



A NUMERICAL APPROACH TO 3-SAT

NORICHIKA MATSUKI

3-9-34, Fujisaki, Narashino-shi
Chiba, 275-0017, Japan
e-mail: n-matsuki@tree.odn.ne.jp

Abstract

We give a necessary and sufficient condition that a Boolean formula in 3-CNF is satisfiable in the form of a multiple integral and apply the Monte Carlo method to its evaluation.

1. Introduction

3-SAT is the problem deciding whether a given Boolean formula in 3-CNF is satisfiable, which was shown to be NP-complete by Cook [1]. 3-SAT can also be stated as follows.

Let $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a Boolean formula in 3-CNF

$$(y_{11} \vee y_{12} \vee y_{13}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (y_{m1} \vee y_{m2} \vee y_{m3}),$$

where $y_{11}, \dots, y_{m3} \in \{x_1, \neg x_1, \dots, x_n, \neg x_n\}$. By the equivalence relations

$$x \wedge y \Leftrightarrow xy, \quad x \vee y \Leftrightarrow 1 - (1 - x)(1 - y), \quad \neg x \Leftrightarrow 1 - x,$$

$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be transformed into

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - (1 - y_{i1})(1 - y_{i2})(1 - y_{i3})),$$

where $y_{11}, \dots, y_{m3} \in \{x_1, 1 - x_1, \dots, x_n, 1 - x_n\}$ [3]. Then 3-SAT is equivalent to the

Keywords and phrases : 3-SAT, Monte Carlo method.

Received November 17, 2009

problem deciding whether

$$T\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m ((1 - y_{i1})(1 - y_{i2})(1 - y_{i3}))^{2n+1} = 0 \quad (1)$$

has a solution for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$.

In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition that (1) has a solution for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$ in the form of a multiple integral and apply the Monte Carlo method to its evaluation.

2. The Criterion

We write, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$D_\varepsilon = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \varepsilon \leq x_i \leq 1 - \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$$D_\varepsilon(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n : \varepsilon \leq |x_i - a_i| \leq 1/2, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\varepsilon < (3n + 1)^n / (2^{6n^2 + 2n} mn)$. Then $T\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has a solution $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \{0, 1\}$ if and only if

$$\int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{T\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} > \frac{1}{mn\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. If $T\phi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{T\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &> \int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}(0, \dots, 0)} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{m(x_1^{2n+1} + \cdots + x_n^{2n+1})} \\ &> \int_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}^\varepsilon \cdots \int_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}^\varepsilon \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{mn\varepsilon^{2n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{mn\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if (1) has at least one solution, then

$$\int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{T\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} > \frac{1}{mn\varepsilon}. \quad (2)$$

If $T\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I(a_1, \dots, a_n) &< \int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}(0, \dots, 0)} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{((1-x_1) \cdots (1-x_n))^{6n+3}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(6n+2)^n} \left(\frac{1}{(1-1/2)^{6n+2}} - \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)^{6n+2}} \right)^n \\ &< \frac{2^{6n^2+2n}}{(6n+2)^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if (1) has no solution, then

$$\int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{T\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)} < \frac{2^{6n^2+2n}}{(3n+1)^n}. \quad (3)$$

By (2), (3) and $\varepsilon < (3n+1)^n / (2^{6n^2+2n} mn)$, the theorem follows. \square

3. Numerical Integration

From Theorem 1, to check satisfiability for $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ it suffices to evaluate

$$I = \int \cdots \int_{D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{T\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

with the error

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{mn\varepsilon} - \frac{2^{6n^2+2n}}{(3n+1)^n} \right).$$

Using the Monte Carlo method [2], we can estimate

$$I \approx \frac{(1-2\varepsilon+2\varepsilon^2)^n}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{T\varphi(x_1(i), \dots, x_n(i))},$$

where $(x_1(1), \dots, x_n(1)), \dots, (x_1(N), \dots, x_n(N))$ are points selected at random in $D_{\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2}$. The error is $O(N^{-1/2})$ independent of the dimension n .

References

- [1] S. A. Cook, The complexity of theorem-proving procedures, Proceedings of the 3rd ACM Symposium on Theory Computing, 1971, pp. 151-158.
- [2] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, Methods of Numerical Integration, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, New York, 2007.
- [3] A. Shamir, $IP = PSPACE$, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 39(4) (1992), 869-877.