



## REMARKS ON THE BORDIGA SCROLLS OF DEGREE TEN

**ANTONIO LANTERI and VANIA NANNOLA**

Dipartimento di Matematica “F. Enriques”

Università degli Studi di Milano

Via C. Saldini, 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy

e-mail: [antonio.lanteri@unimi.it](mailto:antonio.lanteri@unimi.it)

[vaniastar@libero.it](mailto:vaniastar@libero.it)

### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a very ample vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with  $c_1(\mathcal{U}) = 4$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 6$ . The associated scroll  $(X, H)$ , a Bordiga scroll of degree 10, turns out to be isomorphic to the blowing-up of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  along a twisted cubic  $C$ . Then the jumping lines and conics of  $\mathcal{U}$  can be described in a very easy and explicit way through the geometry of  $C$ .

### 0. Introduction

Rational surfaces polarized by a very ample line bundle whose adjunction theoretic reduction is  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(4))$  are known as Bordiga surfaces. They have degree  $d$ ,  $6 \leq d \leq 16$ , and sectional genus 3. For  $7 \leq d \leq 10$  such surfaces occur as hyperplane sections of threefolds which are scrolls over  $\mathbb{P}^2$  [8, Proposition 1.3]. We refer to them as Bordiga scrolls. Let  $(X, H)$  be such a scroll. Then  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U})$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a very ample vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $H$  is the tautological line bundle on  $X$ . Moreover,  $c_1(\mathcal{U}) = 4$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 16 - d$ . Recently, in connection

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14C20; Secondary 14J40, 14F05.

Keywords and phrases: vector bundles on the projective plane, jumping line, jumping conic, scroll, Fano threefold.

Received January 12, 2009

with results on ample vector bundles with a section vanishing on a projective manifold of sectional genus 3 [10], case  $d = 10$  attracted the attention of Maeda [11]. He proved [11, Theorem 3] that if  $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 6$ , then  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^3$  blown-up along a twisted cubic  $C$ . This fact suggests an unexpected way to translate geometric properties of  $C$  in terms of the rank-2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}$ . In particular, it allows us to describe the jumping lines as well as the jumping conics of  $\mathcal{U}$  in a very precise way (see Theorems (2.3) and (2.6)). The argument simply involves quadric surfaces through  $C$  and quartic surfaces singular along  $C$ , respectively. From this point of view, this paper can be regarded as a supplement to [11].

Varieties are always assumed to be defined over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. We use the standard notation and terminology from algebraic geometry. Tensor products of line bundles are denoted additively. The pullback of a vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  on a projective variety  $Y$  by an embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_Z$ . We denote by  $K_Y$  the canonical bundle of a smooth variety  $Y$ .

Let  $L$  be a very ample line bundle on a smooth projective variety  $Y$  and let  $V \subseteq H^0(Y, L)$  be a subspace of sections providing an embedding  $\varphi_V : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}(V)$  (meant as the set of codimension 1 vector subspaces of  $V$ ). Let  $J_1(L)$  be the first jet bundle of  $L$  and

$$j_1 : V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow J_1(L) \quad (0.1)$$

the sheaf homomorphism associating to every section  $s \in V$  its 1-jet  $j_1(s)(x)$  evaluated at  $x$  for every  $x \in Y$ .

Consider (0.1) in the special case  $Y = \mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  and  $V = H^0(Y, L)$ . Note that  $j_1$  is surjective, due to the very ampleness of  $L$ ; moreover,  $\text{Ker}(j_{1,x}) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$  because  $|L - x|$  does not contain singular elements. It follows that  $j_1$  is an isomorphism of vector bundles. So we have

