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#### Abstract

The goal is a theorem which allows computations analogous to the Thom-Porteous formula for a morphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow F$ of coherent sheaves, which are not vector bundles, over a scheme $X$. In particular if $Y \subset X$ is the subset where either $E$ or $F$ is not a vector bundle, then the goal is to find a class supported on the set $D_{k}(\sigma)=\{x \in X-Y: \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(x)) \leq k\} \cup Y$. S. Diaz has one method for accomplishing this goal: find a blow up $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the double dual of the pullbacks of $E$ and $F$, namely $\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}$ and $\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$, are vector bundles over $\tilde{X}$. Hence over $\tilde{X}$ there is a morphism of vector bundles $\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}:\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *} \rightarrow\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$. For an appropriate choice of $k$, apply the Thom-Porteous formula to compute the fundamental class of $D_{k}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)$. Then $p_{*}\left[\left|D_{k}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)\right|\right]$ is a class supported on $D_{k}(\sigma)$ in $X$. To derive a formula from this construction it suffices to express the Chern classes of $\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}$ and
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$\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$ in terms of known information about $E$ and $F$. A formula for these Chern classes is derived for $E$ and $F$ belonging to a certain class of coherent sheaves.

## 1. Introduction

Given a morphism $\sigma$ of vector bundles $E$ and $F$ of rank $e$ and $f$, respectively, over a purely $n$-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay scheme $X$, a nonnegative integer $k \leq \min \{e, f\}$, and a degeneracy locus

$$
D_{k}(\sigma)=\{x \in X: \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(x)) \leq k\}
$$

of codimension $(e-k)(f-k)$, the Thom-Porteous formula [2] gives the fundamental class of the degeneracy locus in the Chow group of $X$ in terms of the Chern classes of $E$ and $F$ as follows:

$$
\left[\left|D_{k}(\sigma)\right|\right]=\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}(c(F-E)) \cap[X],
$$

where $c(F-E)$ denotes the formal quotient

$$
c(F-E)=\frac{c(F)}{c(E)}=\frac{1+c_{1}(F) t+c_{2}(F) t^{2}+\cdots}{1+c_{1}(E) t+c_{2}(E) t^{2}+\cdots}
$$

and given a formal sum $c=c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots, \Delta_{q}^{(p)}(c)$ denotes

$$
\Delta_{q}^{(p)}(c)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
c_{q} & c_{q+1} & c_{q+2} & \cdots & c_{q+p-1} \\
c_{q-1} & c_{q} & c_{q+1} & \cdots & c_{q+p-2} \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \\
c_{q-p+1} & c_{q-p+2} & c_{q-p+3} & \cdots & c_{q}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 1. Let $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ be projective space over a field $K$ and let $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{2}$ $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{3}(1)$ be given by the matrix

$$
[\sigma]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x & z \\
y & x \\
0 & y
\end{array}\right]
$$

Taking two by two minors gives $D_{1}(\sigma)=\{(0,0,1)\}$. By the Thom-Porteous formula the fundamental class of $D_{1}(\sigma)$ is given as follows, where $H$ is the rational equivalence class of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left|D_{1}(\sigma)\right|\right] } & =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(\frac{c\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{3}(1)\right)}{c\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{2}\right)}\right) \cap\left[\mathbb{P}^{2}\right] \\
& =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(\frac{(1+H t)^{3}}{1}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(1+3 H t+3 P t^{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}[3 P] \\
& =3 P .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this simple example it is possible to verify $3 P$ is the fundamental class of $D_{1}(\sigma) . D_{1}(\sigma)$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ supported at the point $(0,0,1)$ so $\left[\left|D_{1}(\sigma)\right|\right]$ is $n P$, where $n$ is the length of the local ring of $D_{1}(\sigma)$ at $(0,0,1)$. The local ring is $\frac{K[x, y]}{\left(x^{2}-y, x y, y^{2}\right)}$ which is a 3 -dimensional vector space over $K$ (with basis $\left\{1, x, x^{2}\right\}$ ). Hence the fundamental class is $3 P$.

