can be routinely checked. By viewing $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module, the Gauss sum

$$S_p(Q, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n} e_{p^2}(Q(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}),$$

is well defined whether we take $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ or $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \beta(\mathbf{x})$ be complex valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$ with the Fourier expansions

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y})e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}), \quad \beta(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} b(\mathbf{y})e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}).$$

Then

$$\alpha * \beta(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} p^{2n} a(\mathbf{y})b(\mathbf{y})e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}), \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha(\mathbf{x})\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} (a * b)(\mathbf{y})e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}), \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}} (\alpha * \beta)(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \beta(\mathbf{x}) \right), \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |(\alpha * \beta)(\mathbf{x})| \leq \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\alpha(\mathbf{x})| \right) \left(\sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\beta(\mathbf{x})| \right), \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})|^2 = p^{-2n} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\alpha(\mathbf{x})|^2. \quad (14)$$

The last identity is Parseval's equality.

2. Fundamental Identity

Let $Q(\mathbf{x}) = Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a quadratic form with integer coefficients and p be an odd prime. Consider the congruence (2):

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.$$

Using identities for the Gauss sum $S = \sum_{x=1}^{p^2} e_{p^2}(ax^2 + bx)$, we obtain

Lemma 1 [2, Lemma 2.3]. *Suppose n is even, Q is nonsingular modulo p and $\Delta = \Delta_p(Q)$. For $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, put $\mathbf{y}' = \frac{1}{p}\mathbf{y}$ in case $p|\mathbf{y}$. Then for any \mathbf{y} ,*

$$\phi(V, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} p^n - p^{n-1} & \text{if } p \nmid y_i \text{ for some } i \text{ and } p^2 | Q^*(\mathbf{y}), \\ -p^{n-1} & \text{if } p \nmid y_i \text{ for some } i \text{ and } p || Q^*(\mathbf{y}), \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid y_i \text{ for some } i \text{ and } p \nmid Q^*(\mathbf{y}), \\ -\Delta p^{(3n/2)-2} + p^{n-1}(p-1) & \text{if } p | y_i \text{ for all } i \text{ and } p \nmid Q^*(\mathbf{y}'), \\ \Delta(p-1)p^{(3n/2)-2} + p^{n-1}(p-1) & \text{if } p | y_i \text{ for all } i \text{ and } p | Q^*(\mathbf{y}'), \end{cases}$$

where Q^* is the quadratic form associated with the inverse of the matrix for $Q \pmod{p}$.

Back to (14) we saw the identity

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{y} \neq 0} a(\mathbf{y}) \phi(V, \mathbf{y}).$$

Inserting the value $\phi(V, \mathbf{y})$ in Lemma 1 yields (see [3]),

Lemma 2 [The fundamental identity]. *For any complex valued $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &= p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + p^n \sum_{p^2 | Q^*(\mathbf{y})} a(\mathbf{y}) - p^{n-1} \sum_{p | Q^*(\mathbf{y})} a(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad - \Delta p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y}' (\text{mod } p) \\ p^2 | Q^*(\mathbf{y}')}} a(p\mathbf{y}') + \Delta p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\substack{p | Q^*(\mathbf{y}') \\ \mathbf{y}' (\text{mod } p)}} a(p\mathbf{y}'). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

3. Auxiliary Lemma on Estimating the Sum $\sum_{y_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y})$

For later reference, we construct the following lemma on estimating the sum $\sum_{y_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y})$. Let \mathcal{B} be a box of points in \mathbb{Z}^n as in (1) centered about the origin with all $m_i \leq p^2$, and view this box as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$. Let $\chi_{\mathcal{B}}$ be its characteristic function with the Fourier expansion $\chi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$. Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}} * \chi_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$. Then for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$,

$$a(\mathbf{y}) = p^{-2n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin^2 \pi m_i y_i / p^2}{\sin^2 \pi y_i / p^2}, \quad (16)$$

where the term in the product is taken to be m_i if $y_i = 0$. In particular, if we take $|y_i| \leq p^2/2$ for all i , then

$$a(\mathbf{y}) \leq p^{-2n} \prod_{i=1}^n \min \left\{ m_i^2, \left(\frac{p^2}{2y_i} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{B} be any box of type (1) and $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}} * \chi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x})$. Suppose*