$$J_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus(n+1)}. \quad (0.2)$$

### 1. Reconstructing the Scroll from the Twisted Cubic

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a very ample vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with Chern classes  $c_1(\mathcal{U}) = 4$ ,  $c_2(\mathcal{U}) = 6$ , let  $(X, H)$  be as in the introduction and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be the scroll projection. According to [11, Theorem 3],  $X$  is the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  along a twisted cubic  $C$ . Let  $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  be the blowing-up. For every  $x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus C$  there exists a unique secant line  $\lambda_x$  to  $C$  passing through  $x$ . Let  $p, q$  be the (distinct or coinciding) points, where  $\lambda_x$  meets  $C$ . The unordered pair  $\{p, q\}$  defines a point  $\psi(x) \in C^{(2)}$ , the symmetric product of  $C$  with itself. Note that  $C^{(2)} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ , since  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . Therefore  $x \mapsto \psi(x)$  defines a rational map  $\psi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , which is a morphism on  $\mathbb{P}^3 \setminus C$ . Of course  $\psi$  is not defined on  $C$  because for every  $x \in C$  there are infinitely many secant lines to  $C$  passing through  $x$ . Note also that for  $x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus C$ , we have  $\psi(y) = \psi(x)$  for all  $y \in \lambda_x \setminus C$ . What we said proves the following fact.

**Remark (1.1).** The scroll projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is the resolution of the indeterminacies of the rational map  $\psi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ . Moreover, every fiber of  $\pi$  is the proper transform of a secant or a tangent line to  $C$  via  $\sigma$ .

**(1.2)** Let  $E = \sigma^{-1}(C)$  be the exceptional divisor of the blowing-up  $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ . We have  $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^3})$ , where  $N_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}$  is the normal bundle of  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . Recall that

$$N_{C/\mathbb{P}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5)^{\oplus 2}, \quad (1.2.1)$$

since  $C$  lies on a quadric cone (e.g., see [6, Corollary 2.2]). Hence  $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Remark (1.1) implies that  $\pi|_E : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is a morphism of degree 2, ramified along a section of the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $E \rightarrow C$ . The branch divisor of  $\pi|_E$  is the curve  $G \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , which is the image of  $C$  in its

symmetric product  $C^{(2)} = \mathbb{P}^2$  via the diagonal map  $C \rightarrow C \times C$  and the projection to  $C^{(2)}$ . Hence  $G$  is a smooth conic. Describing  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  as the locus of points  $(t_0^3 : t_0^2 t_1 : t_0 t_1^2 : t_1^3)$ , where  $(t_0 : t_1) \in \mathbb{P}^1$  and recalling that the projection  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1(2)} = \mathbb{P}^2$  is given by

$$((t_0 : t_1), (s_0 : s_1)) \mapsto (t_0 s_0 : t_0 s_1 + t_1 s_0 : t_1 s_1),$$

we see that  $G$  consists of points  $(t_0^2 : 2t_0 t_1 : t_1^2)$ . In other words, we can choose homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $G$  has equation

$$x_1^2 - 4x_0 x_2 = 0. \quad (1.2.2)$$

Sometimes we will refer to  $G$  as the *fundamental conic* of  $(X, H)$ .

**(1.3)** Now set  $L = \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ ,  $M = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ . Clearly, both  $L$  and  $M$  are nef. Moreover,  $M^2$  is the class of a fiber of  $\pi$ , hence

$$M^2 E = 2 \quad (1.3.1)$$

by what we said in (1.2). Recalling [9, Lemma 2.2.14, (b)] and (1.2.1) we have also

$$L^3 = 1, \quad L^2 E = 0, \quad L E^2 = -3, \quad E^3 = -10. \quad (1.3.2)$$

Furthermore,

$$L \cdot f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E \cdot f = -1 \quad (1.3.3)$$

for every fiber  $f$  of  $E \rightarrow C$ .

Taking into account the two structures of  $X$  deriving from  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , we have that  $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , two bases being given by  $\{L, E\}$  and  $\{H, M\}$ , respectively.

