



ON M -SYSTEMS IN ORDERED AG-GROUPOIDS

TARIQ SHAH*, INAYATUR REHMAN* and RONNASON CHINRAM**

*Department of Mathematics

Quaid-i-Azam University

Islamabad, Pakistan

e-mail: stariqshah@gmail.com, s_inayat@yahoo.com

**Department of Mathematics

Prince of Songkla University

Hat Yai, Songkhla, 90110 Thailand

**Centre of Excellence in Mathematics

CHE, Si Ayuthaya Road

Bangkok, 10400, Thailand

e-mail: ronnason.c@psu.ac.th

Abstract

In this paper, we study ideals, M -systems, N -systems and I -systems of ordered AG-groupoids. We prove that if L is a left ideal of an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity, then L is quasi-prime if and only if $S \setminus L$ is an M -system; L is quasi-semiprime if and only if $S \setminus L$ is an N -system and L is quasi-irreducible if and only if $S \setminus L$ is an I -system. Moreover, we show that every quasi-semiprime left ideal of an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity is an intersection of some quasi-prime left ideals.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Abel-Grassmann's groupoid, abbreviated as AG-groupoid, is a groupoid whose

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N99, 06F99.

Keywords and phrases: ordered AG-groupoids, M -systems, N -systems, I -systems, ideals, quasi-prime, quasi-semiprime.

Received August 19, 2010; Revised October 5, 2010

elements satisfy the left invertive law: $(ab)c = (cb)a$. An AG-groupoid is the midway structure between a commutative semigroup and a groupoid. This structure is also known as left almost semigroup, abbreviated as LA-semigroup. A groupoid G is called *medial* if $(xa)(by) = (xb)(ay)$ for all $a, b, x, y \in G$, and is called *paramedial* if $(ax)(yb) = (bx)(ya)$ for all $a, b, x, y \in G$. It is well known that every AG-groupoid is medial [3] but in general AG-groupoid needs not be paramedial. However, every AG-groupoid with left identity is paramedial [8].

A nonempty subset M of a semigroup S is said to be an M -system if for all $a, b \in M$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $a(xb) \in M$ ([10]). M -systems in semigroups were studied in many papers ([1], [7], etc). Later, M -systems were studied in various kind of some generalizations of semigroups, for example, M -systems in ordered semigroups were studied by Kehayopulu ([4]), M -systems in LA-semigroups were studied by Mushtaq and Khan ([6]), M -systems in ordered Γ -semigroups were studied by Hila ([2]) and M -systems in Γ -AG-groupoids were studied by Shah and Rehman ([9]), etc.

Let S be a nonempty set, \cdot be a binary operation on S and \leq be relation on S . Then (S, \cdot, \leq) is called an *ordered AG-groupoid* if (S, \cdot) is an AG-groupoid, (S, \leq) is a partially ordered set and for all $a, b, c \in S$, $a \leq b$ implies that $ac \leq bc$ and $ca \leq cb$. This structure is a generalization of AG-groupoids and commutative ordered semigroups. The following theorem follows by Theorem 1 in [5] and definitions of ordered AG-groupoids and ordered semigroups.

Theorem 1.1. *An ordered AG-groupoid S is an ordered semigroup if and only if $a(bc) = (cb)a$ for all $a, b, c \in S$.*

For $H \subseteq S$, let $(H] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq h \text{ for some } h \in H\}$. This lemma is similar to the case of ordered semigroups.

Lemma 1.2. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid and A, B be subsets of S . The following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $A \subseteq B$, then $(A] \subseteq (B]$.*
- (ii) *$(A](B] \subseteq (AB]$.*
- (iii) *$((A](B]) = (AB]$.*

The aim of this paper is to study ideals, M -systems, N -systems and I -systems of ordered AG-groupoids.

2. Main Results

A nonempty subset A of an ordered AG-groupoid S is called a *left ideal* of S if $(A] \subseteq A$ and $SA \subseteq A$ and called a *right ideal* of S if $(A] \subseteq A$ and $AS \subseteq A$. A nonempty subset A of S is called an *ideal* of S if A is both left and right ideals of S .

