



STECHKIN-MARCHAUD-TYPE INEQUALITIES IN L_p FOR LINEAR COMBINATION OF BERNSTEIN-DURRMEYER OPERATORS

GUO FENG

Department of Mathematics

Taizhou University

Taizhou, 317000, Zhejiang, P. R. China

e-mail: gfeng@tzc.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we use the equivalence relation between K -functional and modulus of smoothness, and give the Stechkin-Marchaud-type inequalities for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. Moreover, we obtain the inverse result of approximation for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators with $\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; x)$. Meanwhile we unify and extend some previous results.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $f \in L_p[0, 1]$, $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. Then the Bernstein-Durrmeyer operator $D_n(f; x)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} := \text{set of naturals}$) is defined as follows:

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A25, 41A36.

Keywords and phrases: Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators, linear combination, K -functional, Stechkin-Marchaud-type inequalities, modulus of smoothness.

Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671019) and Major Scientific Research Fund of Taizhou University (Grant No. 09ZD08).

Submitted by Massimiliano Ferrara

Received April 19, 2010

$$D_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x)(n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k},$$

which was first introduced and investigated by Derrieinnic [1] in 1985. The linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators is given by

$$O_{n,r}(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) D_{n_i}(f; x), \quad (1.2)$$

where n_i and $c_i(n)$ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & n \leq n_0 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_{2r-1} \leq c_n, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) = 1, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} |c_i(n)| \leq M, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{2r-1} c_i(n) D_{n_i}((t-x)^m; x) = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, 2r-1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Ditzian and Ivanov [2], Zhou [3] and Guo and Li [4] studied the linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators, and obtained the characterization of approximation, the relationship of differential and modulus of smoothness for $O_{n,r}(f; x)$.

In this paper, we first establish Bernstein-type inequality with parameter λ for $O_{n,r}(f; x)$. After that, we use the equivalence relation between K -functional and modulus of smoothness, and give the Stechkin-Marchaud-type inequalities in $L_p[0, 1]$ for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators. Moreover, we obtain the inverse result of approximation for linear combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators with $\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; x)$. Meanwhile we unify and extend [2-4] results.

First, we introduce some useful definitions and notations.

Definition 1.1. Let $\varphi^\lambda(x) = x(1-x)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The modulus of smoothness by

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p = \sup_{0 \leq h < t} \|\Delta_{h\varphi^\lambda}^{2r} f\|_p,$$

where

$$\Delta_h^r f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} (-1)^k f(x + (r/2 - k)h), \quad \left[x - \frac{rh}{2}, x + \frac{rh}{2} \right] \subseteq [0, 1],$$

otherwise $\Delta_h^r f(x) = 0$.

The K -functional by

$$K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p = \inf_{g \in G} \{\|f - g\|_p + t^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|_p\},$$

where

$$G = \{g \mid g \in L_p[0, 1], g^{(2r-1)} \in A.C._{loc}, \varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)} \in L_p[0, 1]\}.$$

By [5, p. 10-11], there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$M^{-1} K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p \leq \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p \leq M K_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p.$$

We are now in a position to state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. For $f \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\delta_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

the Stechkin-Marchaud inequality

$$\omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; n^{-\frac{r}{2}} \delta_n^{r(1-\lambda)}(x))_p \leq M n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p. \quad (1.4)$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha < 2r$. Then

$$\|O_{n,r}(f) - f\|_p = O((n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x))^\alpha) \Rightarrow \omega_{\varphi^\lambda}^{2r}(f; t)_p = O(t^\alpha). \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1.1. For the inverse result, it is obvious that the result of [2] is a special case of (1.5) with $\lambda = 1$, the result of [3] is a special case of (1.5) with $\lambda = 0$, $p = \infty$, and the result of [4] is a special case of (1.5) with $p = \infty$.

Throughout this paper, M denotes a positive constant independent of x, y, n and f which may be different in different places.

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

To prove the theorems, we need also the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. *If $c < \frac{1}{2}$, $d < \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) t^{-c} (1-t)^{-d} dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^{-d}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We notice [5, p. 164]

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) t^\eta dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^\eta, \quad \eta > -1,$$

$$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) (1-t)^\zeta dt \leq Mn^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^\zeta, \quad \zeta > -1.$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) t^{-c} (1-t)^{-d} dt &\leq \left(\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) t^{-2c} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(t) (1-t)^{-2d} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq Mn^{-1} \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 has been proved. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $c \geq 0$, $d \geq 0$, $x > 0$, then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^{-d} \leq Mx^{-c} (1-x)^{-d}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We notice [5, p. 164]