The Thom-Porteous formula applies only to morphisms of vector bundles; however many interesting subschemes can only be described as the degeneracy locus of a morphism of coherent sheaves. Given a morphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow F$ of coherent sheaves over a scheme $X$, the goal is a formula that allows analogous computations. In fact Harris and Morrison [4] ask, "Is there a Porteous type formula for maps of torsion-free coherent sheaves?" This paper gives such a formula for morphisms of coherent sheaves which meet certain conditions. In particular if $Y \subset X$ is the subset where either $E$ or $F$ is not a vector bundle, the goal is to find a class supported on the set

$$
D_{k}(\sigma) \equiv\{x \in X-Y: \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(x)) \leq k\} \cup Y .
$$

Diaz [1] has one method for accomplishing this goal: find a blow up $p: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the double dual of the pullbacks of $E$ and $F$, namely $\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}$ and $\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$, are vector bundles over $\tilde{X}$. Hence over $\tilde{X}$ there is a morphism of vector bundles

$$
\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}:\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *} \rightarrow\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}
$$

For an appropriate choice of $k$, apply the Thom-Porteous formula to compute the fundamental class of $D_{k}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)$. Then $p_{*}\left[\left|D_{k}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)\right|\right]$ is a class supported on $D_{k}(\sigma)$ in $X$. To derive a formula from this construction it suffices to express the Chern classes of $\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}$ and $\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$ in terms of known information about $E$ and $F$. An expression for these Chern classes is provided for $E$ and $F$ belonging to a certain class of coherent sheaves. Section 2 details the result (proofs are given in Section 4), and Section 3 applies the result to a simple example.

## 2. Extension of the Thom-Porteous Formula

Definition 1. Let $I$ be a coherent sheaf of ideals on a nonsingular, quasi-projective scheme $X$ of dimension $n$ over a field $K$. Call $I$ homogeneous of degree $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}\right)$ with respect to local parameters at a set of distinct closed points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset X$ if there is some choice of local coordinates $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ defined on neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $x_{i}$ such that $I\left(U_{i}\right)$ has a set of generators each of which is a degree $d_{i}>0$ homogeneous polynomial in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ with coefficients in $K$. (The dependence of the set of local coordinates $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ on $i$ has been suppressed.)

Definition 2. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of a scheme $X$ and $D$ be an effective Cartier divisor on $X$ such that $|D| \subset \cup_{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}} U_{i}$ and $|D| \cap U_{i}=\varnothing$ for all $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$, where $\Lambda^{\prime} \subset \Lambda$. Write local equations for $D$ as $u_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ for $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and 1 on $U_{i}$ for $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be vector bundles of rank $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively on $X$. Suppose $F_{1}$ splits on $U=\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}} U_{i}$ and write $\left.F_{1}\right|_{U}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{f_{1}}$. Let $\phi: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$ be a morphism of rank $r$ on
$X-|D|$ dropping rank by $k \geq 1$ on $|D|$. Fix (locally) free bases for $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, respecting the splitting $\left.F_{1}\right|_{U}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{f_{1}}$, so $\phi$ has a matrix representation [ $\phi]$. Define the $j$ th column vanishing $M_{j}$ of $[\phi]$ on $|D|$ to be the greatest positive integer $r$ such that for every $i$, each entry of the $j$ th column of $\left[\phi\left(U_{i}\right)\right]$ is in the ideal $\left(u_{i}\right)^{r}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right)$. Let the total column vanishing $M$ be the sum $M=M_{1}+\cdots+M_{f_{1}}$.