Proposition 2.1. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity. Then every right ideal of S is a left ideal of S .*

Proof. Let R be a right ideal of S . Then $(R] \subseteq R$ and $RS \subseteq R$. We claim that $SR \subseteq R$, indeed, $SR = (eS)R = (RS)e \subseteq Re \subseteq R$. \square

Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid. For $A \subseteq S$, let $\langle A \rangle_l$ denote the left ideal of S generated by A and for $a \in S$, $\langle \{a\} \rangle_l$ be denoted by $\langle a \rangle_l$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and $A \subseteq S$. Then $S(SA) = SA$ and $S(SA] \subseteq (SA]$.*

Proof. Since S has a left identity, $S = SS$. Then by definition of AG-groupoids and paramedial law, we have $S(SA) = (SS)(SA) = (AS)(SS) = (AS)S = (SS)A = SA$. Thus $S(SA) = SA$. By Lemma 1.2, we have $S(SA] = (S](SA] \subseteq (S(SA))] = (SA]$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and $a \in S$. Then $\langle a \rangle_l = (Sa]$.*

Proof. Since S has a left identity, $a \in (Sa]$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $S(Sa] \subseteq (Sa]$. So $(Sa]$ is a left ideal of S containing a . Next, let L be another left ideal containing a . Thus $Sa \subseteq L$, so $(Sa] \subseteq L$. \square

An ordered AG-groupoid is called *fully idempotent* if all ideals of S are idempotent. For $A \subseteq S$, let $\langle A \rangle_i$ denote the ideal of S generated by A and for $a \in S$, $\langle \{a\} \rangle_i$ denoted by $\langle a \rangle_i$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity e and A, B be ideals of S . If S is fully idempotent, then $A \cap B = \langle AB \rangle_i$ and the ideals of S form a semilattice (L_S, \wedge) , where $A \wedge B = \langle AB \rangle_i$.*

Proof. Since $AB \subseteq A \cap B$, $\langle AB \rangle_i \subseteq A \cap B$. Conversely, let $a \in A \cap B$. Thus $a \in \langle a \rangle_i = \langle a \rangle_i \langle a \rangle_i \subseteq AB \subseteq \langle AB \rangle_i$. Thus $A \cap B \subseteq \langle AB \rangle_i$. Hence $A \cap B = \langle AB \rangle_i$.

Since $A \wedge B = \langle AB \rangle = A \cap B$ and \cap is associative, commutative and idempotent binary operation, (L_S, \wedge) is a semilattice. \square

Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid. A nonempty subset M of S is called an M -system of S if for each $a, b \in M$, there exist $x \in S$ and $c \in M$ such that $c \leq a(xb)$. Equivalent definition: for each $a, b \in M$, there exists $c \in M$ such that $c \in (a(Sb))$.

Remark. (i) If (S, \cdot) is an AG-groupoid, we endow S with the order relation $\leq := id_S$, then (S, \cdot, \leq) is an ordered AG-groupoid. Moreover, the set M is an M -system of an AG-groupoid (S, \cdot) if and only if M is an M -system of an ordered AG-groupoid (S, \cdot, \leq) .

(ii) If an ordered AG-groupoid S is an ordered semigroup, then the set M is an M -system of an ordered AG-groupoid S if and only if M is an M -system of an ordered semigroup S .

A nonempty subset P of an ordered AG-groupoid S is called *quasi-prime* if and only if for any left ideals A, B of S , $AB \subseteq P$ implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

Lemma 2.5. Let L be a left ideal of an ordered AG-groupoid S with identity e . Then L is quasi-prime if and only if for all $a, b \in S$, $a(Sb) \subseteq L$ implies $a \in L$ or $b \in L$.

