



## RIESZ-MARTIN REPRESENTATION FOR POSITIVE POLYSUPERHARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN A HARMONIC SPACE

SUAD ALHEMEDAN

Department of Mathematics

King Saud University

P. O. Box 224525, Code No. 11495

Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

e-mail: suad-alhemedan@hotmail.com

### Abstract

In the context of the axiomatic potential theory, we introduce the notions of polyharmonic Green domains and polyharmonic functions of order  $m$  on a Brelot space  $\Omega$ . For these functions, we prove that if  $u$  is a positive polyharmonic function in a polyharmonic Green domain  $\omega$ , then  $u$  has a representation analogous to the Riesz-Martin representation for positive harmonic functions on  $\Omega$ .

### 1. Introduction

In [6], Anandam and Othman proved that in a biharmonic Green domain  $\omega$  in a Riemannian manifold  $R$ , a locally  $dx$ -integrable function  $v$  on  $\omega$  which satisfies the conditions  $v \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta v \leq 0$  and  $\Delta^2 v \geq 0$  has Riesz-Martin representation. We initiate in this note a similar study in the framework of the axiomatic potential theory. After defining polyharmonic functions of order  $m$  on a Brelot harmonic space, we obtain Riesz-Martin representation for these functions analogous to those functions studied in Riemannian manifolds and Riemann surfaces.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 31D05.

Keywords and phrases: polyharmonic functions, polyharmonic Green domains.

Received November 18, 2008

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact space provided with a sheaf of harmonic functions satisfying the axioms 1, 2 and 3 of Brelot [7]. Fix a Radon measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Omega$  such that each superharmonic function on a domain  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$  is  $\lambda$ -integrable. Such measures can be constructed by using the harmonic measures on  $\Omega$  (see [4]). Let us assume also that the axiom of local proportionality (see [7]) and the axiom  $A^*$  of quasi-analyticity (see de La Pradelle [9]) are verified on  $\Omega$ , and the constants are harmonic on  $\Omega$ . With these restrictions, we call  $\Omega = (\Omega, H, \lambda)$  a *harmonic space*.

As examples of Brelot harmonic spaces, we can cite  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ : parabolic, hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and Riemannian manifolds, also domains in  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with harmonic functions as the solutions of second-order elliptic differential operators with smooth coefficients (see Hervé [10]).

Among these harmonic spaces  $\Omega$ , some have potentials  $> 0$  in  $\Omega$  (like,  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and hyperbolic Riemannian manifolds) and some others do not have potentials  $> 0$  in  $\Omega$  (like  $\mathfrak{R}^2$  and parabolic Riemann surfaces). We say that a harmonic space  $\Omega$  is a *B.P.* or *B.S. space* depending on whether there exist or not potentials  $> 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.1** [3]. *Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on an open set  $\omega$  in a harmonic space  $\Omega = (\Omega, H, \lambda)$ . Then there exists a superharmonic function  $s$  on  $\omega$  such that  $\mu$  is the measure associated with  $s$  in a local Riesz representation. (We represent this correspondence by the equation  $(-L)s = \mu$  on  $\omega$ .)*

A domain  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$  is called a *Green domain* if the Green function  $G(x, y)$  is well defined on  $\Omega$ . On a Green domain  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ , we can construct the Martin compactification  $\overline{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$  as in [8]. Some of the important points to remember here are the following: fix a point  $y_0$  in a Green domain  $\omega$ .

If  $G(x, y)$  is the Green function on  $\Omega$ , write

$$k_y(x) = k(x, y) = \frac{G(x, y)}{G(x, y_0)}$$

with the convention  $k(y_0, y_0) = 1$ . Then there exists only one (metrizable) compactification  $\overline{\Omega}$  up to homeomorphism such that

- (i)  $\Omega$  is dense open in the compact space  $\overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (ii)  $k_y(x)$ ,  $y \in \Omega$ , extends as a continuous function of  $x$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (iii) the family of these extended continuous functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$  separates the points  $x \in \Delta = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ .

$\overline{\Omega}$  is called the *Martin compactification* of  $\Omega$  and  $\Delta = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  is called the *Martin boundary*. A positive harmonic function  $u > 0$  is called *minimal* if and only if for any harmonic function  $v$ ,  $0 \leq v \leq u$ , we should have  $v = \alpha u$  for a constant  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . It can be proved that every minimal harmonic function  $u(y)$  on  $\Omega$  is of the form  $u(y_0)k(x, y)$  for some  $x \in \Delta$ , and the points  $x \in \Delta$  corresponding to these minimal harmonic functions are called the *minimal points* of  $\Delta$ , and the set of minimal points of  $\Delta$  is denoted by  $\Delta_1$ , called the *minimal boundary*.

