



## **ESTIMATION OF SURVIVAL PROBABILITY WITH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF SHOCKS**

**S. B. MUNOLI and M. D. SURANAGI**

Department of Statistics

Karnatak University

Dharwad-580003

India

Department of Statistics

Veterinary College

Bidar-585401

India

### **Abstract**

A component is subjected to a sequence of shocks. Shocks are of two types, namely effective shocks and non-effective shocks. Damages due to effective shocks are exponential random variables. If the accumulated damage exceeds the threshold of the component, the component fails. The threshold of the component is also an exponential random variable. The survival probability of the component with  $k_0$  (known) shocks is derived. The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) and the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of the survival probability are obtained.

### **1. Introduction**

Problems in reliability theory are widely varied in nature. Consider the problems in which, components (or organs) of various types of functioning without failure are desired. But, at the same time, we cannot

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62N05.

Keywords and phrases: survival probability, shock model, life testing experiment, MLE, UMVUE.

Received November 7, 2006

be sure of components not being exposed to shocks or accidents during the period of operation. So, it is necessary to develop the mathematical methods to answer the questions like *with what probability the component can survive with  $k_0$  shocks*, where  $k_0$  is a pre-specified positive integer. Esary et al. [5] studied some models of the life distribution of a component, subjected to random shocks occurring according to a Poisson process. Abdel-Hameed and Proschan [1] considered models with the arrival rate of shocks increasing in time. Barlow and Proschan [2] have considered shock models yielding bivariate distributions. Chikkagoudar and Palaniappan [4] have obtained the UMVUE of reliability in shock models with cumulative damages and fixed threshold model, where the shocks are occurring as events in Poisson process. Shantikumar and Sumita [9] studied a general shock model associated with a correlated pair  $(X_n, Y_n)$ , where  $X_n$  is the magnitude of the  $n$ -th shock and  $Y_n$  is the time interval between two consecutive shocks. Posner and Zuckerman [8] studied the optimal replacement strategy for a semi-Markov shock model with additive damage. Kunchur and Munoli [6] have obtained the estimators of reliability in shock models with cumulative damages, fixed threshold for two-component parallel system. Skoulakis [10] modeled reliability of a parallel system subject to shocks generated by a renewal point process. In the present study, we propose an approach that will enable us to find the probability of survival with specified number of shocks or continued functioning of a component (or organ) under overloading, say *once, twice, thrice*, etc., rather than finding the failure free operation for certain time period. In Section 2, the model is discussed and the probability that the component survives with  $k_0$  shocks is obtained. The life testing experiment is considered in Section 3. The MLEs of parameters and complete sufficient statistics for the family of distribution are also obtained in this section. Section 4 deals with MLE and UMVUE of survival function.

## 2. Survival Function

Suppose a component is subjected to a sequence of shocks. Shocks are of two types, effective shocks and non-effective shocks. Let  $p$  denote the

probability of a shock being effective and  $q = 1 - p$  be the probability that the shock is non-effective. The effective shock damages the component and non-effective shock does not damage the component. The component survives if the accumulated damage due to effective shocks is less than threshold of the component. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s$  be random damages due to  $s$  effective shocks to the component out of  $k_0$  shocks,  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k_0$ . It is assumed that  $X_i$ 's are independently, identically distributed exponential random variables with parameter  $\theta$  ( $\exp(\theta)$ ),  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $V$  be threshold of the component, which is also exponential random variable with parameter  $\mu$  ( $\exp(\mu)$ ),  $\mu > 0$ . The probability that the component survives with  $k_0$  (pre specified number) shocks out of which  $s$  shocks are effective shocks is

$$R(k_0) = \sum_{s=0}^{k_0} \binom{k_0}{s} p^s q^{k_0-s} P(X_1 + \dots + X_s < V) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{s=0}^{k_0} \binom{k_0}{s} \left( \frac{p\theta}{\theta + \mu} \right)^s q^{k_0-s} \\ &= \left( q + \frac{p\theta}{\theta + \mu} \right)^{k_0} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and  $R(0) = 1$ ,  $R(\infty) = 0$ ;  $R(k)$  is non-increasing function of  $k$ .

Considering accidents and heart attacks as shocks, an automobile and heart patient serve as examples to this model.

