

## ANOTHER DECOMPOSITION OF IRRESOLUTENESS

**MAN KI KANG**

*Department of Computer Science and Statistics, Dongeui University,  
Pusan 611-714, Korea*

e-mail: mkkang@hyomin.dongueui.ac.kr

**JIN HAN PARK**

*Division of Mathematical Sciences, Pukyong National University,  
Pusan 608-737, Korea*

e-mail: jihpark@pknu.ac.kr

**MI JUNG SON**

*Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University,  
Pusan 604-714, Korea*

e-mail: deltasemi@hanmail.net

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study properties of  $\lambda$ -semi-closed sets and to provide other decompositions of semi-continuity and irresoluteness. We prove that a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is semi-continuous (resp. irresolute) if and only if  $f$  is  $gs$ -continuous and  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. pre  $gs$ -continuous and strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous).

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

As the decomposition of continuity is one of the many problems in general topology, many authors [6, 13-16, 32, 33] used generalized

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54C10, 54C08; Secondary 54A05, 54C05.

Key words and phrases:  $gs$ -closed,  $\lambda$ -semi-closed, locally semi-closed set,  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous function.

Received May 12, 2001

© 2001 Pushpa Publishing House

concepts of closed (or, open) set to solve the problem. Recently, Balachandran et al. [3] used ‘generalized’ closed (for example,  $g$ -closed,  $sg$ -closed, semi-closed) sets to get generalized concepts of locally closed set due to Bourbaki [7] and studied the relationship among those classes and some of their topological properties. Dontchev and Ganster [12] showed that the concept of semi-locally closed sets coincides with that of simply-open sets and gave a decomposition of irresoluteness by the help of pre  $sg$ -continuity. Dontchev and Maki [11] also solved Bhattacharyya and Lahiri’s open problem (i.e., whether the intersection of  $sg$ -closed sets is  $sg$ -closed) and introduced the concept of semi- $\lambda$ -closed sets, which contains the concept of semi-locally closed sets and define semi- $\lambda$ -continuous function to give a decomposition of semi-continuity.

In this paper, we first introduce the concept of a  $\lambda$ -semi-closed set which is strictly placed between the notions of  $\lambda$ -closed and semi- $\lambda$ -closed sets, and study its properties related to those of locally semi-closed sets. Finally, using these concepts, we define  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous and semi- $\lambda$ -continuous functions and provide other decompositions of semi-continuity and irresoluteness.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subset X$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau$  are denoted by  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$ , respectively. The kernel [22] of  $A$  is the intersection of all open supersets of  $A$  and is denoted by  $ker(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be *semi-open* (resp. *semi-closed*) [21] if  $A \subset cl(int(A))$  (resp.  $int(cl(A)) \subset A$ ). The intersection of all semi-closed sets containing  $A$  is called the *semi-closure* [8] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $scl(A)$ . Dually, the semi-interior of  $A$ , denoted by  $sint(A)$ , is the union of all semi-open sets contained by  $A$ .

## 2. $gs$ -closed Sets and $\lambda$ -semi-closed Sets

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called

- (a) *sg-closed* [4] if  $scl(A) \subset G$  whenever  $A \subset G$  and  $G$  is semi-open,
- (b) *gs-closed* [2] if  $scl(A) \subset G$  whenever  $A \subset G$  and  $G$  is open,

- (c) *gs-open* [2] if  $F \subset \text{sint}(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$  and  $F$  is closed,
- (d) *locally semi-closed* [30] if  $A = G \cap F$  where  $G$  is open and  $F$  is semi-closed,
- (e) *semi-locally closed* [30] if  $A = G \cap F$  where  $G$  is semi-open and  $F$  is semi-closed,
- (f) *simply-open* [27] if  $A = U \cup N$  where  $U$  is open and  $N$  is nowhere dense.

