



## ON $n$ -TOTAL EDGE DOMINATION NUMBER IN GRAPHS

**D. G. AKKA and SUNITA S. CHINAMALLI**

H. No. 1-867/24/A/1

Venkatesh Nagar, Gulbarga-585102

Karnataka, India

Department of Mathematics

S. S. Margol College, Shahabad

Dist/Tq: Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

e-mail: [sunitamugali1234@yahoo.com](mailto:sunitamugali1234@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

A set  $D$  of edges is a total edge dominating set of  $G$ , if every edge in  $G$  is adjacent to at least one edge in  $D$ . The total edge domination number  $\gamma'_t(G)$  is defined to be the minimum number of edges in a total edge dominating set of  $G$ . A set  $D$  of edges is an  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$  if every edge in  $G$  is adjacent to at least  $n$ -edges in  $D$ . The  $n$ -total edge domination number  $\gamma'_m(G)$  is defined to be the minimum number of edges in an  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$ . In this paper, we initiate a study of  $n$ -total edge dominating set and in particular, we establish some results concerning the  $n$ -total edge domination number of  $G$  and also we establish Nordhaus-Gaddum type result for an  $n$ -total edge domination number of a graph.

### 1. Introduction

The graphs considered here are finite, nonempty, connected, undirected without

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69.

Keywords and phrases: edge domination, total edge domination,  $n$ -total domination,  $n$ -total edge domination.

Received September 13, 2010

loops and multiple edges and without isolates. Any undefined terms in this paper may be found in Harary [3].

A set  $F$  of edges is an *edge dominating set* of  $G$  if every edge not in  $F$  is adjacent to at least one edge in  $F$ . The *edge domination number*  $\gamma'(G)$  is defined to be the cardinality of a minimum edge dominating set in  $G$ . This parameter was introduced by Mitchell and Hedetniemi [4].

A set  $D$  of edges is a *total edge dominating set* of  $G$  if every edge in  $G$  adjacent to at least one edge in  $D$ . The *total edge domination number*  $\gamma'_t(G)$  is defined to be the cardinality of a minimum total edge dominating set in  $G$ . This parameter was introduced by Kulli and Patwari [6].

A set  $D$  of edges is an  *$n$ -total edge dominating set* of  $G$  if every edge in  $G$  is adjacent to at least  $n$ -edges in  $D$ . The  *$n$ -total edge domination number*  $\gamma'_m(G)$  is defined to be the cardinality of a minimum  $n$ -total edge dominating set in  $G$ .

The paper contains the following notations and terminology:

$\Delta'(G)$  - the maximum degree among the lines of  $G$  (the degree of a line is the number of lines adjacent to it).

$\gamma_m(G)$  -  $n$ -total domination number in graphs. This parameter was introduced by Kulli [5].

## Results.

### 2. $n$ -total Edge Domination Number

We note the following:

(2.1) Every  $n$ -total edge dominating set is a total edge dominating set and hence for every graph  $G$ , we have  $\gamma'_t(G) \leq \gamma'_m(G)$ .

(2.2)  $\gamma'_m(G)$  does not exist for graphs with less than two edges.

(2.3) For any connected graph  $G$  with  $p \geq 3$  vertices

$$\gamma'_m(G) = \gamma_m(L(G)).$$

In the following proposition, we list the  $\gamma'_m(G)$  for some standard graphs, which are simple to observe, hence, we omit the proof.

**Proposition 1.** (1)  $\gamma'_{tn}(K_{m1, n1}) = m1$ , where  $2 \leq m1 \leq n1$ ,

$$(2) \gamma'_{tn}(W_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p+2}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$(3) \gamma'_{tn}(P_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

$$(4) \gamma'_{tn}(C_p) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The following result is easy to prove, hence we omit the proof.

**Theorem 1.** For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\gamma'_{tn}(G) \leq \delta(G).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K_p$  be the complete graph. Then

$$\gamma'_{tn}(K_p) = n + 1, \text{ where } 1 \leq n \leq q,$$

where  $q$  is number of edges in  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a minimum  $n$ -total edge dominating set in  $K_p$ , and for each edge  $e_1$  in  $E$ ,  $e_1$  is adjacent with at least  $n$  edges of  $S$ . Then in particular, if  $e_2$  is an edge in  $S$ , then  $e_2$  is adjacent with at least  $n$  edges of  $S$ . This implies that  $S$  has at least  $n + 1$  edges. Also, since  $n$ -total edge dominating set is minimum, we have  $\gamma'_{tn}(K_p) = n + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G$  be a graph with minimum degree at least  $n$ . Let  $D$  be a minimal  $n$ -total edge dominating set. Then  $G$  contains a minimal edge dominating set.

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be a minimal  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$ . Suppose there is an edge  $e \in D$  which is not adjacent to any edges in  $G$ . Then  $e$  is adjacent to at least  $n$  edges in  $D$  itself. Therefore,  $D - \{e\}$  is an  $n$ -total edge dominating set, a contradiction. Thus every edge in  $D$  must be adjacent to at least one edge in  $G$ . Hence,  $G$  is an edge dominating set.

