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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson to prove the existence of the triple positive pseudo-symmetric solutions to a three-point second-order $p$-Laplacian integrodifferential boundary value problem.


## 1. Introduction

The existence of solutions of second order multi-point boundary value problems with $p$-Laplacian has been studied by many authors using the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder, coincidence degree theory, the upper and lower solution method and fixed point theorem in cones 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B18.
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(see [1-2, 4-7] and references therein). Very recently, by the monotone iterative technique, Ahmad and Nieto [1] studied the existence of triple positive pseudo-symmetric solutions for the following three-point boundary value problem with $p$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\psi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+a(t)\left\{f(t, x(t))+\int_{t}^{\frac{1+\eta}{2}} K(t, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d \zeta\right\}=0, \quad t \in(0,1) \\
x(0)=0, x(\eta)=x(1), 0<\eta<1
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p>1, \psi_{p}(s)=s|s|^{p-2}$.
In this paper, we consider the following three-point boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\alpha(t)\left\{f(t, u(t))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{t} H(t, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\}=0, \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(\eta), u(1)=\beta u^{\prime}(\eta), \quad 0<\eta<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta<0, p>1, \phi_{p}(s)=s|s|^{p-2}$, let $\phi_{q}$ be the inverse of $\phi_{p}$. Here, we study the second-order three-point boundary value problems with $p$-Laplacian under the conditions of that $f, a, u$ and $H$ are pseudosymmetric in $t$ about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $(0,1)$. To the best of our knowledge, this problem has not been studied before. Our main tool is the fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson.

## 2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

Definition 2.1. A functional $x \in E$ is said to be pseudo-symmetric about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $[0,1]$, if $x$ is symmetric over the interval $[0, \eta]$, that is, $x(t)=x(\eta-t)$ for $t \in[0, \eta]$.

Let $\gamma$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $K$, $\alpha$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$, and $\psi$ be a nonnegative continuous functional on $K$. Then for positive real numbers $a, b, c$ and $d$,
we define the following convex sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(\gamma, d)=\{x \in K \mid \gamma(x)<d\}, \\
& K(\gamma, a, b, d)=\{x \in K \mid b \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \\
& K(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d)=\{x \in K \mid b \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq c, \gamma(x) \leq d\}, \\
& R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)=\{x \in K \mid a \leq \psi(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1 [3]. Let $K$ be a cone in a Banach space E. Let $\gamma$ and $\theta$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $K$, a be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$, and $\psi$ be a nonnegative continuous functional on $K$ satisfying $\psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \psi(x)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, such that for some positive numbers $M$ and $d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x) \leq \psi(x) \quad \text { and } \quad\|x\| \leq M \gamma(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$. Suppose $T: \overline{K(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers $a, b$ and $c$ with $a<b$ such that
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)\{x \in K(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) \mid \alpha(x)>b\} \neq \varnothing$ and $\alpha(T x)>b$ for $x \in K(\gamma, \theta$, $\alpha, b, c, d)$,
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \alpha(T x)>b$ for $x \in K(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(T x)>c$,
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \quad 0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ and $\psi(T x)<a$ for $x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$, with $\psi(x)=a$.

Then $T$ has at least three fixed points $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3} \in \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(x_{i}\right) \leq d \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3 \\
& b<\alpha\left(x_{1}\right), \\
& a<\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \text { with } \alpha\left(x_{2}\right)<b \text { and } \psi\left(x_{3}\right)<a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f:[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous nondecreasing in $u$, and for any fixed $u \in[0, \infty), f(t, u)$ is pseudo-symmetric in $t$ about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $(0,1)$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad H:[0,1] \times[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous nondecreasing in $u$, and for any fixed $(\xi, u) \in[0,1] \times[0, \infty), H(t, \xi, u)$ is pseudo-symmetric in $t$ about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $(0,1)$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) a(t) \in L(0,1)$ is nonnegative on $(0,1)$ and pseudo-symmetric in $t$ about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $(0,1)$. Further, $a(t) \not \equiv 0$ on any nontrivial compact subinterval of $(0,1)$.