### 3. Life Testing Experiment

Suppose  $r$  identical components with thresholds  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r$  are subjected to  $r$  independent sequences of shocks and  $V_i \sim \exp(\mu)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . The experiment is continued till all the  $r$  components fail. Let the  $i$ -th component fail due to  $s_i$  number of effective shocks and the shock arrival pattern be  $1, 2, \dots, k_{i1}; 1, 2, \dots, k_{i2}; \dots, 1, 2, \dots, k_{i,s_i}$ , that is,

$(k_{ij} - 1)$  is the number of non-effective shocks that the component experiences to have  $j$ -th effective shock,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and

the component fails at  $k_i$ -th shock, where  $k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} k_{ij}$ . That is out of

$k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_i} k_{ij}$  shocks,  $s_i$  shocks will be effective shocks and  $k_{i1}$ -th,

$k_{i2}$ -th, ...,  $k_{i,s_i}$ -th shocks are effective shocks. Let  $p$  be the probability

that the shock is effective shock and  $q$  be the probability that the shock is non-effective. Let  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{is_i}$  denote the damages due to effective

shocks to the  $i$ -th component,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and are  $\exp(\theta)$  random

variables,  $\theta > 0$ .  $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} X_{ij}$  exceeds the threshold  $V_i$  of the component and

it is assumed that the damage due to a shock at which the  $i$ -th component

fails (fatal shock) is not observable but is known to exceed  $\left( V_i - \sum_{j=1}^{s_i-1} X_{ij} \right)$ .

It is also assumed that  $V_i$  is observable. The joint probability density

function of the random variables  $k_{i1}, k_{i2}, \dots, k_{i,s_i}, s_i, X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{i,s_i-1}, V_i$

is

$$p^{s_i} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} k_{ij} - s_i} \theta^{s_i-1} e^{-\theta \sum_{j=1}^{s_i-1} x_{ij}} e^{-\theta \left( v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{s_i-1} x_{ij} \right)} \mu e^{-\mu v_i} = p^{s_i} q^{k_i - s_i} \theta^{s_i-1} \mu e^{-(\mu+\theta)v_i} \quad (3.1)$$

with  $0 \leq s_i \leq k_i < \infty$ ;  $x_{ij} \geq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s_i - 1$ ;  $\sum_{j=1}^{s_i-1} x_{ij} < v_i$ ,  $v_i \geq 0$ ,

$k_i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus, the joint pdf of the random variables  $k_{i1}, k_{i2}, \dots, k_{i,s_i},$

$s_i, X_{i1}, \dots, X_{i,s_i-1}, V_i$  for all the  $r$  components is given by

$$p^{s_{\bullet}} q^{k_{\bullet} - s_{\bullet}} \theta^{s_{\bullet} - r} \mu^r e^{-(\mu+\theta)v_{\bullet}} \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$s_{\bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^r s_i, k_{\bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \text{ and } v_{\bullet} = \sum_{i=1}^r v_i.$$

Using (3.2), the MLEs of  $p$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\theta$  are obtained as

$$\hat{p} = \frac{s_{\bullet}}{k_{\bullet}}, \hat{\mu} = \frac{r}{v_{\bullet}}, \hat{\theta} = \frac{m_{\bullet} - r}{v_{\bullet}}. \quad (3.3)$$

The joint pdf (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\exp[s_{\bullet} \ln(p\theta/q) + k_{\bullet} \ln q + r \ln(\mu/\theta) - (\mu + \theta)v_{\bullet}]. \quad (3.4)$$

This density belongs to three parameter exponential family. So, by Theorem 1 of Lehmann [7, p. 132],  $(s_{\bullet}, k_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet})$  is complete sufficient statistic for the family of densities.

#### 4. MLE and UMVUE of $R(k_0)$

Substituting the MLEs of parameters from (3.3), in the expression for  $R(k_0)$  (2.2), the MLE  $\hat{R}(k_0)$  of  $R(k_0)$  is obtained.

In order to obtain the UMVUE of  $R(k_0)$ , define

$$\varphi_{k_0}(k_1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k_1 > k_0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi_{k_0}(k_1)) &= P(k_1 > k_0) \\ &= \sum_{k_1=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1=1}^{k_1} \int_0^{\infty} P(k_1 | s_1, v_1) P(s_1, v_1) dv_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $k_1 \sim NB(s_1, p)$  (for given  $s_1$  and  $v_1$ ).

Using the renewal process, the distribution of  $s_1$  and  $v_1$  is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} P(s_1, v_1) &= P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_1-1} x_{1j} \leq v_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} x_{1j}\right) \\ &= \frac{\mu e^{-\mu v_1} e^{-\theta v_1} (\theta v_1)^{s_1-1}}{(s_1-1)!}, \quad s_1 = 1, 2, \dots; 0 \leq v_1 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Substituting these probabilities in (4.2) and simplifying, it is easily verified that  $\varphi_{k_0}(k_1)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $R(k_0)$ .