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_l < p \leq m_{l+1} \leq \cdots \leq m_n. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n} a(p\mathbf{y}) \leq 2^{n-l} p^{l-2n} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i.$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{y_i=1}^p \sum_{x_j=1}^{p^2} \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) e_{p^2}(-\mathbf{x} \cdot p\mathbf{y}) \\
&= \sum_{x_i=1}^{p^2} \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{y_i=1}^p e_p(-\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{x_i=1 \\ x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}}^{p^2} \frac{p^n}{p^{2n}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B} \\ \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{B}} 1 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p^n} \prod_{i=1}^n m_i \left(\left[\frac{m_i}{p} \right] + 1 \right). \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last inequality in (18) we must count the number of solutions of the congruence

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{0} \pmod{p},$$

with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{B}$. For each choice of \mathbf{v} , there are at most $\prod_{i=1}^n ([m_i/p] + 1)$ choices for \mathbf{u} . So the total number of solutions is less than or equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^n m_i \left(\left[\frac{m_i}{p} \right] + 1 \right).$$

Using the hypothesis (17) then continuing from (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}) &\leq \frac{1}{p^n} \prod_{i=1}^l m_i \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \left(\frac{m_i}{p} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^n} \prod_{i=l+1}^n \left(\frac{2m_i}{p} \right) \leq \frac{2^{n-l} |\mathcal{B}|}{p^{2n-l}} \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is established. \square

Another way to derive a good estimate for the sum $\sum_{y_i}^p a(py)$ is as the following: Let \mathbf{y}' run through the set $\{y' \in \mathbb{Z}_p : Q^*(\mathbf{y}') \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$. Rewrite (16) to be for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, with $|y_i| < p/2$,

$$a(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i(y_i),$$

where

$$a_i(y_i) = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\sin^2 \pi m_i y_i / p^2}{\sin^2 \pi y_i / p^2},$$

and the term in the product is taken to be m_i if $y_i = 0$ (as before). Then plainly

$$|a_i(y_i)| \leq \frac{1}{p^2} \min \left\{ m_i^2, \frac{p^4}{4y_i^2} \right\} = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{m_i}{p} \right)^2, \frac{p^2}{4y_i^2} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

Replace each \mathbf{y} by $p\mathbf{y}'$. Then, with $|y'_i| < p/2$, we have

$$|a(p\mathbf{y}')| \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{m_i}{p} \right)^2, \frac{1}{4y'^2_i} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y_i}^p |a(p\mathbf{y})| &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \prod_{i=1}^n |a_i(p\mathbf{y}_i)| = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(p\mathbf{y}_i)| \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{|y_i| < p/2} \min \left\{ \frac{m_i^2}{p^2}, \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \right\} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{|y_i| \leq p/2m_i} \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + \sum_{|y_i| > p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(using the fact: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and continuing),

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{p}{m_i} + 1 \right) \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + \frac{1}{4} 2 \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{m_i}{p} + \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + 1 \right).$$

Assuming (17), we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(p\mathbf{y})| \leq \prod_{i=1}^l 3 \prod_{i=l+1}^n 3 \left(\frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \right) = 3^n \prod_{i=l+1}^n \left(\frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \right).$$

Comparing the above two estimates for $\sum_{y_i}^p a(p\mathbf{y})$, we conclude that the estimate the first one is still better.

4. Lattice Points in the Box \mathcal{B}

As we mentioned before our interest in this paper is in determining the number of solutions of the congruence (2):

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

with $x \in \mathcal{B}$, the box of points in \mathbb{Z}^n given by (1):

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_i \leq x_i < a_i + m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

where $a_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq m_i \leq p^2$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $|\mathcal{B}| = \prod_{i=1}^n m_i$, the cardinality of \mathcal{B} . View the box \mathcal{B} as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$ and let $\chi_{\mathcal{B}}$ be its characteristic function with the Fourier expansion

$$\chi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}).$$

Lemma 4. Let p be an odd prime, $V_{p^2} = V_{p^2}(Q)$ be the set of zeros of (2) in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, and \mathcal{B} be a box as given in (1) centered at the origin with all $m_i \leq p^2$. If $\Delta_p = \pm 1$, then