Definition 3. A coherent sheaf $E$ over a nonsingular, integral, quasiprojective scheme $X$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ over a field $K$ is nice at a finite set of distinct closed points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $E$ has a locally-free resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow E_{2} \xrightarrow{\phi} E_{1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 .
$$

- The first nonzero Fitting ideal $I$ of $E$ is supported on the set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$.
- I is degree $d_{i}$ homogeneous with respect to local parameters at $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
- If $p: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the blow up of $X$ along $I$ and $e_{i}=p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$, then the total column vanishing of $\left[p^{*} \phi\right]$ on $e_{i}$ is $M_{i}=d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

The following theorem gives a formula for the Chern class of the double dual of the pullback of a nice sheaf.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a nonsingular, integral, quasi-projective scheme of dimension $n \geq 2$ over a field $K$, and let $E$ be a coherent sheaf over $X$ which is nice at a finite set of distinct closed points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. Let $p: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of $X$ along the first nonzero Fitting ideal of $E$, and let $L_{i}$ be the invertible sheaf associated to $p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then

$$
c_{t}\left(\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}\right)=\frac{c_{t}\left(p^{*} E_{1}\right)}{c_{t}\left(p^{*} E_{2}\right) \cdot\left(1+c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right) t\right)^{M_{1}} \cdots\left(1+c_{1}\left(L_{m}\right) t\right)^{M_{k}}} .
$$

Definition 4. Given a morphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow F$ of coherent sheaves over a scheme $X$, suppose $Y \subset X$ is the subset where either $E$ or $F$ is not a vector bundle. Let $e$ and $f$ be the ranks of $E$ and $F$, respectively over $X-Y$, and choose a nonnegative integer $k \leq \min \{e, f\}$. Then the $k t h$ degeneracy locus $D_{k}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ is

$$
D_{k}(\sigma)=\{x \in X-Y: \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(x)) \leq k\} \cup Y .
$$

Definition 5. Given a morphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow F$ of coherent sheaves over a scheme $X$, let the first nonzero Fitting ideals of $E$ and $F$ be $I_{E}$ and $I_{F}$, respectively. Let $q_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of $X$ along $I_{E}$, and let $q_{2}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_{1}$ be the blow up of $X_{1}$ along $q_{1}^{-1} I_{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{1}}$. Then $p=q_{1} \circ q_{2}$ $: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the double blow up of $X$ along the first nonzero Fitting ideals of $E$ and $F$.

The following lemma shows the order in which the ideals $I_{E}$ and $I_{F}$ are blown up does not matter.

Lemma 1. Let $I_{E}$ and $I_{F}$ be coherent sheaves of ideals on a noetherian scheme $X$. Suppose $p_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ is the blow up of $X$ along $I_{E}$, and $p_{2}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X_{1}$ is the blow up of $X_{1}$ along $p_{1}^{-1} I_{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{1}}$. Suppose further $q_{1}: Y_{1} \rightarrow X$ is the blow up of $X$ along $I_{F}$ and $q_{2}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ is the blow up of $Y_{1}$ along $q_{1}^{-1} I_{E} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y_{1}}$. Then $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are isomorphic schemes.

Proof. [5, Corollary II.7.15] gives unique morphisms $f_{1}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $f_{2}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow Y_{1}$ so the following diagram commutes:


Then $f_{1}^{-1}\left(p_{1}^{-1} I_{F} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{1}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}=q_{2}^{-1}\left(q_{1}^{-1} F \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y_{1}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}$ is an invertible sheaf of ideals on $\tilde{Y}$. Hence [5, Proposition II.7.14] gives a unique morphism $g_{1}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ factoring $f_{1}$. Similarly there is a unique morphism $g_{2}: \widetilde{X}$ $\rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ factoring $f_{2}$ :


The sheaf of ideals $q_{2}^{-1}\left(q_{1}^{-1} I_{E} \cdot Y_{1}\right) \cdot \tilde{Y}$ is invertible so $h=g_{2} \circ g_{1}$ is the unique scheme morphism from $\tilde{Y}$ to $\widetilde{Y}$ factoring $q_{2}$. However the identity morphism also has this property so $g_{2} \circ g_{1}=1$. Similarly $g_{1} \circ g_{2}=1$.

