



VARIETY OF PERFECT GROUPS AND ISOLOGIC GROUPS

S. MOSTAFA TAHERI

Faculty of Mathematics

Gorgan University of Agricultural Science and Natural Resources

Gorgan, Iran

e-mail: sm_taheri2000@yahoo.com

Abstract

Let \mathfrak{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V . A group G is called V -perfect if $G = V(G)$, where $V(G)$ is the verbal subgroup of G .

Let G be a finite group and G^* be a V -covering group of G and let H be of order $|G^*|$ such that H is V -isologic to G^* . It is of interest to know whether H is a V -covering group of G . The answer is negative in general. In this paper, we give some conditions in the affirmative case.

1. Notation and Necessary Results

Let F_∞ be a free group freely generated by a countable set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Let \mathfrak{V} be a variety of groups defined by a subset V of F_∞ . It will be assumed that the reader is familiar with the notions of the verbal subgroup, $V(G)$, and the marginal subgroup, $V^*(G)$, associated with the variety of groups and a given group G . See also [7] for more information on the variety of groups.

Throughout the paper we always assume that \mathfrak{V} is the variety of groups defined by the set of laws V .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F14, 20F19, 20E10.

Keywords and phrases: variety of perfect groups, isologism.

Received August 10, 2008

Let G be any group with a normal subgroup N . Then we define $[NV^*G]$ to be the subgroup of G generated by the following set:

$$\{v(g_1, \dots, g_i n, \dots, g_r)(v(g_1, \dots, g_r))^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r; v \in V; g_1, \dots, g_r \in G; n \in N\}.$$

It is easily checked that $[NV^*G]$ is the smallest normal subgroup T of G contained in N , such that $N/T \subseteq V^*(G/T)$.

The following lemma gives basic properties of the verbal and the marginal subgroups of a group G with respect to the variety \mathfrak{g} , which is useful in our investigation, see [2].

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a variety of groups, and N be a normal subgroup of a group G . Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) $V(V^*(G)) = 1$, $V^*(G/V(G)) = G/V(G)$;
- (ii) $V(G) = 1 \Leftrightarrow V^*(G) = G \Leftrightarrow G \in \mathfrak{g}$;
- (iii) $[NV^*G] = 1 \Leftrightarrow N \subseteq V^*(G)$;
- (iv) $V(G/N) = V(G)N/N$, $V^*(G)N/N \subseteq V^*(G/T)$;
- (v) $V(N) \subseteq [NV^*G] \subseteq N \cap V(G)$, $V(G) = [GV^*G]$;
- (vi) *If $N \cap V(G) = 1$, then $N \subseteq V^*(G)$ and $V^*(G/N) = V^*(G)/N$.*

Get \mathfrak{g} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V and let G be a group with a free presentation

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

where F is a free group. Then the Bear-invariant of G with respect to the variety \mathfrak{g} , denoted by $VM(G)$, is defined to be $R \cap V(F)/[RV^*F]$.

We also denote the factor group $V(F)/[RV^*F]$ by $VP(G)$. Of course, if G is in \mathfrak{g} , then $VM(G) = VP(G)$.

It is easily seen that the Bear-invariant of the group G with respect to the variety \mathfrak{g} is always abelian and independent of the choice of the free presentation of G , see [5] or [6].

In particular, if \mathfrak{A} is the variety of abelian group, then the Bear-invariant of the group G with respect to the variety \mathfrak{A} will be $(R \cap F')/[R, F]$, which by Schur [8] is isomorphic to the multiplier of G , and if \mathfrak{A} is the variety of nilpotent groups of class at most c , N_c , say, then the Bear-invariant of G with respect to the variety N_c is (see [6]) $(R \cap F_{c+1})/[R_c, F]$ where F_{c+1} is the $(c + 1)$ -th term of the lower central series of F , and $[R_c, F] = [R, F, \dots, F]$.