**Martin Representation Theorem 2.2.** *For any harmonic function  $u \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ , there exists a unique Radon measure  $\mu \geq 0$  on  $\Delta$  with support in the minimal boundary  $\Delta_1 \subset \Delta$  such that  $u(y) = \int_{\Delta_1} k(x, y) d\mu(x)$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(u_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be  $m$  functions defined on an open set  $\omega$  in a harmonic space  $\Omega = (\Omega, H, \lambda)$  such that  $(-L)u_{j+1} = u_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ . We say that  $u = (u_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is a *polysuperharmonic function of order  $m$*  or shortly  *$m$ -superharmonic* (resp.,  *$m$ -subharmonic*, resp.,  *$m$ -harmonic*) if  $u_1$  is superharmonic (resp., subharmonic, resp., harmonic). We say that  $u \geq 0$  if each  $u_i \geq 0$ .

Let  $\omega$  be a Green domain in a harmonic space  $\Omega$ , with  $G(x, y)$  as the Green function on  $\omega$ . For an integer  $m \geq 2$ , we will denote

$$G^m(x, y) = \int G(x, z_{m-1})G(z_{m-1}, z_{m-2}) \cdots G(z_1, y) dz_1 \cdots dz_{m-1}$$

and say that a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\omega$  is in  $\pi_m$  if  $u(x) = \int G^m(x, y) d\mu(y) \neq \infty$  on  $\omega$ , in which case  $u$  is a potential on  $\omega$  and  $(-L)^m u = \mu$ ; also  $(-L)^j u \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ .

Let  $\overline{\Omega}$  be the Martin compactification of  $\Omega$  and let  $k(x, y)$  be the Martin kernel. For any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , let  $\Lambda_i$  denote the set of positive Radon measures  $v_i$  on  $\Delta = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  with support in the minimal boundary  $\Delta_1$ , such that

$$v_i(x) = \int G(x, z_i) G(z_i, z_{i-1}) \cdots G(z_2, z_1) \left[ \int_{\Delta_1} k(X, z_1) dv(X) \right] dz_1 \cdots dz_i \neq \infty.$$

In that case,  $v_i(x)$  is a potential on  $\omega$ ,  $(-L)^i v_i \equiv 0$ ; also  $(-L)^j v_i \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i$ . Let us write for  $X \in \Delta_1$  and  $x \in \omega$ ,

$$k_i(X, x) = \int G(x, z_i) \cdots G(z_2, z_1) k(X, z_1) dz_1 \cdots dz_i.$$

Then, if  $v \in \Lambda_i$ ,  $v_i(x) = \int_{\Delta_1} k_i(X, x) dv(X)$  is well defined on  $\omega$  with the above properties.

**Definition 2.4.** A domain  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$  is called *m-harmonic Green domain* if there exists a polysuperharmonic function of order  $m$  in  $\omega$ .

**Example.**  $\Re^n$ ,  $n \geq 2m+1$ , [2] is an *m-harmonic Green domain* since the function  $u = (u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_1)$ ,  $u_m(x) = |x|^{2m-n}$  is a polysuperharmonic function of order  $m$ .

### 3. Integral Representation in a Harmonic Space

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\omega$  be an *m-harmonic domain* in a harmonic space  $\Omega$ . Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer. Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $s = (s_m, s_{m-1}, \dots, s_1) \geq 0$  is a polysuperharmonic function of order  $m$  in  $\omega$ .

(ii) For any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , there exist unique measures  $\mu \in \pi_j$  and  $v_i \in \Lambda_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq j-1$  such that

$$s_j(x) = \int_{\Omega} G^j(x, y) d\mu(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \int_{\Delta_1} k_i(X, x) dv_i(X) \text{ a.e. on } \omega.$$

(iii) The above property (ii) is satisfied for  $j = m$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Fix  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Then  $(s_j, s_{j-1}, \dots, s_1)$  is a polysuperharmonic function of order  $j$  on  $\Omega$ , since  $(-L)s_{i+1} = s_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j-1$  and  $s_1$  is superharmonic. Moreover, since  $(-L)s_{i+1} \geq 0$ , each  $s_i$  is a positive superharmonic function. Write  $s_1 = p_1 + h_1$  as the unique sum of a potential  $p_1$  and a positive harmonic function  $h_1$ . Let  $(-L)p_1^* = p_1$  and  $(-L)h_1^* = h_1$ . Then  $p_1^*$  and  $h_1^*$  are superharmonic on  $\omega$  and  $(-L)s_2 = p_1 + h_1 = (-L)p_1^* + (-L)h_1^*$ .

That is,  $s_2 = p_1^* + h_1^* + (\text{a harmonic function})$  on  $\omega$ . Since  $s_2 \geq 0$ ,  $p_1^*$  has a subharmonic minorant on  $\omega$  and hence  $p_1^* = (\text{a potential } p_2) + (\text{the greatest harmonic minorant of } p_1^*, \text{ which may not necessarily be positive})$ .

Then  $s_2 = p_2 + u_2$ , where  $u_2$  is superharmonic on  $\omega$ . Since  $s_2 \geq 0$ ,  $p_2 \geq -u_2$ . Since  $p_2$  is a potential and  $-u_2$  is subharmonic,  $-u_2 \leq 0$ . Hence  $s_2 = p_2 + u_2$ , where  $p_2$  is a potential on  $\omega$  such that  $(-L)p_2 = p_1$  and  $u_2 \geq 0$  is superharmonic such that  $(-L)u_2 = h_1$ .