In [18], Ganster et al. showed that the notions of semi-locally closed and simply-open sets are same. Arya and Nour [2] pointed out that the union (resp. intersection) of *gs-open* (resp. *gs-closed*) sets is not, in general, *gs-open* (resp. *gs-closed*). But we have

**Theorem 2.2.** (a) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are separated (i.e.,  $A \cap \text{cl}(B) = \text{cl}(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ )  $gs$ -open sets, then  $A \cup B$  is  $gs$ -open.*

(b) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $gs$ -closed sets such that their complements are separated, then  $A \cap B$  is  $gs$ -closed.*

**Proof.** (a) Let  $F$  be closed and  $F \subset A \cup B$ . Then  $F \cap \text{cl}(A) \subset A$  and hence  $F \cap \text{cl}(A) \subset \text{sint}(A)$ . Similarly,  $F \cap \text{cl}(B) \subset \text{sint}(B)$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= F \cap (A \cup B) \subset (F \cap \text{cl}(A)) \cup (F \cap \text{cl}(B)) \\ &\subset \text{sint}(A) \cup \text{sint}(B) \\ &\subset \text{sint}(A \cup B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A \cup B$  is *gs-open*.

(b) It follows from (a) by taking complements.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $gs$ -closed if and only if  $\text{scl}(A) \subset \ker(A)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be any open set with  $A \subset G$ . Since  $A$  is *gs-closed*,  $\text{scl}(A) \subset G$  and hence  $\text{scl}(A) \subset \ker(A)$ . Conversely, let  $G$  be any open

set such that  $A \subset G$ . By hypothesis,  $scl(A) \subset \ker(A) \subset G$  and hence  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed.

**Definition 2.4.** A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be

- (a)  $\Lambda$ -set [22] if  $A$  is intersection of open sets,
- (b) *semi- $\Lambda$ -set* [11] if  $A$  is intersection of semi-open sets,
- (c)  $\lambda$ -closed [1] if  $A = G \cap F$  where  $G$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set and  $F$  is closed,
- (d) *semi- $\lambda$ -closed* [11] if  $A = G \cap F$  where  $G$  is a semi- $\Lambda$ -set and  $F$  is semi-closed,
- (e)  $\lambda$ -semi-closed if  $A = G \cap F$  where  $G$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set and  $F$  is semi-closed.

**Remark 2.5.** (a) Every locally semi-closed set is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed (see Example 2.6 (a)). Every  $\lambda$ -closed set is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed and every  $\lambda$ -semi-closed set is semi- $\lambda$ -closed (see Example 2.6(b)).

(b) In [11], Dontchev and Maki pointed out that the set  $SLC(X)$  of all semi-locally closed sets of space  $(X, \tau)$  is always a topology on  $X$ . However, the set  $LSC(X)$  of all locally semi-closed sets is not, in general, a topology (see Example 2.6). If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$  space, then the set  $LSC(X)$  is the discrete topology on  $X$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is finite, then  $LSC(X)$  is a base for a partition topology (i.e., open sets are closed) on  $X$ .

**Example 2.6.** (a) Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers with the cofinite topology. Then the set of all even integers is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed but not locally semi-closed.

(b) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Then  $\{c\}$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed but not  $\lambda$ -closed. Also,  $\{a, c\}$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed but not  $\lambda$ -semi-closed.

**Theorem 2.7.** For a subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed.

- (b)  $A = L \cap scl(A)$ , where  $L$  is a  $\Lambda$ -set.
- (c)  $A = \ker(A) \cap scl(A)$ .
- (d)  $A$  is intersection of locally semi-closed sets.

**Proof.** The proofs are easy and hence omitted.

**Theorem 2.8.** For a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is semi-closed.
- (b)  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed and locally semi-closed.
- (c)  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed and  $\lambda$ -semi-closed.

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are clear from the facts that every semi-closed set is both  $gs$ -closed and locally semi-closed, and every locally semi-closed set is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Since  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed,  $scl(A) \subset \ker(A)$ . On the other hand, since  $A$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed, by Theorem 2.7,  $A = \ker(A) \cap scl(A)$ . Thus, we have  $scl(A) \subset \ker(A) \cap scl(A) = A$ . This shows that  $A$  coincides with its semi-closure, i.e.,  $A$  is semi-closed.

