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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the following Neumann-Robin boundary value problem $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}=|u(x)|^{p}-\lambda, \quad x \in(0,1) \\ u^{\prime}(0)=0 \\ u^{\prime}(1)+\alpha u(1)=0 \end{array}\right.
$$ where $p>1, \lambda>0$ and $\alpha>0$ are parameters. We study the negative solution of this problem with respect to a parameter $\rho$ (i.e., $u(0)=\rho$ ). By using a quadrature method, the results are obtained


[^0]Keywords and phrases: existence solutions, interior critical points, quadrature method, Neumann-Robin boundary condition, $p$-Laplacian problem.

Received July 19, 2008; Revised September 16, 2008

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear two-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}=|u(x)|^{p}-\lambda, \quad x \in(0,1),  \tag{1}\\
& u^{\prime}(0)=0,  \tag{2}\\
& u^{\prime}(1)+\alpha u(1)=0, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p>1, \lambda>0$ and $\alpha>0$ are parameters, $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$ is the conjugate exponent of $p$ and $\varphi_{p}(s):=|s|^{p-2} s$ for all $s \neq 0$ and $\varphi_{p}(0)=0$. Here $\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ is the one dimensional $p$-Laplacian operator with $p>1$ that was considered in several recent papers. We investigate the existence and nonexistence of negative solution of this problem with respect to a parameter $\rho$ (that is, the value of the solution at zero, i.e., $u(0)=\rho$ ). Our approach is based on the quadrature method. In [3] problem (1) with Dirichlet boundary value conditions has been studied by Ammar-Khodja for the case Laplacian and in [1] the same problem with the same boundary value conditions has been extended by Addou to the general quasilinear case $p$-Laplacian with $p>1$. In [4] Anuradha et al. considered a problem involving the one-dimensional Laplacian with Neumann-Robin boundary conditions by using a quadrature method. In [6] for semipositone problems, existence and multiplicity results have been established for the case $p=2$ with Neumann boundary value conditions. In $[2,5]$ the existence of solutions has been studied with the $p$-Laplacian operator together with Robin condition.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we state a definition and our main result and finally in Section 3, we provide the proof of our main result that relies on quadrature method.

## 2. Notation and Main Result

We first define that $u$ is a solution of problem (1)-(3) if
(i) $\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous,
(ii) $-\left(\varphi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}=|u(x)|^{p}-\lambda$, a.e. on $(0,1)$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=0=u^{\prime}(1)+\alpha u(1)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\rho$, the value of the solution at zero (i.e., $u(0)=\rho$ ). Now, we state the existence and nonexistence of negative solution to the problem (1)-(3) as described below:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha>0, p>1$ and $\rho<0$. Then there exists a real number $\rho^{*}<0$ such that:
(a) If $1<p \leq 2$, then for any $\rho \in\left(-\infty, \rho^{*}\right)$ there exists a real number $\lambda_{\rho} \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ for which the problem (1)-(3) has a negative solution $u$ at $\lambda=\lambda_{\rho}$.
(b) The problem (1)-(3) has no negative solution $u$ with $u(0) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 0\right)$ at any $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$.

## 3. Proof

Let $u$ be a negative solution of problem (1)-(3) at $\lambda$ with $u(0)=\rho$. Now multiplying (1) throughout by $u^{\prime}$ and integrating over $(0, x)$, we obtain $\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}=p^{\prime}\left\{-\frac{u|u|^{p}}{p+1}+\lambda u+C\right\}$, where $C$ is a constant. Applying conditions $u(0)=\rho$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=0$, we have $\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}=p^{\prime}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, u(s))\}$, where $M(p, \rho, \lambda, s):=\frac{\rho|\rho|^{p}}{p+1}-\frac{s|s|^{p}}{p+1}+\lambda(s-\rho)$. Since $u$ has no interior critical point and $u^{\prime}>0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u^{\prime}\right\}^{p}=p^{\prime}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, u)\}, \quad x \in(0,1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by integrating (4) on $(0, x)$, where $x \in[0,1]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\rho}^{u(x)}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} d s=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p} x, \quad x \in[0,1] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. Let $p>1$ and $\rho<0$. Then:
(a) $M(p, \rho, \lambda, \rho)=0$.
(b) If $\lambda>|\rho|^{p}$, then $M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)>0$ for $s \rightarrow \rho^{+}$and if $\lambda<|\rho|^{p}$, then $M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)<0$ for $s \rightarrow \rho^{+}$.

Thus by Remark 3.1, for the existence of a negative solution $u$ to problem (1)-(3) with $u(0)=\rho$ at $\lambda$, we must have $\lambda>|\rho|^{p}$.