**Lemma (1.4).** *The two bases above are related as follows:*

$$H = 3L - E, \quad M = 2L - E.$$

**Proof.** We can write  $H = aL - bE$  and  $M = xL - yE$  for some integers  $a, b, x, y$ . Consider the canonical bundle  $K_X$ . Due to the scroll structure of  $(X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}), H)$ , we have  $K_X + 2H = \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \det \mathcal{U}) = M$ . Thus

$$K_X = (x - 2a)L + (2b - y)E.$$

On the other hand, due to the blowing-up  $\sigma$ , we have

$$K_X = \sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^3} + E = -4L + E.$$

Comparing the two expressions above gives  $x = 2(a - 2)$ ,  $y = 2b - 1$ ; hence  $M = 2(a - 2)L - (2b - 1)E$ . To compute  $a$  and  $b$  we use (1.3.1) combined with (1.3.2) to get

$$2 = M^2 E = (2(a - 2)L - (2b - 1)E)^2 E = 2(2b - 1)(6a - 10b - 7). \quad (1.4.1)$$

As we said  $M$  is nef, hence  $M \cdot f \geq 0$  for every fiber  $f$  of  $E \rightarrow C$ . Taking into account (1.3.3), this shows that  $2b - 1 \geq 0$ . Thus (1.4.1) implies  $2b - 1 = 6a - 10b - 7 = 1$ , since we are interested only in integral solutions. This gives  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 1$  and therefore  $x = 2$ ,  $y = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remarks (1.5).** (i) By subtracting the two relations provided by Lemma (1.4) we get  $L = H - M$ . It follows that  $L$  can be seen as the tautological line bundle of  $\mathcal{U}(-1)$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}(-1)$  is nef, so being  $L$ .

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{J}_C$  be the ideal sheaf of  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_C(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2) \rightarrow 0$$

we get  $h^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{J}_C(2)) = 3$ . Thus by Lemma (1.4), taking the proper transform via  $\sigma$  induces a bijection

$$|\mathcal{J}_C(2)| \leftrightarrow |M| = \pi^* |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)|. \quad (1.5.1)$$

For any line  $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  set  $M_\ell := \pi^{-1}(\ell)$ . Then  $M_\ell$  is the proper transform via  $\sigma$  of a quadric surface of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  containing  $C$ .

## 2. The Role of the Tangent Developable Detecting Jumping Lines and Conics

**(2.1)** Look at the twisted cubic  $C$  as the image of the embedding  $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(V)$ , where  $V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3))$ , and let  $\text{Tan}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be the tangent ruled developable to  $C$ . As is known,  $\text{Tan}(C)$  is a surface of degree 4, singular exactly along  $C$ , and for which  $C$  is a locus of cusps. Consider  $T := \sigma^{-1}(\text{Tan}(C))$  and note that  $\pi(T) = G$  is the fundamental conic defined in (1.2). Moreover,  $T = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}_G)$  and the scroll projection  $\pi$  of  $(X, H)$  induces a scroll structure on  $(T, H_T)$ . Note also that  $T$  is the (smooth) normalization of  $\text{Tan}(C)$  via  $\sigma|_T : T \rightarrow \text{Tan}(C)$ . Now, look at (0.1) with  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)$  and  $V = H^0(X, L)$ . Recall that the projective tangent line to  $C$  at the point  $p = \varphi(x)$  ( $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ ) is just  $\mathbb{P}(\text{Im}(j_{1,x}))$ , where  $j_1$  is the sheaf homomorphism (0.1) with the present data. By taking projectivizations we thus see that (0.1) induces a birational morphism  $\mathbb{P}(J_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3))) \rightarrow \text{Tan}(C)$  and by the universal property of the normalization we conclude that  $T = \mathbb{P}(J_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)))$ .