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{k+1} \right)^l \leq Mx^{-l}, \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1} \right)^\zeta \leq M(1-x)^{-\zeta}, \quad \text{for } \zeta \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $c = 0$, $d = 0$, the result of (2.2) is obvious. For $c > 0$, $d > 0$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{-c} \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^{-d} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k+1}{n} \right)^{-2c} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n} \right)^{-2d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{k+1} \right)^{[2c]+1} \right)^{\frac{c}{[2c]+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1} \right)^{[2d]+1} \right)^{\frac{2d}{[2d]+1}} \\ & \leq M(x^{-([2c]+1)})^{\frac{c}{[2c]+1}} ((1-x)^{-([2d]+1)})^{\frac{d}{[2d]+1}} \leq Mx^{-c}(1-x)^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

For $c > 0$, $d = 0$ or $c = 0$, $d > 0$, the proof is similar. Thus, this proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.3. For $f \in L_p[0, 1]$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $\delta_n(x) = \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, $n \geq 2r$,

we have the Bernstein-type inequality

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \| f \|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For $p = 1$, if $x \in E_n = \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right]$, $\varphi^{-\xi}(x) \leq n^{\frac{\xi}{2}}$, $\xi > 0$, then by simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) &= (x(1-x))^{-2r} \sum_{i=0}^{2r} Q_i(x, n) n^i \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with $Q_i(x, n)$ is a polynomial in $nx(1-x)$ of degree $[(2r-i)/2]$ with nonconstant bounded coefficients. Therefore,

$$|Q_i(x, n)n^i| \leq M(x(1-x))^{r-\frac{i}{2}} n^{r+\frac{i}{2}}, \quad x \in E_n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi^{2r\lambda}(x)D_n^{(2r)}(f; x)| \\ & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \varphi^{-i}(x) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that [5, p. 129]

$$\int_{E_n} \varphi^{-2m}(x) p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^{2m} dx \leq Mn^{-m-1},$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f)\|_{1(E_n)} \\ & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{E_n} \varphi^{-i}(x) p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^i dx (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \right| \\ & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) |f(u)| du \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If $x \in E_n^c = \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cup \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1\right]$, then $\frac{n!}{(n-2r)!} \sim n^{2r}$, $\|\varphi^{2r\lambda}\|_\infty \sim n^{-r\lambda}$,

$\int_0^1 p_{n,k}(x) dx = \frac{1}{n+1}$. By simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) \\ & = \frac{n!}{(n-2r)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} p_{n-2r,k}(x) (n+1) \int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \binom{2r}{j} p_{n,k+j}(u) f(u) du, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f) \|_{1(E_n^c)} \\
 & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(x) dx \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \binom{2r}{j} (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k+j}(u) |f(u)| du \\
 & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \binom{2r}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \int_0^1 p_{n,k+j}(u) |f(u)| du \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_1. \quad (2.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

For $p = \infty$, if $x \in E_n$, then by (2.5), we can now write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) | \\
 & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \varphi^{-i}(x) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) f(u) du \right| \\
 & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{2r} n^{\frac{i}{2}} \varphi^{-i}(x) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right)^i (n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n,k}(u) du \\
 & \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in E_n^c$, then by (2.7), the proof is similar to that (2.9), it is enough to show that

$$| \varphi^{2r\lambda}(x) D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) | \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_\infty. \quad (2.10)$$

By (2.6), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), applying Riesz-Thorin theorem, we get

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq Mn^{r(2-\lambda)} \|f\|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|f\|_p.$$

Combining (iii) of (1.3), we obtain

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq Mn^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|f\|_p.$$

Lemma 2.3 has been proved. \square

Lemma 2.4. If $f \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $n > 2r$, then

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq M \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_p. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. By calculation, we have

$$D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} p_{n-2r,k}(x) \frac{(n!)^2 (n-k-2r)!}{((n-2r)!)^2 (k+2r)! (n-k)!} \\ \times \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(u) \phi^{4r}(u) f^{(2r)}(u) du. \quad (2.12)$$

For $p = 1$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can write

$$\| \phi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f) \|_1 \\ \leq M(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(x) \phi^{2r\lambda}(x) dx \frac{(n!)^2 (n-k-2r)!}{((n-2r)!)^2 (k+2r)! (n-k)!} \\ \times \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(u) \phi^{4r}(u) |f^{(2r)}(u)| du \\ \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} \left(\frac{k+1}{n-2r} \right)^{r\lambda} \left(\frac{n-2r-k+1}{n-2r} \right)^{r\lambda} \frac{(n!)^2 (n-k-2r)!}{((n-2r)!)^2 (k+2r)! (n-k)!} \\ \times \int_0^1 p_{n-2r,k}(u) \phi^{4r}(u) |f^{(2r)}(u)| du \\ \leq M \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2r} p_{n-2r,k}(u) \left(\frac{k+1}{n-2r} \right)^{r(\lambda-2)} \left(\frac{n-2r-k+1}{n-2r} \right)^{r(\lambda-2)} \phi^{4r}(u) |f^{(2r)}(u)| du \\ \leq M \int_0^1 \phi^{2r\lambda}(u) |f^{(2r)}(u)| du = M \| \phi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_1. \quad (2.13)$$