**Definition 2.9.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is *SG-space* [3] (resp. *SC-space*) if the intersection of a semi-closed set with a  $g$ -closed (resp. closed) set is  $g$ -closed (resp. closed).

Every SC-space is an SG-space but the converse is not true.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Since  $\{b, c\}$  is semi-closed but not  $g$ -closed,  $(X, \tau)$  is an SC-space which is not an SG-space.

**Theorem 2.11.** For a subset  $A$  of an SC-space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed,
- (b)  $cl\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in scl(A)$ ,
- (c)  $scl(A) \setminus A$  contains no nonempty closed set.

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $x \in scl(A)$ . If  $cl\{x\} \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $A \subset (X \setminus cl\{x\})$  and so  $scl(A) \subset (X \setminus cl\{x\})$ , contradicting  $x \in scl(A)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Let  $F$  be a closed set such that  $F \subset scl(A) \setminus A$ . If there exists an  $x \in F$ , then by (b),  $\emptyset \neq cl\{x\} \cap A \subset F \cap A \subset (scl(A) \setminus A) \cap A$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $F = \emptyset$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Let  $A \subset G$  and  $G$  be open in  $X$ . If  $scl(A) \not\subset G$ , then  $scl(A) \cap (X \setminus G)$  is nonempty semi-closed. Since the space is an SC-space,  $scl(A) \cap (X \setminus G)$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $scl(A) \setminus A$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $scl(A) \subset G$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed.

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $A$  be a  $gs$ -closed set of an SC-space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A$  is semi-closed if and only if  $scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed.*

**Proof.** Since  $A$  is semi-closed,  $scl(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$  is closed. Conversely, suppose  $scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed. Since  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed and  $scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed subset of itself, by Theorem 2.11,  $scl(A) \setminus A = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $scl(A) = A$ .

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an SC-space.*

(a) *If  $A \subset B \subset scl(A)$  and  $A$  is  $gs$ -closed, then  $B$  is  $gs$ -closed.*

(b) *If  $sint(A) \subset B \subset A$  and  $A$  is  $gs$ -open, then  $B$  is  $gs$ -open.*

**Proof.** (a) Since  $scl(B) \setminus B \subset scl(A) \setminus A$  and  $scl(A) \setminus A$  has no nonempty closed subsets, neither does  $scl(B) \setminus B$ . Hence,  $B$  is  $gs$ -closed.

(b) It follows from (a) by taking complements.

**Theorem 2.14.** *For a subset  $A$  of an SC-space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:*

(a)  *$A$  is locally semi-closed.*

(b)  *$scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed.*

(c)  *$A \cup (X \setminus scl(A))$  is open.*

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Since  $A$  is locally semi-closed, using Proposition 4.11 in [3],  $A = G \cap scl(A)$  where  $G$  is open. Now  $scl(A) \setminus A = scl(A) \setminus G = scl(A) \cap (X \setminus G)$  where  $scl(A)$  is semi-closed and  $X \setminus G$  is closed. Since  $X$  is an  $SC$ -space,  $scl(A) \cap (X \setminus G)$  is closed, i.e.,  $scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Since  $scl(A) \setminus A$  is closed,  $A \cup (X \setminus scl(A)) = X \setminus (scl(A) \setminus A)$  is open.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Since  $A = (X \setminus (scl(A) \setminus A)) \cap scl(A)$ , by (c)  $X \setminus (scl(A) \setminus A)$  is open. Hence  $A$  is locally semi-closed.

**Definition 2.15.** A subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is called *semi-dense* [3] if  $scl(A) = X$ .

**Definition 2.16.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is *sg-submaximal* [3] (resp. *submaximal* [7]) if every semi-dense (resp. dense) subset is  $g$ -open (resp. open) in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Every submaximal space is  $sg$ -submaximal but the converse is not true [3].