**Lemma (2.2).**  $J_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(3)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)^{\oplus 2}$ ; in particular,  $T$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Proof.** The assertion follows from (0.2) and [4, Lemma 1.2]. □

Now, let us come to the jumping lines of  $\mathcal{U}$ . For any line  $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ , we have  $\mathcal{U}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\ell(2)^{\oplus 2}$  or  $\mathcal{O}_\ell(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\ell(1)$  according to whether  $\ell$  is general or a jumping line. As in Remark (1.5)(ii), let  $M_\ell$  be the proper transform of a quadric surface  $Q \in |\mathcal{J}_C(2)|$  via  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem (2.3).** *Let  $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be any line. Then the following facts are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\ell$  is a jumping line of  $\mathcal{U}$ ;
- (2) the quadric  $Q \in |\mathcal{J}_C(2)|$  corresponding to  $M_\ell$  is a quadric cone;
- (3)  $\ell$  is tangent to the fundamental conic  $G$ .

**Proof.** Set  $M_\ell = \pi^{-1}(\ell)$  as in Remark (1.5). Then  $M_\ell = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}_\ell)$ . Hence  $M_\ell \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ , the Segre-Hirzebruch surface of invariant  $e$ , where  $e = 2$  or  $0$  according to whether  $\ell$  is jumping line or not. On the other hand, by Remark (1.5) these circumstances depend on the fact that the quadric  $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_C(2)|$  corresponding to  $M_\ell$  is a quadric cone or a smooth quadric. This proves the equivalence of (1) and (2). Next, note that if  $Q \in |\mathcal{I}_C(2)|$  is a quadric cone, then its vertex  $p$  has to lie on  $C$ . Hence there is a single line common to  $Q$  and  $\text{Tan}(C)$ : namely, the projective tangent line to  $C$  at  $p$ . Taking the proper transforms, this means that the two ruled surfaces  $M_\ell$  and  $T$  have a single fiber in common. Since  $\pi(T) = G$ , looking at their bases, this is equivalent to saying that  $\ell$  is tangent to  $G$ . On the other hand, if  $Q$  is a smooth quadric, then  $Q = A \times B$ , with  $A, B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $C \in |A + 2B|$ , up to exchanging the rulings  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus the morphism  $C \rightarrow B$  induced by the second projection of  $Q$  has two distinct branch points. This means that there are two distinct fibers of the ruling  $|A|$  which are tangent to  $C$ . Looking at the proper transforms  $M_\ell$  and  $T$  and projecting down to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  via  $\pi$  this means that the line  $\ell$  intersects  $G$  in two distinct points. This proves the equivalence of (2) and (3).  $\square$

It turns out from Theorem (2.3) that the jumping lines of  $\mathcal{U}$  are parameterized in  $\mathbb{P}^{2\vee}$  by the dual conic of  $G$ . Note that  $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{U}(-2)) = 0$  as shown in [11, Theorem 1]. Moreover,  $\mathcal{U}(-2)$  is normalized, because  $c_1(\mathcal{U}(-2)) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}(-2)$  is stable. Then the locus of  $\mathbb{P}^{2\vee}$  parameterizing the jumping lines is a conic (a curve of degree  $c_2(\mathcal{U}(-2)) = 2$ ) by a result of Barth [1, Theorem 2]. Theorem (2.3) simply provides a precise description of it.

There are other interesting surfaces contained in  $X$ : namely, the elements of  $|L|$ . Let  $P \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be a plane not tangent to  $C$ , let  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  be the distinct points constituting  $P \cap C$ , and let  $\tilde{P} = \sigma^{-1}(P)$ . Note that  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  are not collinear. The composition

$$\theta_P := \pi|_{\tilde{P}} \circ \sigma^{-1}|_P : P \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is the quadratic transformation blowing-up  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and contracting

the proper transforms of the secants  $\langle p_i, p_j \rangle$ . Set  $x_k = \pi(\sigma^{-1}(\langle p_i, p_j \rangle))$ , where  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  is distinct from  $i$  and  $j$ . Looking, e.g., at  $p_1$  we note that the secants  $\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle p_1, p_3 \rangle$  are two generators of the quadric cone  $Q_1 \in |\mathcal{J}_C(2)|$  of vertex  $p_1$ . Then the line  $\ell_1 = \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$  is the base curve of the proper transform  $M_{\ell_1}$  of  $Q_1$ . It thus follows from Theorem (2.3) that  $\ell_1$  is tangent to the fundamental conic  $G$ . Of course the same is true for the lines  $\ell_2$  and  $\ell_3$ . Hence, we have