**Theorem 4.** For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\gamma'_{tn}(G) \geq m.$$

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be a minimum  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$ . Then every edge in  $G$  is dominated by at least  $m$  edges in  $D$ .

Therefore,  $|D| \geq m$ ,

$$\gamma'_m(G) \geq m.$$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $G$  be a tree. Then

$$\gamma'_m(G) \leq \gamma'_t(G).$$

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a tree and  $D$  be a total edge dominating set with minimum number of edges. Let  $E'$  denote the edge set of  $\langle D \rangle$  the subgraph induced by  $D$ .

Let  $E'$  be an edge dominating set of  $G$  and  $F$  be the set of edges which are not adjacent to any edge in  $E'$ .

For every edge  $e \in F$ , we take exactly one edge adjacent to  $e$  and form a set  $F'$  of edges. Then we have

$D' = E' \cup F'$  is an  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_m(G) &\leq |D'| \leq |D| \\ &\leq \gamma'_t(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof.

**Proposition 2.** For any connected graph

$$\gamma'_m(G) \leq q - \Delta'(G),$$

$q$  is the number of edges in  $G$  and  $q \geq 4$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be an  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$  with minimum number of edges. Then every edge in  $D$  is adjacent to utmost  $q - \Delta'(G)$  edges.

Hence,  $\gamma'_m(G) \leq q - \Delta'(G)$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $F$  be a minimum edge dominating set of  $G$  such that the induced subgraph  $\langle E - F \rangle$  is  $mK_2$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . Thus

$$\gamma'_m(G) + \gamma'(G) = q.$$

**Proof.** Since for a  $\gamma'_t$  set  $F$  of  $G$ , the induced subgraph  $\langle E - F \rangle$  is  $mK_2$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $E - F$  itself is a  $\gamma'_m$ -set of  $G$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'_m(G) + \gamma'_t(G) &= |E - F| + |F| \\ &= q.\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6.** For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\gamma'_t(G) + q \geq \gamma'_m(G).$$

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a minimum  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$ .

Let  $e \in E - S$ . Let  $e$  be adjacent with at least  $n$  edges of  $S$ , say  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . Suppose

$$D = S - \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Since  $S$  is an  $n$ -total edge dominating set,  $e$  is adjacent with at least one edge of  $D$ .

Therefore, we conclude that every edge of  $G$  is adjacent with at least one edge of  $D$ .

Thus,  $D$  is a total edge dominating set of  $G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'_t(G) &\geq \gamma'_m(G) - |E| \\ &\geq \gamma'_m(G) - |\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}| \\ &\geq \gamma'_m(G) - q,\end{aligned}$$

$q$  denotes the number of edges in  $S$ ,

$$\gamma'_t(G) + q \geq \gamma'_m(G).$$

**Theorem 7.** For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\gamma'_m(G) \leq \beta_1(G),$$

where  $\beta_1(G)$  is the line independence number of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$  be the maximal line independent set of  $G$  and it is also  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$ .

Suppose  $e$  is an edge of  $G$  which is not adjacent to any edge of  $D$ . Then  $E(G) - D$  forms  $n$ -total edge dominating set of  $G$  and

$$|E(G) - D| \leq |D|,$$

$$\gamma'_m(G) \leq \beta_1(G).$$

**Theorem 8.** *For any nontrivial tree  $T$ ,*

$$\gamma'_m(T) \leq c,$$

where  $c$  is the number of cutvertices.

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that each edge is incident with a cutvertex.

We use the following result to prove our next result.

**Theorem A** [2]. *For any graph  $G$  of order  $p \geq 3$  vertices,*

$$(i) \beta_1(G) + \beta_1(\overline{G}) \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil,$$

$$(ii) \beta_1(G) \cdot \beta_1(\overline{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil^2.$$

We establish Nordhaus-Gaddum [1] type result for an  $n$ -total edge domination number of a graph.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that both  $G$  and  $\overline{G}$  have no isolated edges. Then*

$$(i) \gamma'_m(G) + \gamma'_m(\overline{G}) \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil,$$

$$(ii) \gamma'_m(G) \cdot \gamma'_m(\overline{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p}{2} \right\rceil^2.$$

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem A and Theorem 7.

## References

- [1] E. A. Nordhaus and J. W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956), 175-177.

- [2] G. Chartrand and S. Schuster, On the independence number of complementary graphs, Trans. New York Academy of Sci., Series II 36 (1974), 247-251.
- [3] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass, 1969.
- [4] S. L. Mitchell and S. T. Hedetniemi, Edge domination in trees, Proceeding of the 8th Southeast Conference in Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Computing, Utilitas Mathematics, Winnepeg, 1977, pp. 489-509.
- [5] V. R. Kulli, On  $n$ -total domination number in graph, Proc. Second China-USA International Conf. in Graph Theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Appl., SIAM, 1991, pp. 319-324.
- [6] V. R. Kulli and D. K. Patwari, On the total edge domination number of a graph, Proc. of the Symposium Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Kochi, Kerala, India, 1991, pp. 75-81.