Now, we provide a necessary condition for the existence of negative solution to problem (1)-(3) in the following Lemma 3.2:

Lemma 3.2. The necessary condition for the existence of negative solution $u$ to problem (1)-(3) at $\lambda$ with $u(0)=\rho$ is the existence $m \in \Omega=(0,-\alpha \rho)$ such that satisfies the equations of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p} \text { and } H(m)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(m)=\int_{\rho}^{-\frac{m}{\alpha}}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} d s \text { and } H(m)=m\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-1 / p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. By substituting $x=1$ in (4) and (5), we have

$$
u^{\prime}(1)\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, u(1))\}^{-1 / p}=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}=\int_{\rho}^{u(1)}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} d s
$$

By setting $u^{\prime}(1)=m$, where $m>0$, from (3), we have $u(1)=-\frac{m}{\alpha} \in(\rho, 0)$. Then

$$
m\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-1 / p}=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}=\int_{\rho}^{-\frac{m}{\alpha}}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} d s
$$

Thus for such a solution to exist, there must exist an $m$ such that $G(m)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}=H(m)$.

Now, we investigate whether such an $m$ exists or not. For this mean, we first study the variations of functions $G(m)$ and $H(m)$.

Claim 3.3. The function $G(m)$ in (7) on $\Omega=(0,-\alpha \rho)$ is decreasing and $G(-\alpha \rho)=0$ and $G(0)<\infty$ if and only if $p>1$. Also there exists a real number $\rho^{*} \in(-\infty, 0)$ such that $G(m)<\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$ on $\Omega$ for any $\rho \in\left(\rho^{*}, 0\right)$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$.

Proof of Claim 3.3. It is clear that $G^{\prime}(m)=-\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-1 / p}$ $<0$ on $m \in(0,-\alpha \rho)$ and $G(-\alpha \rho)=0$. For showing, $G(0)<\infty$ if and only if $p>1$, since $\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} \approx\left\{\lambda-|\rho|^{p}\right\}^{-1 / p}(s-\rho)^{-1 / p}$ near $\rho^{+}$and $\int_{\rho}^{0}(s-\rho)^{-1 / p} d s<\infty$ if and only if $p>1$. Thus one can conclude that $G(0)<\infty$ if and only if $p>1$. Easy computations show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} \leq\{f(s)\}^{-1 / p}, \text { on }(\rho, 0), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(s)=\left(\lambda-|\rho|^{p}\right)(s-\rho)$. Now, by integrating (8) on ( $\left.\rho, 0\right)$, one can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
0<G(0) & =\int_{\rho}^{0}\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{-1 / p} d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left\{\lambda-|\rho|^{p}\right\}^{1 / p}} \int_{\rho}^{0}(s-\rho)^{-1 / p} d s \\
& =\frac{p^{\prime}|\rho|^{1 / p^{\prime}}}{\left\{\lambda-|\rho|^{p}\right\}^{1 / p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \rho \rightarrow 0^{-} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for any fixed $p>1$, there exists a real number $\rho^{*} \in(-\infty, 0)$ such that $G(m)<G(0) \leq\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$ on $\Omega$ for any $\rho \in\left(\rho^{*}, 0\right)$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$. Hence the proof of Claim 3.3 is complete.

Now, we investigate the variations of $H(m)$.
Claim 3.4 $H$ is strictly increasing on $(0,-\alpha \rho)$ and $H(0)=0$ and $H(-\alpha \rho)=\infty$.

Proof of Claim 3.4. Compute $H^{\prime}(m)=h(m)\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}$, where $h(m):=\frac{\rho|\rho|^{p}}{p+1}+\frac{1}{p(p+1)}\left(-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\left|-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right|^{p}-\frac{\lambda m}{\alpha p^{\prime}}-\lambda \rho, \quad$ on $\quad(0,-\alpha \rho)$. Also, $h^{\prime}(m)=-\frac{1}{\alpha p}\left(\left|-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right|^{p}+\lambda(p-1)\right)<0$ on $(0,-\alpha \rho)$. Then $\min h(m)$ $=h(-\alpha \rho)=\frac{\rho}{p}\left(|\rho|^{p}-\lambda\right)>0$, and then $H^{\prime}(m)>0$ on $(0,-\alpha \rho)$.

By Claims 3.3 and 3.4, it follows that there exists a unique $m^{*}=m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda) \in(0,-\alpha \rho)$ such that satisfies $H\left(m^{*}\right)=G\left(m^{*}\right)$. Now, it remains to find the values $\rho<0$ and the values of $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ such that one can obtain an $m^{*}=m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)$ that satisfies $H\left(m^{*}\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$ $=G\left(m^{*}\right)$. At first we consider the equation $H\left(m^{*}\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$ in the following Lemma 3.5:

Lemma 3.5. Consider the equation in $m \in \Omega=(0,-\alpha \rho)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(m)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>0, \rho<0, p>1$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ are real parameters. Then:
(a) For any fixed $\alpha>0, \rho<0, p>1$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$, the equation (10) admits a unique positive zero $m^{*}=m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)$.
(b) The function $\lambda \mapsto m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)$ is $C^{1}$ on $\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ and

$$
\frac{\partial m^{*}}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{-m^{*}\left\{\frac{m^{*}}{\alpha}+\rho\right\}}{p\{h(m)\}}>0
$$

for all $\alpha>0, \rho<0, p>1$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$.
Proof of Lemma 3.5. (a) By similar argument in [1, Lemma 6], for any fixed $\alpha>0, \rho<0, p>1$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$, consider the function