**Theorem 2.17.** An  $SC$ -space  $(X, \tau)$  is submaximal if and only if every subset of  $X$  is locally semi-closed.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be submaximal and  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . Put  $U = A \cup (X \setminus scl(A))$ . Then  $scl(U) = X$ , i.e.,  $U$  is semi-dense in  $(X, \tau)$ . By hypothesis,  $U$  is open and hence, by Theorem 2.14,  $A$  is locally semi-closed.

Conversely, let  $A$  be dense in  $(X, \tau)$  and suppose that every subset is locally semi-closed. Since  $A$  is locally semi-closed and  $A = A \cup (X \setminus scl(A))$ , by Theorem 2.14,  $A$  is open and hence  $X$  is submaximal.

### 3. Decompositions of Semi-continuity and Irresoluteness

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called

(a) *semi-continuous* [21] (resp. *irresolute* [9]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi-open in  $X$  for each open (resp. semi-open) set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

(b) *sg-continuous* [31] (resp. *pre-sg-irresolute* [24]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is *sg-closed* in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

(c) *gs-continuous* [10] (resp. *pre-gs-continuous* [28]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is *gs-closed* in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping is called

(a) *simply-continuous* [27], or *SLC-continuous* [3] (resp. *strongly simply-continuous* [12]) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is simply-open in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

(b) *LSC-continuous* [3] (resp. *strongly LSC-continuous*) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is locally semi-closed in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

(c) *semi- $\lambda$ -continuous* [11] (resp. *strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous*) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ ,

(d)  *$\lambda$ -semi-continuous* (resp. *strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous*) if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed in  $X$  for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** (a) If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous) and  $A$  is preopen in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $f|_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $A$ , is also semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous).

(b) If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous) and  $A$  is preopen in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $f|_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $A$ , is also  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous).

**Proof.** (a) We prove only in case  $f$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous. Let  $V$  be open in  $Y$ . Since  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed, there exist a semi- $\Lambda$ -set  $G$  and a semi-closed set  $F$  such that  $(f|_A)^{-1}(V) = (G \cap A) \cup (F \cap A)$ . By Lemma

2.2 in [26],  $G \cap A$  is semi- $\Lambda$ -set in  $(A, \tau_A)$  and  $F \cap A$  is semi-closed in  $(A, \tau_A)$  since  $A$  is preopen. Hence,  $(f|_A)^{-1}(V)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed in  $(A, \tau_A)$ . This implies that  $f|_A$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous.

(b) The proof is similar to (a) using Lemma 2.3 in [25].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that a family of all semi- $\lambda$ -closed (resp.  $\lambda$ -semi-closed) sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is closed under finite union. Let  $\{G_i \mid G_i \text{ is semi-}\lambda\text{-closed (resp. } \lambda\text{-semi-closed), } i \in \Gamma\}$  be a cover of  $X$ , where  $\Gamma$  is finite. If  $A \cap G_i$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed (resp.  $\lambda$ -semi-closed) in  $(A, \tau_A)$  for each  $i \in \Gamma$ , then  $A$  is semi- $\lambda$ -closed (resp.  $\lambda$ -semi-closed).*

**Proof.** We prove in case of  $\lambda$ -semi-closed sets. Let  $i \in \Gamma$ . Since  $A \cap G_i$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed in  $(A, \tau_A)$ ,  $A \cap G_i = H_i \cap K_i$  for some  $\Lambda$ -set  $H_i$  and semi-closed set  $K_i$  in  $(A, \tau_A)$ . Then there exist a  $\Lambda$ -set  $U_i$  and a semi-closed set  $V_i$  [25, Lemma 2.1] in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \cap G_i = (U_i \cap G_i) \cap (V_i \cap G_i)$ . Since  $G_i$  is semi-closed in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $A \cap G_i = U_i \cap (G_i \cap V_i)$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-closed. Using assumption we have  $A = \bigcup \{A \cap G_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  to be  $\lambda$ -semi-closed.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that a family of all semi- $\lambda$ -closed (resp.  $\lambda$ -semi-closed) sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is closed under finite unions. Let  $X = G_1 \cup G_2$  where  $G_1, G_2$  are semi-closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $f : (G_1, \tau_{G_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g : (G_2, \tau_{G_2}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be compatible functions.*