Equating $V(G)$ with $V(F)/R \cap V(F)$ gives rise to a natural central extension of G , $1 \rightarrow VM(G) \rightarrow VP(G) \rightarrow V(G) \rightarrow 1$.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathfrak{A} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V and let G and H be two groups. Then (α, β) is said to be a *V-isologism* between G and H , if

$$\alpha : G/V^*(G) \rightarrow H/V^*(H), \quad \beta : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$$

are isomorphisms such that for all $v(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in V$ and all $g_1, \dots, g_r \in G$, we have $\beta(v(g_1, \dots, g_r)) = v(h_1, \dots, h_r)$ whenever $h_i \in \alpha(g_i V^*(G))$; $1 \leq i \leq r$.

In this case, we write $G \sim H$, and say that G is *V-isologic* to H .

In particular, if \mathfrak{A} is the variety of abelian groups we obtain the notion of isoclinism due to Hall [1].

The Frattini subgroup, $\Phi(G)$, of a group G is defined to be the intersection of all the maximal subgroups of G .

The following theorem in [2] gives the connection among the verbal, the marginal and the Frattini subgroups of a group G .

Theorem 1.3 [2]. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a variety of groups. Then for a group G ,*

$$V^*(G) \cap V(G) \subseteq \Phi(G).$$

A group G is said to be *V-perfect* with respect to the variety \mathfrak{A} , if $G = V(G)$. The following result is needed later on.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a variety of groups and G be a finite group. If H is a *V-perfect* subgroup of G such that $H \sim G$, then $G = V(G)V^*(G)$.*

Proof. Since $G/V^*(G)$ is finite and $H \sim G$, by [2, Lemma 4.4], $G = HV^*(G)$ hence $G = V(G)V^*(G)$.

We need the following theorem in [2].

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathfrak{V} be a variety of groups and G_1 and G_2 be two arbitrary groups. Then $G_1 \sim G_2$ if and only if there exists a group G containing normal subgroups N_1 and N_2 , such that $G_1 \cong G/N_1$ and $G_2 \cong G/N_2$ and $G_1 \sim G \sim G_2$.*

Definition 1.6. A V -stem cover of G is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ such that (i) $A \subseteq V^*(G^*) \cap V(G^*)$, (ii) $A \cong VM(G)$. In this case, G^* is said to be a V -covering group of G .

The following lemma can be proved easily.

Lemma 1.7. *Let G be a V -perfect group. Then every V -covering group of G is also V -perfect.*

The following theorem is a vast generalization of [4, Theorem 3.19.2].

Theorem 1.8. *Let G be a V -perfect group. Then $VP(G)$ is a V -covering group of G .*

Proof. Let G be a V -perfect group with a free presentation $F/R \cong G$ which gives rise to the following natural exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$\pi \left(\frac{V(F)}{[RV^*F]} \right) = G.$$

So we may restrict π and obtain the following exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{R \cap V(F)}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow \frac{V(F)}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

Now, to prove that $VP(G)$ is a V -covering group of G , we must show that $VM(G) \subseteq V(VP(G))$. But this is enough to show that $VP(G)$ is V -perfect.

By the assumption $G = V(G)$, which implies that $F = V(F)R$. Now, by [2, Theorem 2.4], we have $V(F) = V(V(F))[RV^*F]$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 1.7 and the above theorem give the following:

Corollary 1.9. *If G be a V -perfect group, then $VP(G)$ is also V -perfect.*

2. V -perfect and V -isologic Groups

Let \mathfrak{V} be a variety of groups defined by the set of laws V . In this section, we deal with the following question:

Are there finite groups G with a V -covering G^* such that all V -isologic groups H , say, to G^* and of the same order are also V -covering of G ? This is false in general, see [3]. However, we give a positive answer when G is a V -perfect group.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{V} be a variety of groups and G be a finite group with a free presentation $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Then every V -covering group of G is a homomorphic image of $F/[RV^*F]$.*