Lemma 2.2. For any $u \in K$, we have $u(t) \geq \sigma\|u\|, t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, \eta\right]$, where $\sigma=(1-\eta)\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. For any $u \in K$, we define

$$
u_{\eta}(t)= \begin{cases}u(\eta-t), & t \in[\eta-1,0] \\ u(t), & t \in[0,1],\end{cases}
$$

and note that $u_{\eta}$ is nonnegative, concave and symmetric on $[\eta-1,1]$ with $\left\|u_{\eta}\right\|=\|u\|$. It follows from the concavity and symmetry of $u_{\eta}$ that

$$
u_{\eta}(t) \geq \begin{cases}\|u\|\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-1}(1-\eta+t), & t \in\left[\eta-1, \frac{\eta}{2}\right] \\ \|u\|\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-1}(1-t), & t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

which, in view of $u_{\eta}(t)=u(t)$ on [0, 1], yields

$$
u(t) \geq\|u\|\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-1} \min \{1-\eta+t, 1-t\}, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

So, for $t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, \eta\right], u(t) \geq\|u\|(1-\eta)\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{-1}$.
Let $E=C[0,1]$ be Banach space equipped with norm $\|u\|=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|$
and $K$ be a cone in $E$ defined by $K=\{u \in E: u$ is nonnegative, concave on $[0,1]$, pseudo-symmetric about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $[0,1]$ and $\left.\underset{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}{\min } u(t) \geq \sigma\|u\|\right\}$.

Define an operator $T: K \rightarrow E$ by

$$
(T u)(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s)\left\{f(s, u(s))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} H(s, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d s\right) \\
+\int_{\eta}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
\beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\tau}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\eta}{2}, \\
\\
+\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s, \quad \frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obvious that $u$ is a solution of problems (1.1) and (1.2) if and only if $T u=u$.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Then $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

Proof. For each $u \in K$, let $v=T u$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}(t)=\phi_{q}\left(\int_{t}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\tau}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau,\right.  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left(\phi_{p}\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-a(t)\left\{f(t, u(t))+\int_{t}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(t, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} \leq 0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

So $v=T u$ is concave.

Next, we show $T u$ is pseudo-symmetric about $\frac{\eta}{2}$ on $[0,1]$. For $t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, \eta\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\eta-t} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{s}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\tau}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
= & -\int_{\eta}^{t} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta-s}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\tau}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
= & \int_{t}^{\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\eta-\tau}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
= & \int_{t}^{\eta} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for any $t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, \eta\right]\left(\eta-t \in\left[0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right]\right)$, we obtain
$(T u)(\eta-t)=\beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s)\left\{f(s, u(s))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} H(s, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d s\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{t}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
= & (T u)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can get $(T u)^{\prime}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)=0$ by the symmetry of $T u$ on $[0, \eta]$. And for $t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, 1\right]$, the concavity of $T u$ implies that $(T u)^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$. Therefore,

$$
(T u)(0)=(T u)(\eta) \geq(T u)(1)=\beta(T u)^{\prime}(\eta) \geq 0
$$

Consequently, we have $(T u)(t) \geq 0$ as $(T u)$ is concave. And it is obvious that $\min (T u)(t) \geq \sigma\|T u\|$, for $\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta$. Hence, we obtain that $T K \subseteq K$.

## 3. Main Result

Let the nonnegative continuous concave functional $\alpha$ on $K$, the nonnegative continuous convex functionals $\theta, \gamma$ and the nonnegative continuous functional $\psi$ be defined on the cone $K$ by

$$
\alpha(u)=\min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}|u(t)|, \quad \gamma(u)=\theta(u)=\psi(u)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|u(t)|
$$

for $u \in K$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \theta(u) \leq \alpha(u) \leq \theta(u)=\gamma(u)=\psi(u) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(u) \geq \sigma\|u\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), we know (2.1) hold.
Let