Theorem 2 (Extension of Thom-Porteous formula). Suppose $\sigma: E$ $\rightarrow F$ is a morphism of coherent sheaves over a nonsingular, integral, quasi-projective scheme $X$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ over a field $K$. Let $E$ and $F$ be nice at a finite set of distinct closed points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\} \subset X$. Let $p: \widetilde{X}$ $\rightarrow X$ be the double blow up of $X$ along the first nonzero Fitting ideals of $E$ and $F$. Let e, f, and $k$ be as in Definition 4. Then a class supported on $D_{k}(\sigma)$ is given by the expression

$$
p_{*}\left[\left|D_{k}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)\right)^{* *}\right|\right]=p_{*}\left(\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}\left(c\left(\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}-\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}\right)\right) \cap[\tilde{X}]\right),
$$

where the Chern classes of $\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}$ and $\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}$ are given by Theorem 1.
Proof. Apply the Thom-Porteous formula to the morphism

$$
\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}:\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *} \rightarrow\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}
$$

of vector bundles over $\tilde{X}$.

The following lemma shows the class given by Theorem 2 is unique in a certain sense.

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be $a$ variety and $p_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X$ be a blow up. Let $p_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow X$ be a blow up, and $q: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ be a morphism making the following diagram commute:


Given vector bundles $E$ and $F$ on $X_{1}, E^{\prime}=q^{*} E$ and $F^{\prime}=q^{*} F$ are vector bundles on $X_{2}$. Let $e=\operatorname{rank}(E), f=\operatorname{rank}(F), k \leq \min \{e, f\}$, and for the determinantal expressions of the Thom-Porteous formula write

$$
\Delta_{1}:=\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}(c(F-E)) \cap\left[X_{1}\right],
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{2}:=\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}\left(c\left(F^{\prime}-E^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap\left[X_{2}\right] .
$$

Then $q_{*}\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=\Delta_{1}$.
Proof. Since $\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}\left(c\left(F^{\prime}-E^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap\left[X_{2}\right]$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of $q^{*} E$ and $q^{*} F$, it follows from [2, Theorem 3.2(c)] that

$$
q_{*}\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=\Delta_{f-k}^{(e-k)}(c(F-E)) \cap q_{*}\left[X_{2}\right] .
$$

Let $l=\left[R\left(X_{2}\right): R\left(X_{1}\right)\right]$, where $R(\cdot)$ denotes the field of rational functions. By [2, Section 1.4], $q_{*}\left(\Delta_{2}\right)=l \Delta_{1}$. Since $q$ is a birational morphism, $l=1$.

## 3. Example

Define morphisms $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{2}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{5}(1)$ and $i: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{5}(1)$ by the matrices

$$
[\alpha]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

and

$$
[i]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{2} & x_{1} & 0 & x_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

Let $E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{2}(1)$ and $F$ be sheaves defined by the following locally free resolutions:


The morphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow F$ is induced by $\pi_{2} \alpha$ since $\pi_{2} \alpha$ vanishes on $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=0 . E$ and $F$ are torsion-free coherent sheaves with locally free locus $Y=\mathbb{P}^{2}-\{(0,0,1)\}$. $D_{1}(\sigma)=\{(0,1,0),(0,0,1)\}$.

Let $p: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ along the first nonzero Fitting ideal of $F$. Let $e, H, p^{*} H$, and $P$ be the rational equivalence classes of the exceptional divisor, a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, the pullback of $H$, and a point, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{t}\left(\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}\right) & =\frac{c_{t}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}}}^{5}(1)\right)}{c_{t}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}}}\right) \cdot(1+e t)} \\
& =\frac{\left(1+p^{*} H t\right)^{5}}{1+e t} \\
& =\left(1+5 p^{*} H t+10 P t^{2}\right)\left(1-e t-P t^{2}\right) \\
& =1+5 p^{*} H t-e t+9 P t^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Thom-Porteous formula gives the fundamental class of $D_{1}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left|D_{1}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)\right|\right] } & =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(c\left(\left(p^{*} F\right)^{* *}-\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}\right)\right) \cap\left[\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right] \\
& =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(1+5 p^{*} H t-e t+9 P t^{2}\right) /\left(\left(1+p^{*} H t\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(1+5 p^{*} H t-e t+9 P t^{2}\right)\left(1-2 p^{*} H t+3 P t^{2}\right) \\
& =\Delta_{2}^{(1)}\left(1+3 p^{*} H t-e t+2 P t^{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}[2 P] \\
& =2 P .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain a class in the Chow group $A_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ supported on $D_{1}(\sigma)$ apply the group homomorphism $p_{*}$