Proof. Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$ be the free presentation of the finite group G , where F is a free group on the set X and $R = \text{Ker}\pi$. Given a covering group G^* of G , choose an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G^* \xrightarrow{\phi} G \rightarrow 1$ with $A \subseteq V(G^*) \cap V^*(G^*)$ and $A \cong VM(G)$. For every $x \in X$ there exists l_x in G^* such that $\phi(l_x) = \pi(x)$. So $G^* = \langle A, l_x; x \in X \rangle$ and hence $G^* = \langle l_x; x \in X \rangle$ by the virtue of Theorem 1.3. Consider the homomorphism $\psi : F \rightarrow G^*$ defined by $\psi(x) = l_x$, $x \in X$. Then ψ is surjective and $\pi = \phi \circ \psi$. Clearly $1 = \pi(R) = \phi(\psi(R))$, and we have $\psi(R) \subseteq A$.

So

$$\psi([RV^*F]) = [\psi(R)V^*\psi(F)] \subseteq [AV^*G^*] \subseteq [V^*(G^*)V^*G^*] = 1.$$

It follows that ψ induces an epimorphism

$$\psi_1 : \frac{F}{[RV^*F]} \rightarrow G^*.$$

Now, the above theorem gives the following important result.

Theorem 2.2. *All the V -covering groups of a finite group G are mutually V -isologic.*

Proof. Let G be a finite group with a free presentation

$$1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

Then $VM(G) = R \cap V(F)/[RV^*F]$ is the Bear-invariant of G , $F_1 = F/[RV^*F]$ and $R_1 = R/[RV^*F]$. Now, if G^* is any V -covering group of G , then by Theorem 2.1, there is an epimorphism $\phi : F_1 \rightarrow G^*$, such that $Ker\phi$ is a complement for $VM(G)$ in R_1 . Hence

$$Ker\phi \cap V(F_1) = Ker\phi \cap V(F_1) \cap R_1 = Ker\phi \cap VM(G) = 1,$$

which implies that $\beta = \phi|_{V(F_1)} : V(F_1) \rightarrow V(G^*)$ and $\alpha : F_1/V^*(F_1) \rightarrow G^*/V^*(G^*)$ are both isomorphisms, and $\alpha(f_1 V^*(F_1)) = \phi(f_1) V^*(G^*)$. Hence $G^* \sim F_1$.

Now, having the above information in hand we shall have the following straightforward result.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite V -perfect group and H be any other finite group V -isologic to G . Then H is isomorphic to the direct product of G and $V^*(H)$ amalgamating $V(H) \cap V^*(H)$ and $V^*(G)$.*

Now, we are ready to give an affirmative answer to the question raised in the beginning of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a finite V -perfect group and G^* be a V -covering group of G . If H is a V -isologic group to G^* and of the same order, then $H \cong G^*$.*

Proof. Since G is V -perfect, it follows that G^* is also V -perfect. By the above lemma, $H \cong \frac{G_1 \times A}{N}$, where $|N| = |V^*(G^*)|$ and $G_1 \cong G^*$.

But $|H| = |G_1|$ implies that $H \cong G_1 \cong G^*$.

References

- [1] P. Hall, The classification of prime-power groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 182 (1940), 130-141.
- [2] N. S. Hekster, Varieties of groups and isologisms, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 46 (1989), 22-60.
- [3] M. R. Jones and J. Wiegold, Isoclinisms and covering groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 11 (1974), 71-76.
- [4] G. Karpilovsky, The Schur multiplier, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, Vol. 2, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987.
- [5] C. R. Leedham-Green and S. McKay, Bear-invariants, isologism, varietal laws and homology, Acta Math. 137 (1976), 99-150.
- [6] M. R. R. Moghaddam, The Bear-invariant of a direct product, Arch. Math. (Basel) 33 (1979/80), 504-511.
- [7] H. Neumann, Varieties of groups, Ergebnisse der Math., Neue Folge 37, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [8] I. Schur, Ueber die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare substitutionen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 127 (1904), 20-50.