



CONSTRUCTION OF BAYER'S THEOREM IN THE SAMPLE SPACE $S_{N,r}^p (S_{N,r}^c)$ OF r -PERMUTATIONS/ r -COMBINATIONS

C. MOORE¹, O. C. OKOLI², M. LAISIN² and W. UWANDU³

¹Department of Mathematics
Nnamdi Azikiwe University
Awka, P.M.B. 5025
Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria
e-mail: drchikamoore@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics/Statistics
Anambra State University
P.M.B. 02, Uli, Anambra State, Nigeria
e-mail: odicomatics@yahoo.com
laisinmark@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics/Statistics
Abia State Polytechnic
Aba, Abia State, Nigeria
e-mail: emmy24goal@yahoo.com

Abstract

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ be a collection and $S_{N,r}^*$ be the set of all r -combinations of distinct elements of X . Let Y be any nonempty subset of X with cardinality k and let $S_{N,r,k}^*$ be the set of all $S_{N,r}^*$ that contains Y . We extend the Bayer's Theorem to the class of sets

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A10.

Keywords and phrases: r -permutations, r -combinations, K -inclusion, K -non-inclusion.

Received December 20, 2010

$S^* = \{S_{N,r}^*; r = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ which is more general and give an explicit formula for practical purposes.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $X \neq \emptyset$; $n(X) = N$, i.e., $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ and let $S_{N,r}$ and $S_{N,r}^*$ be the sets of all r -permutations and r -combinations of distinct elements of X . Let $Y \subset X$; $n(Y) = k$, i.e., $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ and let $S_{N,r,k}^*$ and $S_{N,r,k}$ be the sets of all elements of $S_{N,r}^*$ and $S_{N,r}$ that contain Y (completely if $r \geq k$ and partly if $r < k$). In contrast, let $S_{N,r,k-1}^*$ and $S_{N,r,k-1}$ be the sets of all elements of $S_{N,r}^*$ and $S_{N,r}$ that do not contain Y . Then we define $S^* = \{S_{N,r}^*; r = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $S = \{S_{N,r}; r = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

Observe that

$$S_{N,0} = \{\emptyset\} = S_{N,0}^*,$$

$$S_{N,1} = X^* = S_{N,1}^*; \quad X^* = \{\{x\}; x \in X\},$$

$$S_{N,1,k} = Y^* = S_{N,1,k}^*; \quad Y^* = \{\{y\}; y \in Y\}.$$

Let U be the random variable corresponding to an event of $S_{N,r,k}^*(S_{N,r,k})$ chosen randomly. Then the probability of picking $u \in S_{N,r,k}^*$ is given by

$$p_u = \frac{n(S_{N,r,k})}{n(S_{N,r})} = \frac{n(S_{N,r,k}^*)}{n(S_{N,r}^*)}.$$

Similarly, let V be the random variable corresponding to an event of $S_{N,r,k-1}^*(S_{N,r,k-1})$ chosen randomly. Then the probability of picking $v \in S_{N,r,k-1}^*$ is given by

$$q_u = \frac{n(S_{N,r,k-1})}{n(S_{N,r})} = \frac{n(S_{N,r,k-1}^*)}{n(S_{N,r}^*)}.$$

Observe that

$$n(S_{N,r,k}^*) + n(S_{N,r,k-1}^*) = n(S_{N,r}^*).$$

Hence, it is easy to see that $p_u + q_v = 1$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N be a finite set of events of a sample S . Then*

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} P(A_i \cap A_j) + \dots + (-1)^{N+1} P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_N). \quad (1)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that the following equation holds (where $n(A)$ denotes the cardinality of A):

$$n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} n(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} n(A_i \cap A_j) + \dots + (-1)^{N+1} n(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_N). \quad (2)$$

So from (2) above, we observe that $a \in A_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) will be counted

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{N}{1} \text{ times in } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} n(A_i), \\ & \binom{N}{2} \text{ times in } \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} n(A_i \cap A_j), \dots, \binom{N}{N} \text{ times in } n(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the number of times ' a ' is counted on the right hand side $n_a(\text{RHS})$ is given by

$$n_a(\text{RHS}) = \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k+1} \binom{N}{k} = 1$$

which is the desired result. Now, the result follows by the definition of relative frequency probability.