$$
L=\beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s) d s\right)+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau\right) d s, \quad N=\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau\right) d s
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ hold. Let $0<a<b \leq \sigma d$, and the following conditions hold,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right) f(t, u(t))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{t} H(t, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi \leq \phi_{p}\left(\frac{d}{L}\right) \text { for } t \in[0,1], u \in[0, d] \\
& \left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) f(t, u(t))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{t} H(t, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi<\phi_{p}\left(\frac{a}{L}\right) \text { for } t \in[0,1], u \in[0, a] \\
& \left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right) f(t, u(t))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{t} H(t, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi>\phi_{p}\left(\frac{b}{\sigma N}\right) \text { for } t \in\left[\frac{\eta}{2}, \eta\right], u \in\left[b, \frac{b}{\sigma}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then BVP (1.1) and (1.2) have at least three pseudo-symmetric positive solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{i}(t)\right| \leq d \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3, b<\min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|, \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}(t)\right| \leq d, \\
& a<\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|<\frac{b}{\sigma} \text { with } \min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|<b \text { and } \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{3}(t)\right|<a
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Firstly, we check $T: \overline{K(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous operator.

If $u \in \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$, then $\gamma(u) \leq d$. From $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, we have $\gamma(T u)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|T u(t)|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s)\left\{f(s, u(s))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} H(s, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d s\right) \\
& +\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
\leq & \frac{d}{L} \beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s) d s\right)+\frac{d}{L} \int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=\frac{d}{L} L=d
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $T: \overline{K(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\gamma, d)}$. Standard applications of Arzela-Ascoli Theorem imply that $T$ is completely continuous operator.

We choose $u(t)=\frac{4 b t}{\eta}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. It is easy to check that

$$
u(t) \in K\left(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{b}{\sigma}, d\right)
$$

and

$$
\alpha(u)=\alpha\left(\frac{4 b t}{\eta}\right)>b
$$

for

$$
\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta
$$

So

$$
\left\{\left.u \in K\left(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{b}{\sigma}, d\right) \right\rvert\, \alpha(u)>b\right\} \neq \varnothing .
$$

Thus, for $u \in K\left(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, \frac{b}{\sigma}, d\right)$, one has $b \leq u(t) \leq \frac{b}{\sigma}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(T u)= & \min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}|T u(t)| \geq \sigma \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|T u(t)| \\
= & \sigma\left\{\beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s)\left\{f(s, u(s))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} H(s, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d s\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s\right\} \\
\geq & \sigma \int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
\geq & \sigma \frac{b}{\sigma N} \int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=\frac{b}{N} N=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, condition ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.
Secondly, we show ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. From (3.1), we have $\alpha(T u)=\min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}|T u(t)| \geq \sigma \theta(T u)>b$, for all $u \in K(\gamma, \alpha, b, d)$ with $\theta(T u)>\frac{b}{\sigma}$.

Finally, we show condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ of Lemma 2.1 is also satisfied. Obviously, as $\psi(0)=0<a$, there holds $0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$. Suppose $u \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d)$ with $\psi(u)=a$. Then, by condition $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(T u)= & \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|(T u)(t)| \\
= & \beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s)\left\{f(s, u(s))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} H(s, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d s\right) \\
& +\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau)\left\{f(\tau, u(\tau))+\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\tau} H(\tau, \xi, u(\xi)) d \xi\right\} d \tau\right) d s \\
\leq & \frac{a}{L} \beta \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\eta}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} a(s) d s\right)+\frac{a}{L} \int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{1} \phi_{q}\left(\int_{\frac{\eta}{2}}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau\right) d s=\frac{a}{L} L=a .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Lemma 2.1, there exist three positive pseudo-symmetric solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ for BVP (1.1) and (1.2) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{i}(t)\right| \leq d \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3, \quad b<\min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|, \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{1}(t)\right| \leq d, \\
& a<\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|<\frac{b}{\sigma} \text { with } \min _{\frac{\eta}{2} \leq t \leq \eta}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|<b \text { and } \max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|u_{3}(t)\right|<a .
\end{aligned}
$$
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