For $p = \infty$, by (2.12) and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, using the method similar to that (2.9) and (2.13), it is enough to show that

$$| \phi^{2r\lambda}(x) D_n^{(2r)}(f; x) | \leq M \| \phi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_\infty,$$

which implies

$$\| \phi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f) \|_\infty \leq M \| \phi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_\infty. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), using Riesz-Thorin theorem, we get

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} D_n^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq M \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_p.$$

Combining (iii) of (1.3), we obtain

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq M \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} f^{(2r)} \|_p,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $f \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then*

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq M n^{r-1} \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p. \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, noting that $O_{1,r}^{(2r)}(f; x) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \\ & \leq n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(O_{k,r}(f)) \|_p + n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(O_{k,r}(f) - f) \|_p \\ & \leq M_2 n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p + M_1 \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

We write $\| O_{q,r}(f) - f \|_p = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p$. For $\| O_{q,r}(f) - f \|_p$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p \neq 0$, there exists $M_3 > 0$, such that $\| O_{q,r}(f) - f \|_p \leq M_3 \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & M_2 n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \\ & \leq M_2 n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(O_{1,r}(f) - f) \|_p + M_2 n^{-r} \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{k,r}^{(2r)}(O_{1,r}(f)) \|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \| O_{1,r}(f) - f \|_p + M_2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)} \| O_{1,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \| O_{q,r}(f) - f \|_p \\ & \leq M_1 M_2 M_3 \delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Noting that $\delta_k^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \leq \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)$, by (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \leq Mn^{r-1} \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p,$$

where $M = M_1 + M_1 M_2 M_3$. Lemma 2.5 has been proved. \square

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $n > 2$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\frac{n}{2} \leq m \leq n$,

and

$$\| O_{m,r}(f) - f \|_p = \min_{\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n} \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p,$$

$$\| O_{m,r}(f) - f \|_p \leq 2n^{-1} \sum_{\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n} \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p.$$

Therefore, using the definition of $K_{\phi}^{2r}(f; x)$, and Lemma 2.5, noting that

$\delta_m^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \leq \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{\phi}^{2r}(f; n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x))_p \\ & \leq \| O_{m,r}(f) - f \|_p + n^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) \| \varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{m,r}^{(2r)}(f) \|_p \\ & \leq 2n^{-1} \sum_{\frac{n}{2} \leq k \leq n} \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p \\ & \quad + Mn^{-r} \delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}(x) m^{r-1} \delta_m^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=1}^m \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p \\ & \leq Mn^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \| O_{k,r}(f) - f \|_p. \end{aligned}$$

By relationship of K -functional and modulus of smoothness, we get

$$\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; n^{-\frac{r}{2}}\delta_n^{r(1-\lambda)}(x))_p \leq Mn^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \|O_{k,r}(f) - f\|_p.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By $\|O_{n,r}(f) - f\|_p \leq M(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x))^\alpha$, according to the definition of $K_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; t^{2r})_p \\ & \leq \|f - O_{n,r}(f)\|_p + t^{2r} \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f)\|_p \\ & \leq M[(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x))^\alpha + t^{2r} (\|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(f - g)\|_p + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} O_{n,r}^{(2r)}(g)\|_p)] \\ & \leq M[(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x))^\alpha + t^{2r} (n^r \delta_n^{2r(\lambda-1)}(x) \|f - g\|_p + \|\varphi^{2r\lambda} g^{(2r)}\|_p)] \\ & \leq M \left((n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta_n^{(1-\lambda)}(x))^\alpha + \frac{t^{2r}}{n^{-r}\delta_n^{2r(1-\lambda)}} K_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; n^{-r}\varphi^{2r(1-\lambda)})_p \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Berens-Lorens theorem, and relationship of K -functional and modulus of smoothness, we have

$$\omega_{\varphi}^{2r}(f; t)_p \leq Mt^\alpha.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

References

- [1] M. M. Derriennic, On multivariate approximation by Bernstein-type polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 45 (1985), 155-156.
- [2] Z. Ditzian and K. G. Ivanov, Bernstein-type operators and their derivatives, J. Approx. Theory 56 (1989), 72-90.

- [3] Zhou Ding-Xuan, On smoothness characterized by Bernstein-type operators, J. Approx. Theory 81 (1995), 303-315.
- [4] Guo Shunsheng and Li Cuixiang, Approximation by linear combinations of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators, J. Lanzhou University (Natural Sciences) 36(6) (2000), 13-16.
- [5] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, Moduli of Smoothness, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [6] V. Wickeren, Weak-type inequalities for Kantorovich polynomials and related operators, Indag. Math. 90(1) (1987), 111-120.