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq 9_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right),$$

where

$$9_n = \begin{cases} 2^n \left(1 + \frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \right), & \Delta = -1, \\ 2^n (1 + 2^{(n/2)+1}), & \Delta = +1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin by writing (15), the fundamental identity $(\bmod p^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &= p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + p^n \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p^2 \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) - p^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad - \Delta p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{y'_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') + \Delta p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\substack{y'_i=1 \\ p \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y}')}}^p a(p\mathbf{y}'). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha = \chi_{\mathcal{B}} * \chi_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} a(\mathbf{y}) e_{p^2}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$. Then the Fourier coefficients of $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ are given by $a(\mathbf{y}) = p^{2n} a_{\mathcal{B}}^2(\mathbf{y})$ and since \mathcal{B} is centered at the origin, these are positive real numbers. By Parseval's identity, we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})| = p^{2n} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y})|^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |\chi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y})|^2 = |\mathcal{B}|. \quad (20)$$

Thus, it follows from (20),

$$p^n \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p^2 \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) \leq p^n \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})| \leq p^n |\mathcal{B}|. \quad (21)$$

Notice that the main term in (15) is

$$p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \chi_{\mathcal{B}} * \chi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2}. \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{y'_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') \leq 2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i, \quad (23)$$

and

$$p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\substack{y'_i=1 \\ p \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y}')}}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') \leq p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\mathbf{y}'} a(p\mathbf{y}') \leq 2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i, \quad (24)$$

where l , as defined before,

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_l < p \leq m_{l+1} \leq \cdots \leq m_n.$$

The case $\Delta_p(Q) = -1$.

Now going back to (15), if $\Delta = -1$, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in V \\ p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \leq p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + p^n \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p^2 |\mathcal{Q}^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) + p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{y'_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}'). \quad (25)$$

Then by the equalities in (21), (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in V \\ p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \leq \underbrace{\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2}}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{p^n |\mathcal{B}|}_{\textcircled{2}} + \underbrace{2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}_{\textcircled{3}}. \quad (26)$$

We next determine which of the terms $\textcircled{1}$, $\textcircled{2}$ and $\textcircled{3}$ in (26) is the dominant term.

We consider two cases:

Case (i). Suppose $l \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Then compare

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\textcircled{3}}{\textcircled{1}} &= \frac{2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}{|\mathcal{B}|^2 / p^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} p^{l-(n/2)} 2^{n-l} \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i = \frac{p^{l-(n/2)} 2^{n-l}}{\prod_{i=1}^l m_i} \\ &\leq 2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)} = 2^n \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^l p^{-n/2} \leq 2^n \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{(n/2)-1} p^{-n/2} \leq 2^{(n/2)+1} \cdot \frac{1}{p}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\textcircled{3} \leq \frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \textcircled{1} \quad \text{or} \quad 2^n p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \leq \frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2}.$$

Case (ii). Suppose $l \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then compare

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\textcircled{3}}{\textcircled{2}} &= \frac{2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}{p^n |\mathcal{B}|} = 2^{n-l} p^{l-(3n/2)-2} \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \\ &\leq 2^n p^{l-(3n/2)-2} p^{2(n-l)} = 2^{n-l} p^{n/2-2-l} \leq \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\textcircled{3} \leq \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} \textcircled{2} \quad \text{or} \quad 2^n p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \leq \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} p^n |\mathcal{B}|.$$

So for any l , we have

$$\textcircled{3} \leq \left(\frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \textcircled{1} + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} \textcircled{2} \right)$$

or

$$2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-2} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \leq \left(\frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right).$$

Returning to (26), we now write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3} \\ &\leq \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \textcircled{1} + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} \textcircled{2} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2^{(n/2)+1}}{p} \right) \textcircled{1} + \left(1 + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p^2} \right) \textcircled{2} \\ &\leq \mathfrak{S}'_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right), \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}'_n = 1 + (2^{(n/2)+1}/p)$. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2^n} |\mathcal{B}| |V_{p^2} \cap \mathcal{B}|. \tag{28}$$

Hence, it follows by combining (27) and (28) that

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq 2^n \mathfrak{S}'_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right).$$

The case $\Delta_p(Q) = +1$.