Proof. Suppose that $a(Sb) \subseteq L$. We get $S(a(Sb)) \subseteq SL \subseteq L$ and by medial law, paramedial law and the definition of AG-groupoid, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(a(Sb)) &= (SS)(a(Sb)) = (Sa)(S(Sb)) = (Sa)((SS)(Sb)) \\ &= (Sa)((bS)(SS)) = (Sa)((bS)S) = (Sa)((SS)b) = (Sa)(Sb). \end{aligned}$$

So $S(a(Sb)) = (Sa)(Sb)$. Since L is a left ideal of S , $(Sa][Sb] \subseteq ((Sa)(Sb)) = (S(a(Sb))) \subseteq L$. Since $(Sa]$ and $(Sb]$ are left ideals of S and L is quasi-prime, $(Sa] \subseteq L$ or $(Sb] \subseteq L$. By Lemma 2.3, $a \in L$ or $b \in L$. Conversely, let A and B be left ideals of S such that $AB \subseteq L$ and $A \not\subseteq L$. Then there exists an element x in S

such that $x \in A$ but $x \notin L$. Now for all $y \in B$, we have $x(Sy) \subseteq A(SB) \subseteq AB \subseteq L$. Hence by assumption, $y \in L$ for all $y \in B$. Hence $B \subseteq L$, this implies that L is quasi-prime. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and L be a proper left ideal of S . Then L is quasi-prime if and only if $S \setminus L$ is an M -system.*

Proof. Assume L is quasi-prime and let $a, b \in S \setminus L$. Suppose $c \notin (a(Sb))$ for all $c \in S \setminus L$. Then $(a(Sb)) \subseteq L$, this implies $a(Sb) \subseteq L$. By Lemma 2.5, $a \in L$ or $b \in L$, which is impossible. Then there exists $c \in S \setminus L$ such that $c \in (a(Sb))$. Hence, $S \setminus L$ is an M -system.

Conversely, assume that $S \setminus L$ is an M -system. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $a(Sb) \subseteq L$. Suppose that $a, b \in S \setminus L$. Since $S \setminus L$ is an M -system, there exist $c \in S \setminus L$ and $x \in S$ such that $c \leq a(xb) \in a(Sb) \subseteq L$. Since L is a left ideal of S , we have $c \in L$, which is impossible. Hence $a \in L$ or $b \in L$. By Lemma 2.5, L is quasi-prime. \square

Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid. A nonempty subset N of S is called an N -system of S if for each $a \in N$, there exist $x \in S$ and $c \in N$ such that $c \leq a(xa)$. Equivalent definition: for each $a \in N$, there exists $c \in N$ such that $c \in (a(Sa))$.

Remark. (i) In [6], definition of N -systems in AG-groupoids is called a P -system. If (S, \cdot) is an AG-groupoid, we endow S with the order relation $\leq := id_S$, then (S, \cdot, \leq) is an ordered AG-groupoid. Moreover, the set N is a P -system of an AG-groupoid (S, \cdot) if and only if N is an N -system of an ordered AG-groupoid (S, \cdot, \leq) .

(ii) If an ordered AG-groupoid S is an ordered semigroup, then the set N is an N -system of an ordered AG-groupoid S if and only if N is an N -system of an ordered semigroup S .

(iii) Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid. Each M -system of S is an N -system of S .

A nonempty subset P of an ordered AG-groupoid S is called *quasi-semiprime* if for any left ideal A of S , $A^2 \subseteq P$ implies that $A \subseteq P$. It is obvious that a quasi-prime subset of S is a quasi-semiprime subset of S .

Lemma 2.7. *Let L be a left ideal of an ordered AG-groupoid S with identity e . Then L is quasi-semiprime if and only if for all $a \in S$, $a(Sa) \subseteq L$ implies $a \in L$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a(Sa) \subseteq L$. We get $S(a(Sa)) \subseteq SL \subseteq L$ and by similar in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we have $S(a(Sa)) = (Sa)(Sa)$. Since L is a left ideal of S , $(Sa)(Sa) \subseteq ((Sa)(Sa)) = (S(a(Sa))) \subseteq L$. Since (Sa) is a left ideal of S and L is quasi-semiprime, $(Sa) \subseteq L$. By Lemma 2.3, $a \in L$. Conversely, let A be a left ideal of S such that $A^2 \subseteq L$. Now for all $x \in A$, we have $x(Sx) \subseteq A(SA) \subseteq A^2 \subseteq L$. Hence by assumption, $x \in L$ for all $x \in A$. Hence $A \subseteq L$, this implies that L is quasi-semiprime. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and L be a proper left ideal of S . Then L is quasi-semiprime if and only if $S \setminus L$ is an N -system.*

Proof. Assume L is quasi-semiprime and let $a \in S \setminus L$. Suppose $c \notin (a(Sa))$ for all $c \in S \setminus L$. Thus $(a(Sa)) \subseteq L$, this implies $a(Sa) \subseteq L$. By Lemma 2.7, $a \in L$, which is impossible. So there exists $c \in S \setminus L$ such that $c \in (a(Sa))$. Hence, $S \setminus L$ is an N -system.