(a) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous), then  $f \nabla g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is also semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous).*

(b) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous), then  $f \nabla g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is also  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous).*

**Proof.** (a) We prove only the case of semi- $\lambda$ -continuous. Let  $V$  be open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $(f \nabla g)^{-1}(V) \cap G_i = f^{-1}(V)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -

closed in  $(G_i, \tau_{G_i})$ . Using Lemma 3.4, we have  $(f\nabla g)^{-1}(V)$  to be a semi- $\lambda$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence,  $f\nabla g$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous.

(b) The proof is similar to (a) using Lemma 2.3 in [25].

The proofs of the following results are immediate.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \omega)$  be two functions.*

(a) *If  $f$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous) and  $g$  is continuous (resp. semi-continuous), then  $g \circ f$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous).*

(b) *If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous) and  $g$  is continuous (resp. semi-continuous), then  $g \circ f$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous).*

**Remark 3.7.** (a) Every  $LSC$ -continuous (resp. strongly  $LSC$ -continuous) function is simply-continuous (resp. strongly simply-continuous) and every  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous) function is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous) but the converses are not true.

(b) Every  $LSC$ -continuous (resp. strongly  $LSC$ -continuous, simply-continuous, strongly simply-continuous) function is  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous, semi- $\lambda$ -continuous, strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous) but the converses are not true.

(c) Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is globally disconnected [14] (i.e., every set which can be placed between an open set and its closure is open). Then  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is semi- $\lambda$ -continuous (resp. strongly semi- $\lambda$ -continuous,  $sg$ -continuous, pre- $sg$ -continuous) if and only if  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous (resp. strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous,  $gs$ -continuous, pre- $gs$ -continuous).

**Example 3.8.** (a) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then  $f$

is *LSC*-continuous but neither strongly *LSC*-continuous nor strongly simply-continuous.

(b) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function defined by  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = a$ ,  $f(c) = c$ . Then  $f$  is strongly simply-continuous but neither *LSC*-continuous nor strongly *LSC*-continuous.

(c) Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then  $f$  is simply-continuous (and hence semi- $\lambda$ -continuous) but neither  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous nor *LSC*-continuous.

(d) Let  $N$  be the set of all positive integers with the cofinite topology  $\tau_f$  and  $X = \{a, b\}$  with topology  $\{X, \emptyset, \{a\}\}$ . Let  $(N, \tau_f) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  be a function defined by  $f(n) = a$  if  $n$  is odd,  $f(n) = b$  if  $n$  is even. Then  $f$  is strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous but neither simply-continuous nor *LSC*-continuous.

Borsik and Dobos [6] gave decomposition of quasi-continuity: A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is quasi-continuous if and only if  $f$  is almost quasi-continuous and simply-continuous. Recently, Dontchev and Maki [11] and Dontchev and Ganster [12] gave decompositions of quasi-continuity and irresoluteness as follows:

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a function. Then*

(a)  *$f$  is quasi-continuous if and only if  $f$  is sg-continuous and semi- $\lambda$ -continuous.*

(b)  *$f$  is irresolute if and only if  $f$  is strongly simply-continuous and pre-sg-continuous.*

Note that quasi-continuous functions are usually called *semi-continuous*. From Theorem 2.8, we have other decompositions of semi-continuity and irresoluteness.

**Theorem 3.10.** *For a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is semi-continuous.
- (b)  $f$  is  $gs$ -continuous and  $LSC$ -continuous.
- (c)  $f$  is  $gs$ -continuous and  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous.

**Theorem 3.11.** *For a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $f$  is irresolute.
- (b)  $f$  is pre- $gs$ -continuous and strongly  $LSC$ -continuous.
- (c)  $f$  is pre- $gs$ -continuous and strongly  $\lambda$ -semi-continuous.