If $\Delta_p = +1$, again by (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &\leq p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + p^n \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})| + p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \pmod{p}} a(p\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2}}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{p^n |\mathcal{B}|}_{\textcircled{2}} + \underbrace{2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}_{\textcircled{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We perform a similar investigation (as before) to determine which of the terms $\textcircled{1}$, $\textcircled{2}$ and $\textcircled{4}$ of the inequality (29) is the dominant term. In Case (i), we find $\textcircled{4}/\textcircled{1} \leq 2^{(n/2)+1}$, which means that $\textcircled{4} \leq 2^{(n/2)+1} \textcircled{1}$. And in Case (ii), we find $\textcircled{4}/\textcircled{2} \leq 2^{n/2}/p$, which gives us that $\textcircled{4} \leq 2^{n/2}/p \textcircled{2}$. Hence for any l , we have

$$\textcircled{4} \leq \left(2^{(n/2)+1} \textcircled{1} + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p} \textcircled{2} \right)$$

or

$$2^{n-l} p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \leq \left(2^{(n/2)+1} \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p} p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right).$$

Now looking at (29), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &\leq (1 + 2^{(n/2)+1}) \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + \left(1 + \frac{2^{n/2}}{p} \right) p^n |\mathcal{B}| \\ &\leq \mathfrak{g}_n'' \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_n'' = 1 + 2^{(n/2)+1}$. Thus by (28),

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq \frac{2^n}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathfrak{g}_n'' 2^n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right).$$

Lastly letting $\vartheta_n = 2^n \vartheta'_n$ if $\Delta = -1$ and $\vartheta_n = \vartheta''_n$ if $\Delta = +1$ we get from (27) and (30) that for $\Delta = \pm 1$, we have

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq \vartheta_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right).$$

This achieves the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4 is stated for boxes centered at the origin. In the next lemma we will drop this hypothesis and prove the lemma for arbitrary boxes.

Lemma 5. *Let p be an odd prime, $V_{p^2} = V_{p^2}(Q)$ be the set of zeros of (2) in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^n$, and \mathcal{B} be any box as given in (1) with all $m_i \leq p^2$. If $\Delta_p = \pm 1$, then*

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq \gamma_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right),$$

where

$$\gamma_n = 2^n(1 + 6^n).$$

Proof. The fundamental identity $(\bmod p^2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &= p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) + p^n \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p^2 | Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) - p^{n-1} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p | Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y})}_{E_1} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\Delta p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{y'_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') + \Delta p^{(3n/2)-1} \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{y'_i=1 \\ p | Q^*(\mathbf{y}')}}^p a(p\mathbf{y}')}_{E_3}}_{E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}} * \chi_{\mathcal{B}'}$, where $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} - \mathbf{c}$. The value \mathbf{c} is chosen such that \mathcal{B}' is “nearly” centered at the origin:

$$c_i = a_i + \left[\frac{m_i - 1}{2} \right].$$

Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathcal{B}| |\mathcal{B}'| = |\mathcal{B}|^2,$$

$$\alpha(\mathbf{0}) = \sum_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{B} \\ \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}'} 1 \leq |\mathcal{B}|,$$

$$a(\mathbf{y}) = p^{2n} a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y}) a_{\mathcal{B}'}(\mathbf{y}).$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality (see [8]) and Parseval's identity (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})| &= p^n \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y}) a_{\mathcal{B}'}(\mathbf{y})| \\ &\leq p^n \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y}'} |a_{\mathcal{B}'}(\mathbf{y}')|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq p^n \left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \chi_{\mathcal{B}}^2(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{\mathbf{y}'} \chi_{\mathcal{B}'}^2(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\mathcal{B}|^{1/2} |\mathcal{B}'|^{1/2} = |\mathcal{B}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|E_0 - E_1| = \left| p^n \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p^2|Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) - p^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p|Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} a(\mathbf{y}) \right| = \left| \sum_{\substack{y_i=1 \\ p|Q^*(\mathbf{y})}}^{p^2} \psi(\mathbf{y}) a(\mathbf{y}) \right|, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\psi(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} p^n - p^{n-1}, & p^2|Q^*(\mathbf{y}), \\ -p^{n-1}, & p|Q^*(\mathbf{y}). \end{cases}$$

Continuing from (31),

$$|E_0 - E_1| \leq (p^n - p^{n-1}) \sum_{\mathbf{y}} |a(\mathbf{y})| \leq (p^n - p^{n-1}) |\mathcal{B}|.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_2 - E_3| &= \left| -\Delta p^{(3n/2)-2} \sum_{y'_i=1}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') + \Delta p^{(3n/2)-1} \sum_{\substack{y'_i=1 \\ p \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y}')}}^p a(p\mathbf{y}') \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{y'_i=1}^p \theta(\mathbf{y}') a(p\mathbf{y}') \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where

$$\theta(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} p^{(3n/2)-1} - p^{(3n/2)-2}, & p \mid Q^*(\mathbf{y}), \\ p^{(3n/2)-2}, & p \nmid Q^*(\mathbf{y}). \end{cases}$$