**Remark (2.4).** For a general plane  $P \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ , the triangle of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  generated by the quadratic transformation  $\theta_P$  is circumscribed to  $G$ .

**(2.5)** Triangles circumscribed to  $G$  enter also in the description of the jumping conics of  $\mathcal{U}$ . First of all, looking at  $\mathcal{U}(-2)$  instead of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we know from [12, Theorem I.8] that the locus  $J$  of jumping conics is a quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5 = \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)))$ . In particular,  $J$  contains the 3-fold parameterizing reducible conics with one component at least tangent to  $G$ , and cuts the Veronese surface of conics of rank 1 exactly along the curve parameterizing those supported on a tangent line to  $G$ , counted twice [12, Lemma I.3 and Corollary I.6]. Moreover,  $J$  contains irreducible conics [12, Corollary I.9]. Note also that  $G$  is not a jumping conic because  $\mathcal{U}_G = \mathcal{O}_G(2)^{\oplus 2}$  by Lemma (2.2). To understand which condition irreducible jumping conics have to satisfy we focus on the linear system  $|2M|$ .

By Lemma (1.4) we know that  $2M = 4L - 2E$ , so we have to look at the linear system  $|\mathcal{J}_C^2(4)|$  of quartic surfaces  $F \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  having  $C$  as a double curve. Clearly, taking the proper transform via  $\sigma$  defines an injection  $|\mathcal{J}_C^2(4)| \hookrightarrow |2M|$ . Note that  $h^0(2M) = h^0(\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) = 6$ , by the projection formula. Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{J}_C^2(4)) \cong \text{Sym}^2(H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{J}_C(2)))$$

([3, Lemma 6.4]). Taking into account (1.5.1), this fact says that the above injection is a bijection and

$$|2M| = |\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)| = \pi^*|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|.$$

Hence every  $N \in |2M|$  is of the form  $\pi^{-1}(\gamma)$  for some conic  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . So we can write  $N = N_\gamma$ . Note that  $N_\gamma = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}_\gamma)$ , hence if  $\gamma$  (i.e.,  $F$ ) is irreducible, then  $N_\gamma \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ , for some  $e \geq 0$ .

Irreducible jumping conics are characterized as follows.

**Theorem (2.6).** *Let  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be any irreducible conic. Then the following facts are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\gamma$  is a jumping conic of  $\mathcal{U}$ ;
- (2) the quartic surface  $F \in |\mathcal{J}_C^2(4)|$  corresponding to  $N_\gamma$  is contained in a special linear complex;
- (3)  $\gamma$  and  $G$  are Poncelet related, with  $G$  as the inner conic (i.e., there exist infinitely many triangles inscribed in  $\gamma$  and circumscribed to  $G$ ).

**Proof.** Quartic ruled surfaces  $F \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  are known since longtime (e.g., see [5, pp. 302-303]). If the double locus of  $F$  is a twisted cubic, there are only two possibilities according to whether  $F$  admits a line as directrix or not. Let  $N_\gamma = \sigma^{-1}(F)$ . Looking at  $F$  as the projection of a quartic scroll of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , we have  $N_\gamma \cong \mathbb{F}_e$  with  $e = 2$  or 0 accordingly. So  $\gamma$  is a jumping conic if and only if we are in the former case. Note that in both cases the curve representing  $F$  in the Grassmannian  $\mathbb{G}(1, 3) \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  of lines of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  is contained in a hyperplane, being a rational curve of degree 4. This exactly means that  $F$  is contained in a linear complex. But this complex is special only in the former case [5, pp. 48-50]. This gives the equivalence of (1) and (2). The equivalence of (2) and (3) is proven in [2, Section 6].  $\square$