Using Rao-Blackwell and Lehmann-Scheffe theorems, the UMVUE of  $R(k_0)$  is given by

$$R^*(k_0) = E[\varphi_{k_0}(k_1) | k_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet}].$$

Using (4.2) the above conditional expectation can be written as

$$R^*(k_0) = \sum_{k_1=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_1=1}^{k_1} \int_0^{\infty} P(k_1, s_1, v_1 | k_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet}) dv_1. \quad (4.4)$$

The conditional distribution of  $(k_1, s_1, v_1)$  given  $(k_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet})$  is obtained following on the lines of Basu [3] as follows:

Letting

$$k_{\bullet}^1 = \sum_{i=2}^r k_i, s_{\bullet}^1 = \sum_{i=2}^r s_i \text{ and } v_{\bullet}^1 = \sum_{i=2}^r v_i,$$

we have

$$P(k_{\bullet}^1 | s_{\bullet}^1, v_{\bullet}^1) = \binom{k_{\bullet}^1 - 1}{s_{\bullet}^1 - 1} p^{s_{\bullet}^1} q^{k_{\bullet}^1 - s_{\bullet}^1}, k_{\bullet}^1 = s_{\bullet}^1, s_{\bullet}^1 + 1, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$P(s_{\bullet}^1, v_{\bullet}^1) = \frac{\mu^{r-1} (v_{\bullet}^1)^{r-2} (v_{\bullet}^1 \theta)^{s_{\bullet}^1 - (r-1)} e^{-(\mu+\theta)v_{\bullet}^1}}{(\Gamma(r-1))(s_{\bullet}^1 - (r-1))!} \quad (4.6)$$

which follow on the lines of (4.3).

In the joint distribution of  $(k_1, s_1, v_1)$  and  $(k_{\bullet}^1, s_{\bullet}^1, v_{\bullet}^1)$  which are independent, making the transformations  $k_{\bullet} = k_{\bullet}^1 + k_1$ ,  $s_{\bullet} = s_{\bullet}^1 + s_1$ ,  $v_{\bullet} = v_{\bullet}^1 + v_1$ ;  $k_1 = k_1$ ,  $s_1 = s_1$ ,  $v_1 = v_1$ , we get the joint distribution of  $(k_1, s_1, v_1)$  and  $(k_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet})$ , and dividing this joint distribution by the distribution of  $(k_{\bullet}, s_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet})$ , we obtain the conditional distribution of

$(k_1, s_1, v_1)$  given  $(k_\bullet, s_\bullet, v_\bullet)$  as

$$P(k_1, s_1, v_1 | k_\bullet, s_\bullet, v_\bullet) = \frac{(r-1) \binom{k_1-1}{s_1-1} \binom{k_\bullet-k_1-1}{s_\bullet-s_1-1} \binom{s_\bullet-r}{s_1-1}}{\binom{k_\bullet-1}{s_\bullet-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{v_\bullet} \left(\frac{v_1}{v_\bullet}\right)^{s_1-1} \left(1 - \frac{v_1}{v_\bullet}\right)^{s_\bullet-s_1-1} \quad (4.7)$$

with  $s_1 = 1, 2, \dots, \min(k_1, s_\bullet - r + 1)$ ;  $k_1 = 1, 2, \dots, (k_\bullet - r + 1)$  and  $0 \leq v_1 < v_\bullet$ .

Finally the UMVUE of  $R(k_0)$  is obtained by substituting the above conditional distribution in (4.3) and integrating over the ranges of  $v_1$ , and is given by

$$R^*(k_0) = \frac{(r-1)}{\binom{k_\bullet-1}{s_\bullet-1}} \sum_{k_1=k_0+1}^{k_\bullet-r+1} \sum_{s_1=1}^s \binom{k_1-1}{s_1-1} \binom{k_\bullet-k_1-1}{s_\bullet-s_1-1} \binom{s_\bullet-r}{s_1-1} B(s_1, s_\bullet-s_1) \quad (4.8)$$

with  $s = \min(k_1, s_\bullet - r + 1)$ .

## References

- [1] M. S. Abdel-Hameed and F. Proschan, Non-stationary shock models, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 1 (1973), 383-404.
- [2] R. E. Barlow and F. Proschan, Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing, Holt-Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1975.
- [3] A. P. Basu, Estimation of reliability for some distributions useful in life testing, Technometrics 6(2) (1964), 215-219.
- [4] M. S. Chikkagoudar and K. Palaniappan, Uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimation of reliability in shock models, J. Indian Statist. Assoc. 19 (1981), 9-13.
- [5] J. D. Esary, A. W. Marshall and F. Proschan, Shock models and wear process, Ann. Probab. 1(4) (1973), 627-649.
- [6] S. H. Kunchur and S. B. Munoli, Estimation of reliability in a shock model for a two component system, Statist. Prob. Lett. 17 (1993), 35-38.
- [7] E. L. Lehmann, Testing Statistical Hypotheses, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1959.

- [8] M. J. M. Posner and D. Zuckerman, Semi-Markov shock models with additive damage, *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 18 (1986), 772-790.
- [9] J. G. Shantikumar and U. Sumita, General shock models with correlated renewal sequences, *J. Appl. Prob.* 20 (1983), 600-614.
- [10] G. Skoulakis, A general shock model for a reliability system, *J. Appl. Prob.* 37 (2000), 925-935.