Conversely, assume that $S \setminus L$ is an N -system. Let $a \in S$ such that $a(Sa) \subseteq L$. Suppose that $a \in S \setminus L$. Since $S \setminus L$ is an N -system, there exist $c \in S \setminus L$ and $x \in S$ such that $c \leq a(xa) \in a(Sa) \subseteq L$. Then $c \in L$, which is impossible. Therefore $a \in L$. By Lemma 2.7, L is quasi-semiprime. \square

The intersection of quasi-prime left ideals of an ordered AG-groupoids S (if it is non empty) needs not to be quasi-prime left ideals of S . The following proposition shows that it becomes quasi-semiprime.

Proposition 2.9. *Let J_i be any set of quasi-prime left ideals of an ordered AG-groupoid for all $i \in I$. If $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} J_i \neq \emptyset$, then P is a quasi-semiprime left ideal of S .*

Proof. Let L be a left ideal of S such that $L^2 \subseteq P$. Then $L^2 \subseteq J_i$ for all $i \in I$. This implies $L \subseteq J_i$ for all $i \in I$. So $L \subseteq P$. Hence, P is a quasi-semiprime left ideal of S . \square

Theorem 2.10. *Every quasi-semiprime left ideal of an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity is an intersection of some quasi-prime left ideals.*

Proof. Let L be a quasi-semiprime left ideal of S and $\{J_i \mid i \in I\}$ be the set of all quasi-prime left ideals of S containing L . This set is not empty because S itself is a quasi-prime left ideal of S . Let $a \in S \setminus L$. Then $a(Sa) \not\subseteq L$, take $a_1 \in a(Sa) \subseteq (a(Sa))$ but $a_1 \notin L$. From $a_1(Sa_1) \not\subseteq L$, we have $a_2 \in S$ such that $a_2 \in a_1(Sa_1) \subseteq (a_1(Sa_1))$ but $a_2 \notin L$. We continue this way, take $a_i \in (a_{i-1}(Sa_{i-1}))$ but $a_i \notin L$. We put $a = a_0$ and let $A = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. So $A \cap L = \emptyset$. Next, we claim that M is an M -system. Let $a_i, a_j \in M$. Let us assume that $i \leq j$. If $i = j$, then $a_{i+1} \in (a_i(Sa_i)) = (a_j(Sa_j))$. If $i < j$, then $a_{j+1} \in (a_j(Sa_j)) \subseteq (a_j(S(a_{j-1}(Sa_{j-1})))) \subseteq (a_j(Sa_{j-1})) \subseteq \dots \subseteq (a_j(Sa_i))$ by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 1.2. A similar argument takes care of the case in which $i > j$. Now we have that A is an M -system and $A \cap L = \emptyset$. Let $T = \{M \mid M \text{ is an } M\text{-system of } S \text{ such that } a \in M \text{ and } M \cap L = \emptyset\}$. Then $T \neq \emptyset$ because $A \in T$. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element, say M' in T . Again let $X = \{J \mid J \text{ is a left ideal of } S \text{ such that } J \cap M' = \emptyset \text{ and } L \subseteq J\}$. Then $X \neq \emptyset$ because $L \in X$. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element, say J' in X . Let $x, y \in S \setminus J'$. Then $(Sx \cup J') \cap M' \neq \emptyset$ and $(Sy \cup J') \cap M' \neq \emptyset$. So there exist $s, t \in M'$ such that $s \leq ux$ and $t \leq vy$ for some $u, v \in S$. Since M' is an M -system, there exists $m \in M'$ such that $m \leq S(wt)$ for some $w \in S$. Thus $m \leq (ux)(w(vy)) = ((vy)x)(wu) = (uw)(x(vy)) = (e(uw))(x(vy)) = (ex)((uw)(vy)) = x((yw)(vu)) = x(((vu)w)y)$. Then $S \setminus J'$ is an M -system. From maximality of M' , $S \setminus J' = M'$ and so J' is a quasi-prime left ideal of S containing L . Since $a \notin J'$, $L = \bigcap \{J_i \mid i \in I\}$. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid. If N is an N -system of S and $a \in N$, then there exists an M -system M of S such that $a \in M \subseteq N$.*