### References

- [1] F. Arenas, J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On  $\lambda$ -sets and the dual of generalized continuity, *Questions Answers Gen. Topology* 15(1) (1997), 3-13.
- [2] S. P. Arya and T. M. Nour, Characterizations of  $s$ -normal spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 21(8) (1990), 717-719.
- [3] K. Balachandran and Y. Gnanambal, On generalized locally semi-closed sets and  $GLSC$ -continuous functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci. Special Volume* (1997), Part II, 189-200.
- [4] K. Balachandran, P. Sundram and H. Maki, Generalized locally closed sets and  $GLC$ -continuous functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 27 (1996), 235-244.
- [5] P. Bhattacharyya and B. K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed set in topology, *Indian J. Math.* 29(3) (1987), 375-382.
- [6] J. Borsik and J. Dobos, On decompositions of quasi continuity, *Real Anal. Exchange* 16 (1990-91), 292-305.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1966.
- [8] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, Semi-closure, *Texas J. Sci.* 22 (1971), 99-112.
- [9] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, Semitopological properties, *Fund. Math.* 74 (1974), 233-254.
- [10] R. Devi, K. Balachandran and H. Maki, Semi-generalized homeomorphisms and generalized semi-homeomorphisms in topological spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 26 (1995), 271-284.
- [11] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, On  $sg$ -closed sets and semi- $\lambda$ -closed sets, *Q & A in General Topology* 15 (1997), 259-266.
- [12] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, A decomposition of irresoluteness, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 77(1-2) (1997), 41-46.

- [13] J. Dontchev and M. Przemski, On the various decompositions of continuous and some weakly continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 71(1-2) (1996), 81-92.
- [14] A. E. El'kin, Decomposition of spaces, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 10 (1969), 521-525.
- [15] M. Ganster and I. Reilly, A decomposition of continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 56 (1990), 299-301.
- [16] M. Ganster and I. Reilly, Another decomposition of continuity, *Annals of New York Academy of Sciences* 704 (1993), 135-141.
- [17] M. Ganster and I. Reilly, Locally closed sets and LC-continuous functions, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 12 (1989), 417-424.
- [18] M. Ganster, I. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, Remarks on locally closed sets, *Math. Pannonica* 3(2) (1992), 107-113.
- [19] M. Ganster, F. Gressl and I. Reilly, On a decomposition of continuity, *Collection: General topology and applications* (Staten Island, NY, 1989), 67-72, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* 134, Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [20] D. Jankovic and I. Reilly, On semiseparation properties, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 16(9) (1985), 957-964.
- [21] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [22] H. Maki, Generalized  $\lambda$ -sets and the associated closure operator, *The Special Issue of Commemoration of Prof. Kazusada IKEDA's Retirement* 1 (1986), 139-146.
- [23] H. Maki, K. Balachandran and R. Devi, Remarks on semi-generalized closed sets and generalized semi-closed sets, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 36(1) (1996), 155-163.
- [24] T. Noiri, Semi-normal spaces and some functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 65(3) (1994), 305-311.
- [25] T. Noiri and B. Ahmad, A note on semi-open functions, *Math. Sem. Notes* 10 (1982), 437-441.
- [26] T. Noiri and A. S. Mashhour, A note on  $S$ -closed subspaces, *Math. Sem. Notes* 10 (1982), 431-435.
- [27] A. Neubrannova, On transfinite sequences of certain types of functions, *Acta Fac. Rer. Natur Univ. Com. Math.* 30 (1975), 121-126.
- [28] J. H. Park, On  $s$ -normal spaces and some functions, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 30 (1999), 575-580.
- [29] M. Przemski, A decomposition of continuity and  $\alpha$ -continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 61 (1-2) (1993), 93-98.
- [30] P. Sundram and K. Balachandran, Semi generalized locally closed sets in topological spaces, preprint.
- [31] P. Sundram, H. Maki and K. Balachandran, Semi-generalized continuous maps and semi- $T_{1/2}$ -spaces, *Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III* 40 (1991), 33-40.

- [32] J. Tong, A decomposition of continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 48 (1986), 11-15.
- [33] J. Tong, On decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 54 (1989), 51-55.