Thus proceeding, we can write

$$(s_j, s_{j-1}, \dots, s_1) = (p_j, p_{j-1}, \dots, p_1) + (u_j, u_{j-1}, \dots, u_2, h_1),$$

where  $(-L)p_{i+1} = p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j-1$ , and  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  are all potentials;  $(-L)u_{i+1} = u_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq j-1$  and  $(-L)u_2 = h_1$ .

Now take  $(u_j, u_{j-1}, \dots, u_2, h_1)$  and proceed as before. Note now  $h_1$  is positive harmonic, so that we can write

$$(u_j, u_{j-1}, \dots, u_2, h_1) = (q_j, q_{j-1}, \dots, h_1) + (f_j, f_{j-1}, \dots, f_3, h_2, 0),$$

where  $(-L)q_{i+1} = q_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq j-1$ ,  $(-L)q_2 = h_1$ , and each  $q_i$  is a potential;  $(-L)f_{i+1} = f_i \geq 0$  for  $3 \leq i \leq j-1$ ,  $(-L)f_3 = h_2$ , and  $(-L)h_2 = 0$ , so that  $h_2$  is positive harmonic.

Then take  $(f_j, f_{j-1}, \dots, f_3, h_2, 0)$  and follow the same procedure, so that

$$(f_j, f_{j-1}, \dots, f_3, h_2, 0) = (r_j, r_{j-1}, \dots, r_3, h_2, 0) + (g_j, g_{j-1}, \dots, g_4, h_3, 0, 0),$$

where  $(-L)r_{i+1} = r_i$  for  $3 \leq i \leq j-1$ ,  $(-L)r_3 = h_2$  and each  $r_i$  is a potential;  $(-L)g_{i+1} = g_i \geq 0$  for  $4 \leq i \leq j-1$ ,  $(-L)g_4 = h_3$  and  $(-L)h_3 = 0$ , so that  $h_3$  is harmonic  $\geq 0$ .

Thus proceeding, we finally arrive at the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} (s_j, s_{j-1}, \dots, s_1) &= (p_j, p_{j-1}, \dots, p_1) + (q_j, q_{j-1}, \dots, q_2, h_1) \\ &\quad + (r_j, r_{j-1}, \dots, r_3, h_2, 0) + \dots + (h_j, 0, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(-L)p_1 = \mu$  and let  $v_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq j$ ) be the positive Radon measure on  $\Delta_1$ , associated with the positive harmonic function  $h_i$  in the Martin representation. Then  $s_j = p_j + q_j + r_j + \dots + h_j$  has the integral representation

$$s_j(x) = \int_{\Omega} G^j(x, y) d\mu(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \int_{\Delta_1} k_i(X, x) dv_i(X) \text{ a.e. on } \omega.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $j = m$  is a particular case of (ii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By the assumption

$$s_m(x) = \int_{\omega} G^m(x, y) d\mu(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Delta_1} k_i(X, x) dv_i(X) \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence we can express  $s_m$  in the form  $s_m(x) = p_m(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} q_j(x)$ . We can calculate to find that  $(-L)^i p_m$  is a potential for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  and

$(-L)^m p_m = \mu$ , a positive Radon measure; and  $(-L)^i q_j$  is a potential for  $1 \leq i \leq j-1$  and  $(-L)^j q_j = 0$ .

Write now  $(-L)s_m = s_{m-1}$ ,  $(-L)s_{m-1} = s_{m-2}$ , ...,  $(-L)s_2 = s_1$ . We can see that each  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) is a positive superharmonic function and  $(-L)s_{i+1} = s_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

Hence  $s = (s_m, s_{m-1}, \dots, s_1) \geq 0$  is a polyharmonic function of order  $m$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks the Research Center, King Saud University for grant.

### References

- [1] A. Abkar and H. Hedenmalm, A Riesz representation formula for super-biharmonic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 26(2) (2001), 305-324.
- [2] M. Al-Qurashi and V. Anandam, Polysuperharmonic functions on a harmonic space, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 34(2) (2005), 315-330.
- [3] V. Anandam, Admissible superharmonic functions and associated measures, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 19(1) (1979), 65-78.
- [4] V. Anandam, Biharmonic Green functions in a Riemannian manifold, *Arab J. Math. Sci.* 4(1) (1998), 39-45.
- [5] V. Anandam, Biharmonic classification of harmonic spaces, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 45(3) (2000), 383-395.
- [6] V. Anandam and S. I. Othman, Riesz-Martin representation for positive super-polyharmonic functions in a Riemannian manifold, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2006 (2006), Article ID 92176, 9 pp.
- [7] M. Brelot, *Axiomatique des Fonctions Harmoniques*, Les Presses de l'Université de Montreal, 1966.
- [8] M. Brelot, On topologies and boundaries in potential theory, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 175, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [9] A. de La Pradelle, Approximation et caractère de quasi-analyticité dans la théorie axiomatique des fonctions harmoniques, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 17(1) (1967), 383-399.
- [10] R.-M. Hervé, Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions surharmoniques et du potentiel, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 12 (1962) 415-571.