$$
m \mapsto F(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda, m):=H(m)-\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

defined in $\Omega$. By Claims 3.3 and 3.4 and the intermediate value theorem, one can conclude that the function (10) admits a unique positive zero $m^{*}=m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda) \in \Omega$.
(b) For any $\alpha>0, p>1$ and $\rho<0$ given, consider the real-valued function

$$
(\lambda, m) \mapsto F_{+}(\lambda, m):=H(m)-\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

defined on $\Omega_{+}=\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right) \times \Omega$. It is clear that $F_{+} \in C^{1}\left(\Omega_{+}\right)$and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial F_{+}}{\partial m}=h(m)\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}, \text { on } \Omega_{+}, \\
\frac{\partial F_{+}}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{m}{p}\left(\rho+\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}>0, \text { on } \Omega_{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence by Claim 3.4, $\frac{\partial F_{+}}{\partial m}(\lambda, m)>0$ on $\Omega_{+}$, also $m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda) \in \Omega$ satisfies from its definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}\left(\lambda, m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, one can make use of the implicit function theorem to show that the function $\lambda \mapsto m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)$ is $C^{1}\left(\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right), \mathbf{R}\right)$ and to obtain the expression for $\frac{\partial m^{*}}{\partial \lambda}=-\left(\frac{\partial F_{+}}{\partial \lambda}\right) /\left(\frac{\partial F_{+}}{\partial m^{*}}\right)$ given by (b).

Now, for finding a solution to system (6), we solve the equation $G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$ in $\rho \in(-\infty, 0)$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ in the following Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.6. Consider the equation $G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$, where $\rho \in(-\infty, 0)$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$, then there exists a real number $\rho^{*}<0$ such that:
(a) For any $\rho \in\left(\rho^{*}, 0\right)$ there exists no real number $\lambda_{\rho} \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ for which $G\left(m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$.
(b) If $1<p \leq 2$, then there exists a unique real number $\lambda_{\rho} \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ for which for any $\rho \in\left(-\infty, \rho^{*}\right)$ there exists a unique real number $\lambda_{\rho} \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ for which $G\left(m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. (a) It is clearly follows from Claim 3.3.
(b) We first prove the following Claim 3.7:

Claim 3.7. 1. $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=0$.
2. $\frac{\partial G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)}{\partial \lambda}<0$.
3. $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow\left(|\rho|^{p}\right)^{+}} G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=\infty$ if $1<p \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 3.7. By (9), it is clear that $G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Also easy computations show that for any $\rho<0$ and $\lambda \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G\left(m^{*}\right)}{\partial \lambda}=\int_{\rho}^{-\frac{m^{*}}{\alpha}} \frac{(\rho-s) d s}{p\{M(p, \rho, \lambda, s)\}^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}-\frac{\frac{\partial m^{*}}{\partial \lambda}}{\alpha\left\{M\left(p, \rho, \lambda,-\frac{m^{*}}{\alpha}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.5, one can conclude that $\frac{\partial G\left(m^{*}\right)}{\partial \lambda}<0$. By the monotone convergence theorem and the definition of $G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)$ on $\Omega=(0,-\alpha \rho)$, one can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow\left(|\rho|^{p}\right)^{+}} G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=\int_{\rho}^{-\frac{m^{*}}{\alpha}}\left\{M\left(p, \rho,|\rho|^{p}, s\right)\right\}^{-1 / p} d s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left\{M\left(p, \rho,|\rho|^{p}, s\right)\right\}^{-1 / p} \approx\left\{\frac{2}{p|\rho|^{p-1}}\right\}^{1 / p}(s-\rho)^{-2 / p} \quad$ near $\quad \rho^{+} \quad$ and $\int_{\rho}^{0}(s-\rho)^{-2 / p} d s=\infty$ if $1<p \leq 2$. Thus $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow\left(|\rho|^{p}\right)^{+}} G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)=\infty$ if $1<p \leq 2$.

Now from the Claim 3.7 and the continuity of $G\left(m^{*}(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda)\right)$, one can conclude that for the case $1<p \leq 2$, there exists a unique real number $\lambda_{\rho} \in\left(|\rho|^{p}, \infty\right)$ for which $G\left(m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$. Here the proof of Lemma 3.6 is complete.

On the other hand by Lemma 3.5, $H\left(m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)\right)=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$, therefore in the case $1<p \leq 2$ and $\rho<\rho^{*}$, by Lemma 3.6(b), we can conclude that the equations of the system (6) are simultaneously solvable in $m^{*}=m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)$. Also by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6(a), one can conclude that for any $\rho^{*} \in\left(\rho^{*}, 0\right)$ the equations of the system (6) are not simultaneously solvable in $m^{*}=m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho, \lambda_{\rho}\right)$.

Hence from Lemma 3.2, one can prove Theorem 2.1.
Open problem. The study of the existence of negative solution to the problem (1)-(3) in the case $p>2$ and $\rho<\rho^{*}$, is an open problem. Because one must compare the value of $G\left(m^{*}\left(p, \alpha, \rho,|\rho|^{p}\right)\right)$ to the value of $\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}^{1 / p}$.
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