Continuing from (32),

$$|E_2 - E_3| \leq (p^{3n/2-1} - p^{3n/2-2}) \sum_{y'_i=1}^p |a(p\mathbf{y}')|. \quad (33)$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 5, we need the following:

Lemma 6.

$$\sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(p y_i)| \leq \begin{cases} 6 \frac{m_i}{p} & \text{if } m_i \leq p, \\ 3 \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} & \text{if } m_i > p. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin by establishing the inequality

$$\sum_{|y_i| > p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \leq \begin{cases} 4 \frac{m_i}{p} & \text{if } m_i \leq p/2, \\ 1 & \text{if } m_i > p/2. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

We split the proof into two cases.

Case (I). If $\frac{p}{2m_i} \geq 1$, then

$$L = \left[\frac{p}{2m_i} \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{2m_i} = \frac{p}{4m_i}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y=L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4y^2} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{y=L}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \leq \frac{1}{4L^2} + \frac{1}{4} \int_L^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4L^2} + \frac{1}{4L} = \frac{1}{4L} \left(1 + \frac{1}{L} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4L} = \frac{1}{2L} \leq \frac{4m_i}{2p} = 2 \frac{m_i}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{|y_i|>p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \leq 4 \frac{m_i}{p}.$$

Case (II). If $\frac{p}{2m_i} < 1$, then by (19),

$$\sum_{|y_i|>p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \leq \frac{2}{4} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \leq \frac{\pi^2}{3 \cdot 4} = \frac{\pi^2}{12} \leq 1.$$

By Case (I) and Case (II), (34) follows.

We return to the proof of the lemma. Say $a(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i(y_i)$. Then by the Fourier coefficients $a(\mathbf{y}) = p^{2n} a_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{y}) a_{\mathcal{B}'}(\mathbf{y})$,

$$|a_i(y_i)| = p^2 |a_{\mathcal{B},i}(y_i) a_{\mathcal{B}',i}(y_i)| = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\sin^2(\pi m_i y_i / p^2)}{\sin^2(\pi y_i / p^2)},$$

and so

$$|a_i(p y_i)| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{m_i^2}{p^2}, \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \right\}, \quad \text{for } |y_i| < p/2.$$

We consider four cases:

Case (i). If $m_i \leq \frac{p}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|y_i|<p/2} |a_i(p y_i)| &\leq \sum_{|y_i|\leq p/2m_i} \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + \sum_{|y_i|>p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \\ &\leq \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \left(\frac{p}{m_i} + 1 \right) + \frac{4m_i}{p} = \frac{5m_i}{p} + \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \leq 6 \frac{m_i}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii). If $m_i > \frac{p}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(py_i)| &\leq \sum_{|y_i| \leq p/2m_i} \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + \sum_{|y_i| > p/2m_i} \frac{1}{4y_i^2} \\ &\leq \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \left(\frac{p}{m_i} + 1 \right) + 1 = \frac{m_i}{p} + \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii). If $\frac{p}{2} < m_i < p$, then

$$\sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(py_i)| \leq \frac{m_i}{p} + \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} + 1 \leq 2 \frac{m_i}{p} + 1 \leq 4 \frac{m_i}{p}.$$

Case (iv). If $m_i > p$, then

$$\sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(py_i)| \leq 2 \left(\frac{m_i}{p} \right)^2 + 1 \leq 3 \frac{m_i^2}{p^2},$$

completing the proof of Lemma 6. \square

Proof of Lemma 5 (Continued). Suppose

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_l \leq p < m_{l+1} \leq \dots \leq m_n.$$

By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\mathbf{y}| < p/2} |a_i(p\mathbf{y})| &= \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{|y_i| < p/2} |a_i(py_i)| = \prod_{m_i \leq p} 6 \frac{m_i}{p} \prod_{m_i > p} 3 \frac{m_i^2}{p^2} \\ &\leq 3^n 2^l \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^n} \prod_{m_i > p} \frac{m_i}{p} = 3^n 2^l \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^n} \frac{\prod_{m_i > p} m_i}{p^{n-l}}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Using (35), then continuing from (33),

$$|E_2 - E_3| \leq p^{(3n/2)-2}(p-1) \cdot 3^n 2^l p^{l-2n} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i.$$