**Remark (2.7).** Fix homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $G$  is represented by (1.2.2), and suppose that  $\gamma$  has equation

$$\sum a_{ij}x_i x_j = 0 \quad (a_{ij} = a_{ji}).$$

Then the condition that  $\gamma$  is Poncelet related with  $G$  with the latter as the inner conic is expressed by the following relation [2, Section 6], deriving

also from a classical result of Cayley [7]:

$$a_{11}(a_{11} + 2a_{02}) - 4a_{01}a_{12} + a_{00}a_{22} = 0.$$

Therefore this is the equation describing the quadric hypersurface  $J \subset \mathbb{P}^5$  introduced in (2.5) with homogeneous coordinates

$$(a_{00} : a_{01} : a_{02} : a_{11} : a_{12} : a_{22}).$$

### 3. A Final Remark

According to Remark (1.1),  $\sigma$  restricted to every fiber of  $\pi$  is an isomorphism. Thus it is easy to see that the morphism  $(\pi, \sigma): X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$  is an embedding. On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be any projective manifold endowed with an ample vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $\geq 2$  and an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}$  has a global section vanishing scheme-theoretically on  $X$  with  $\mathcal{H}_X = H$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{H}) = (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(1, 1)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3}(1, 1))$$

by [10, Theorem 1]. In this setting,  $X$  can be regarded as a general threefold section of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$  Segre embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$ , while  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , the two Mori contractions of  $X$ , are just the morphisms induced by the two projections. Moreover,  $\mathcal{U}$  fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow 0.$$

In terms of extendability of our original pair  $(X, H)$ , [10, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, case (7)] imply the following fact.

**Proposition (3.1).** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a smooth projective variety whose general threefold section with a linear space is  $X$ , with  $H = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1))_X$ .*

*Then  $Y$  is either  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$  Segre embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$  or a general hyperplane section of it. Moreover, in the latter case,  $Y = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ , where  $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$  is the tangent bundle.*

## References

- [1] W. Barth, Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , *Math. Ann.* 226 (1977), 125-150.
- [2] O. Bottema, A classification of rational quartic ruled surfaces, *Geom. Dedicata* 1 (1973), 349-355.
- [3] F. Catanese and B. Wajnryb, The 3-cuspidal quartic and braid monodromy of degree 4 coverings, *Projective Varieties with Unexpected Properties*, Vol. 1056, C. Ciliberto et al., eds., W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005, pp. 113-129.
- [4] S. Di Rocco and A. J. Sommese, Line bundles for which a projectivized jet bundle is a product, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2000), 1659-1663.
- [5] W. L. Edge, *The Theory of Ruled Surfaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1931.
- [6] F. Ghione and G. Sacchiero, Normal bundles of rational curves in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , *Manuscripta Math.* 33 (1980/81), 111-128.
- [7] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris, On Cayley's explicit solution to Poncelet's porism, *Enseign. Math.* (2) 24 (1978), 31-40.
- [8] P. Ionescu, Embedded projective varieties of small invariants, III, *Algebraic Geometry*, L'Aquila, 1988, A. J. Sommese, A. Biancofiore and E. L. Livorni, eds., *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1417, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990, pp. 138-154.
- [9] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano Varieties*, *Algebraic Geometry V*, *Encycl. Math. Sci.*, Vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [10] A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, Projective manifolds of sectional genus three as zero loci of sections of ample vector bundles, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 130 (2008), 109-118.
- [11] H. Maeda, The threefold containing the Bordiga surface of degree ten as a hyperplane section, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 145 (2008), 619-622.
- [12] M. Manaresi, On the jumping conics of a semistable rank two vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , *Manuscripta Math.* 69 (1990), 133-151.