Definition 1.2. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N be a finite set of events of a sample S such that $P(A_i) \geq 0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then the events are said to be

(i) *dependent* if

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = \prod_{k=1}^N P\left(A_k / \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i\right),$$

(ii) *independent* if

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(A_i),$$

(iii) *exhaustive* if

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = 1,$$

(iv) *mutually exclusive* if

$$P(A_i \cap A_j) = 0, \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Lemma 1.3. *Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N be a finite collection of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events with $P(A_i) > 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then for any other event B , such that $P(A_i) > 0$,*

$$P(A_k/B) = \frac{P(A_k)P(B/A_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^N P(A_i)P(B/A_i)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Lemma 1.4. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$. Then the number of r -permutations and r -combinations of N distinct elements of X with the inclusion of a fixed k -number of elements of $Y \subset X$ is*

$$n(S_{N,r,k}^*) = \begin{cases} \binom{N-k}{r-k} & \text{if } r \geq k, \\ \binom{k}{r} & \text{if } r < k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$n(S_{N,r,k}) = r!n(S_{N,r,k}^*).$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$. Then the number of r -permutations and r -combinations of N distinct elements of X with the non-inclusion of a fixed k -number of elements of $Y \subset X$ is*

$$n(S_{N,r,k-1}^*) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{N-k}{r-j} \binom{k}{j} & \text{if } r \geq k \text{ and } r+k \leq N, \\ \binom{N}{r} & \text{if } r < k, \\ \sum_{j=r+k-N}^{k-1} \binom{N-k}{r-j} \binom{k}{j} & \text{if } r \geq k \text{ and } r+k > N, \end{cases}$$

where

$$n(S_{N,r,k-1}) = r! n(S_{N,r,k-1}^*).$$

2. Main Results

At this juncture, we are ready to give an explicit formula on the extension of Bayer's Theorem on the set (sample) S^* containing all r -combinations of distinct elements of X .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ and Y be a subset of X such that $n(Y) = k$. Then for any $B \subset S^*$ partitioned by $S_{N,r}^*$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$),*

$$P(S_{N,r}^*/B) = \frac{P(S_{N,r}^*)P(B/S_{N,r}^*)}{\sum_{i=1}^N P(S_{N,i}^*)P(B/S_{N,i}^*)}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (3)$$

Proof. By the definition, $S^* = \{S_{N,r}^*; r = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

It is easy to see that $S_{N,r_i}^* \cap S_{N,r_j}^* = \emptyset$, $\forall i \neq j$, hence, S^* is a collection of pair-wise disjoint sets so that we can write

$$S^* = \bigcup_{r=1}^N S_{N,r}^*.$$

Hence, the collections $S_{N,r}^*$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events. Elements of the set $B \subset S^*$ are generated by $Y \subset X$ in $S_{N,r}^*$, that is, B contains element(s) of $S_{N,r}^*$ that has the k -inclusion property, completely or partly depending if $r \geq k$ or $r < k$.

Observe that

$$B = (S_{N,1}^* \cap B) \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} (S_{N,2}^* \cap B) \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} \cdots \overset{\circ}{\bigcup} (S_{N,N}^* \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow n(B) = n(S_{N,1}^* \cap B) + n(S_{N,2}^* \cap B) + \cdots + n(S_{N,N}^* \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(B) = P(S_{N,1}^* \cap B) + P(S_{N,2}^* \cap B) + \cdots + P(S_{N,N}^* \cap B).$$

But

$$P(S_{N,r}^*/B) = \frac{P(S_{N,r}^*)P(B/S_{N,r}^*)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(S_{N,r}^*)P(B/S_{N,r}^*)}{\sum_{t=1}^N P(S_{N,t}^*)P(B/S_{N,t}^*)}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 is the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *Let B be a subset of S^* that has been partitioned by $S_{N,r}^*$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and whose elements satisfy the inclusion condition for any subset Y of X with cardinality k . Then*

$$P(B) = \sum_{r=1}^N P(S_{N,r}^*)P(B/S_{N,r}^*).$$

In the next two theorems, we shall give an explicit formula for computing the results obtained in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 for practical purposes.

Theorem 2.3. *Let B be any subset of S^* and $P: S^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be such that equation (3) holds in S^* . Then*

$$P(S_{N,r}^*/B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{t-k}} & \text{if } r \geq k, r = k, k+1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{\binom{k}{r}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{t-k}} & \text{if } r < k, r = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $r \geq k$, observe that

$$P(S_{N,r}^*) = \frac{n(S_{N,r}^*)}{n(S^*)} = \frac{\binom{N}{r}}{2^N - 1}$$

and

$$P(B/S_{N,r}^*) = \frac{n(B)}{n(S_{N,r}^*)} = \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\binom{N}{r}}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_{N,r}^*/B) &= \frac{\frac{\binom{N}{r}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\binom{N}{r}}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} P(S_{N,t}^*)P(B/S_{N,t}^*) + \sum_{t=k}^N P(S_{N,t}^*)P(B/S_{N,t}^*)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\binom{N}{r}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\binom{N}{r}}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{N}{t}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{k}{t}}{\binom{N}{t}} + \sum_{t=k}^N \frac{\binom{N}{t}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{N-k}{t-k}}{\binom{N}{t}}} \\ &= \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{t-k}} \quad \text{if } r \geq k, \quad r = k, k+1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $r < k$, then by a similar argument the result follows.