Thus for $\Delta = \pm 1$, the fundamental identity gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_p^2} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + |E_0 - E_1| + |E_2 - E_3| \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + (p^n - p^{n-1})|\mathcal{B}| + p^{(3n/2)-2}(p-1) \cdot 3^n 2^l p^{l-2n} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \\
&\leq \underbrace{\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2}}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{p^n |\mathcal{B}|}_{\textcircled{2}} + \underbrace{3^n 2^l p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}_{\textcircled{3}}. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

The task now is to determine which of the terms $\textcircled{1}$, $\textcircled{2}$ and $\textcircled{3}$ in (36) is the dominant term. We consider two cases:

Case (i). Suppose $l \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Then compare

$$\begin{aligned}
\textcircled{3} &= \frac{3^n 2^l p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}{|\mathcal{B}|^2 / p^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} p^{l-(n/2)+1} 3^n 2^l \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i \\
&= \frac{p^{l-(n/2)+1} 3^n 2^l}{\prod_{i=1}^l m_i} \leq 3^n 2^l p^{l-(n/2)+1} = 3^n 2^l.
\end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\textcircled{3} \leq 3^n 2^l \textcircled{1}.$$

Case (ii). Suppose $l \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then compare

$$\textcircled{2} = \frac{3^n 2^l p^{l-(n/2)-1} |\mathcal{B}| \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i}{p^n |\mathcal{B}|} = 3^n 2^l p^{l-(3n/2)-1} \prod_{i=l+1}^n m_i$$

$$\leq 3^n 2^l p^{l-(3n/2)-1} p^{2(n-l)} = 3^n 2^l p^{(n/2)-1-l} \leq \frac{3^n 2^l}{p}.$$

This gives that

$$\textcircled{3} \leq \frac{3^n 2^l}{p} \textcircled{2}.$$

So for any l , we have

$$\textcircled{3} \leq \left(3^n 2^l \textcircled{1} + \frac{3^n 2^l}{p} \textcircled{2} \right).$$

Returning to (36), we now write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3} \\ &\leq \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + 3^n 2^l \textcircled{1} + \frac{3^n 2^l}{p} \textcircled{2} \\ &= (1 + 3^n 2^l) \textcircled{1} + \left(1 + \frac{3^n 2^l}{p} \right) \textcircled{2} \\ &\leq \gamma'_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{p^2} + p^n |\mathcal{B}| \right), \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $\gamma'_n = 1 + 3^n 2^l$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in V_{p^2}} \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{2^n} |\mathcal{B}| |V_{p^2} \cap \mathcal{B}|. \tag{38}$$

Hence it follows by combining (37) and (38) that

$$|\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2}| \leq 2^n \gamma'_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right) \leq \gamma_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + p^n \right),$$

where $\gamma_n = 2^n (1 + 6^n)$. Lemma 5 is proved. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Partition \mathcal{B} into $N = N_{\mathcal{B}}$ smaller boxes B_i ,

$$\mathcal{B} = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_N,$$

where each B_i has all of its edge lengths $\leq p^2$. Then we can apply Lemma 5 to each B_i , to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B} \cap V_{p^2, \mathbb{Z}}| &= \sum_{i=1}^N |B_i \cap V_{p^2}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_n \left(\frac{|B_i|}{p^2} + p^n \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_n}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^N |B_i| + N\gamma_n p^n \\ &= \gamma_n \left(\frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{p^2} + N_{\mathcal{B}} p^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

References

- [1] T. Cochrane, Small solutions of congruences, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 1984.
- [2] A. Hakami, Small zeros of quadratic congruences to a prime power modulus, Ph.D. Thesis, Kansas State University, 2009.
- [3] Ali H. Hakami, Small zeros of quadratic forms modulo p^2 , JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl. 17(2) (2010), 141-162.
- [4] J. H. H. Chalk, The number of solutions of congruences in incomplete residue systems, Canad. J. Math. 15 (1963), 191-296.
- [5] G. Myerson, The distribution of rational points on varieties defined over a finite field, Mathematika 28 (1981), 153-159.
- [6] A. Tietäväinen, On the solvability of equations in incomplete finite fields, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. AI 102 (1967), 1-13.

- [7] Myung-Hwan Kim et al., International Conference on Integral Forms and Lattices, Integral quadratic forms and lattices, Proceedings of the International Conference on Integral Quadratic Forms and Lattices, June 15-19, 1998, Seoul National University, Korea.
- [8] H. L. King, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1982.