Proof. Since N is an N -system and $a \in N$, there exists $c_1 \in N$ such that $c_1 \in (a(Sa))$. So $(a(Sa)) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, take $a_1 \in (a(Sa)) \cap N$. Since N is an N -system, there exists $c_2 \in N$ such that $c_2 \in (a_1(Sa_1))$. So $(a_1(Sa_1)) \cap N \neq \emptyset$, take $a_2 \in (a_1(Sa_1)) \cap N$. We continue this way, take $a_i \in (a_{i-1}(Sa_{i-1})) \cap N$. We put $a = a_0$ and let $M = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$. We have M is an M -system and $a \in M \subseteq N$. \square

Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and a nonempty subset of S be called *quasi-irreducible* if for any left ideals A, B of S , $A \cap B \subseteq P$ implies that $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$.

Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity. A nonempty subset I of S is called an *I-system* of S if for each $a, b \in I$, $(\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I) \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.12. *Let S be an ordered AG-groupoid with left identity and L be a proper left ideal of S . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) L is quasi-irreducible.
- (2) For all $a, b \in S$, $\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I \subseteq L$ implies $a \in L$ or $b \in L$.
- (3) $S \setminus L$ is an I-system.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume L is quasi-irreducible and let $a, b \in S$ such that $\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I \subseteq L$. Thus $\langle a \rangle_I \in L$ or $\langle b \rangle_I \in L$. Then $a \in L$ or $b \in L$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $a, b \in S \setminus L$. Suppose $(\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I) \cap (S \setminus L) = \emptyset$. This implies $\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I \subseteq L$. So $a \in L$ or $b \in L$, it is impossible. Hence $(\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I) \cap (S \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $S \setminus L$ is an I-system.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let A, B be left ideals of S such that $A \cap B \subseteq L$. Suppose $A \not\subseteq L$ and $B \not\subseteq L$. Let $a \in A \setminus L$ and $b \in B \setminus L$. This implies that $a, b \in S \setminus L$. By hypothesis, $(\langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I) \cap (S \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an element $c \in S$ such that $c \in \langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I$ and $c \in S \setminus L$. It shows that $c \in \langle a \rangle_I \cap \langle b \rangle_I \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq L$, it is impossible. Thus $A \subseteq L$ or $B \subseteq L$. Hence, L is quasi-irreducible. \square

References

- [1] R. D. Giri and A. K. Wazalwar, Prime ideals and primeradicals in noncommutative semigroups, Kyungpook Math. J. 33 (1993), 37-48.
- [2] K. Hila, On quasi-prime, weakly quasi-prime left ideals in ordered- Γ -semigroups, Mathematica Slovaca 60 (2010), 195-212.
- [3] M. A. Kazim and M. Naseerudin, On almost semigroups, Aligarh Bulletin of Mathematics 2 (1972), 1-7.

- [4] N. Kehayopulu, M -systems and n -systems in ordered semigroups, Quasigroups and Related Systems 11 (2004), 55-58.
- [5] Q. Mushtaq, Zeroids and idempoids in AG-groupoids, Quasigroups and Related Systems 11 (2004), 79-84.
- [6] Q. Mushtaq and M. Khan, M -systems in LA-semigroups, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 33 (2009), 321-327.
- [7] Y. S. Park and J. P. Kim, Prime and semiprime ideals in semigroups, Kyungpook Math. J. 32 (1992), 629-633.
- [8] P. V. Protic and N. Stevanovic, AG-test and some general properties of Abel-Grassmann's groupoids, Pure Math. Appl. 6 (1995), 371-383.
- [9] T. Shah and I. Rehman, On M -systems in Γ -AG-groupoids, Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences 47 (2010), 33-39.
- [10] M. Petrich, Introduction to Semigroups, Merrill Publ. Co., A Bell and Howell Comp., Columbus, 1973.