Corollary 2.4. Let $B \subset S^*$, $Y \subset X$ and $P : S^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be such that $n(Y) = k$.

Then

$$(i) \quad P(B) = \frac{1}{2^N - 1} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{r-k} \right\}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$(ii) \quad n(B) = \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{r-k}; \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} P(B) &= \sum_{r=1}^N P(S_{N,r}^*) P(B/S_{N,r}^*) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} P(S) P(B) + \sum_{r=k}^N P(S) P(B) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} + \sum_{r=k}^N \binom{N-k}{r-k} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{N}{r}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{k}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}} + \sum_{r=k}^N \frac{\binom{N}{r}}{2^N - 1} \times \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\binom{N}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (i).

Further, since $P(B) = \frac{n(B)}{n(S^*)}$, it follows (ii).

Remark 2.5. It is important to note the following, which is a consequence of Corollary 2.4:

$$P(B) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^N - 1} \sum_{r=k}^N \binom{N-k}{r-k} & \text{if } r \geq k, \quad r = k, k+1, \dots, N, \\ \frac{1}{2^N - 1} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} & \text{if } r < k, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, \end{cases}$$

and consequently, we have

$$n(B) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=k}^N \binom{N-k}{r-k} & \text{if } r \geq k, \quad r = k, k+1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{r} & \text{if } r < k, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $B \subset S^*$, $Y \subset X$ and $P : S^* \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be such that $n(Y) = k$ and $n(X) = N$. Then

$$\sum_{r=1}^N P(S_{N,r}^*/B) = 1.$$

For a practical purpose, we provide a simple illustration of how some of the results obtained in this paper can be applied to real life problems.

Example 2.7. Four different boxes contain element of the set $\{a, b, c, d\}$, a man was asked to choose an element from the 1st box one at a time, from the 2nd box two at a time, from the 3rd box three at a time and from the 4th box four at a time. What is the probability of (a) choosing an element of the set $\{a, b\}$ from (i) the 1st box (ii) the 2nd box, (b) Picking the 4th box given that the elements of $\{a, b\}$ has been chosen.

Consider the diagram in appendix i.

Observe that the elements selected from 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th boxes are contained in the sets of the form $S_{4,1}^*$, $S_{4,2}^*$, $S_{4,3}^*$ and $S_{4,4}^*$, respectively. Hence from the diagram, we have

$$(a) (i) P(B/S_{4,1}) = 1/2, (ii) P(B/S_{4,2}) = 1/6,$$

$$(b) P(S_{4,4}/B) = 1/6.$$

Now, applying the result obtained

$$(a) (i) P(B/S_{4,1}) = \frac{\binom{k}{r}}{\binom{N}{r}} = \frac{\binom{2}{1}}{\binom{4}{1}} = 1/2,$$

$$(ii) P(B/S_{4,2}) = \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\binom{N}{r}} = \frac{\binom{4-2}{2-2}}{\binom{4}{2}} = 1/6.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) \ P(S_{4,4}/B) &= \frac{\binom{N-k}{r-k}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{t} + \sum_{t=k}^N \binom{N-k}{t-k}} \quad \text{since } r \geq k \\
&= \frac{\binom{4-2}{4-2}}{\sum_{t=1}^1 \binom{2}{t} + \sum_{t=2}^4 \binom{4-2}{t-2}} \quad \text{since } r \geq k \\
&= 1/6.
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

We observed that the result obtained by Thomas Bayer's has been given a suitable explicit formula in S^* which is a larger class of sets. The concepts have been used to modify most of the well-known discrete probability functions of which this work is a follow up.

References

- [1] C. Moore, M. Laisin and O. C. Okoli, Generalised r -permutation and r -combination techniques for k -separable inclusion, *Internat. J. Appl. Math. Statist.* 23(D11) (2011), (to appear).
- [2] Murray R. Spiegel, John J. Schiller and R. Alu Srinivasan, *Theory and problems of probability and statistics*, Schaum's outline Series. QA273. 25.S64 (2000), 18-19.
- [3] G. Williams, *Practical Finite Mathematics*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Toronto, 1976, pp. 208-210.
- [4] K. H. Rosen, *Discrete Math. its Applications*, McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 1991, pp. 232-296.
- [5] O. C. Okoli, M. Laisin and W. Uwandu, A generalized K -permutation and K -combination techniques for K -non-inclusion condition, *National Appl. Sci. J.* 10(1) (2009), 36-44.
- [6] O. C. Okoli, M. Laisin and W. Uwandu, k -partition of k -permutation and k -combination for either k -inclusion or k -non-inclusion, *National Appl. Sci. J.* 10(2) (2009), 138-145.
- [7] J. Richard, *Discrete Math*, Macmillan, New York, 1984, pp. 43-65.
- [8] R. Wenjing, *Math for Algorithm and System Analysis*.