$$
p_{*}\left(\left[\left|D_{1}\left(\left(p^{*} \sigma\right)^{* *}\right)\right|\right]\right)=2 P .
$$

On $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ the double dual map drops rank at two points. The Thom-Porteous formula counts each of these point once [2, Lemma 12.1]. Thus each of the points $(0,1,0)$ and $(0,0,1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is counted exactly once (each is counted at least once by [2, Section 1.4].

## 4. Details

Lemma 3 (Existence of partial tensor). Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of a scheme $X$ over a field $K$, and let $E$ be a rank $r$ vector bundle splitting on $U=\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}} U_{i}$, where $\Lambda^{\prime} \subset \Lambda$. Suppose $E$ splits on $U$ as $\left.E\right|_{U}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{r}$ for line bundles $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}$ on $U$. Let $L$ be a line bundle with associated Cartier divisor $D$ supported on a closed set $|D| \subset U$ such that $|D| \cap U_{i}$ $=\varnothing$ for all $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q}$ be a finite sequence of positive integers with $q \leq r$. Then there is a vector bundle $G$ on $X$, call it the partial tensor of $E$ with $L$ by the sequence $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q}$, such that
(1) $\left.G\right|_{U} \cong\left(L_{1} \otimes L^{M_{1}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{q} \otimes L^{M_{q}} \oplus L_{q+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{r}$, and
(2) $\left.G\right|_{U_{i}}=\left.E\right|_{U_{i}}$, for all $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$.

If $g_{i, j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G L(k, m)$ give the transition data for $E$ and $D$ has local equations $u_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right)$ with respect to the open cover $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$, then $G$ has transition data $\tilde{g}_{i, j}$ given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
u_{i}^{M_{1}} & & & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & u_{i}^{M_{q}} & & & \\
& & & 1 & & \\
0 & & & & \ddots & \\
& \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
u_{j}^{-M_{1}} & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & u_{j}^{-M_{q}} & & \\
& & & 1 & \\
0 & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

There is an injective vector bundle morphism $f: E \rightarrow G$ such that for all $i \in \Lambda$

$$
\left[f\left(U_{i}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
u_{i}^{M_{1}} & & & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & u_{i}^{M_{q}} & & & \\
& & & 1 & & \\
0 & & & & \ddots & \\
& & & & & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

and G has Chern polynomial $c_{t}(G)=c_{t}(E)\left(1+c_{1}(L) t\right)^{M}$, where $M=M_{1}$ $+\cdots+M_{q}$.

Proof. It suffices to assume $q=1$ and $M_{1}=1$. Shrink the $U_{i}$ if necessary so that $L$ and $L_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, r$ are trivial on every $U_{i}$ for $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $h_{i, j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow K^{*}$ and $g_{i, j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G L(r, K)$ be the transition functions for $L$ and $E$, respectively. For $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, say $L\left(U_{i}\right)=\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$ and $E\left(U_{i}\right)=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\rangle$. Then define

$$
G\left(U_{i}\right)=\left\langle e_{0} \otimes e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\rangle
$$

and for $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$ define $G\left(U_{i}\right)=E\left(U_{i}\right)$. One needs to specify the transition functions $\tilde{g}_{i, j}$ for $G$. Consider $i, j \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. There is a function $a_{0}: U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ $\rightarrow K^{*}$ such that $\left[h_{i, j}\right]=\left[a_{0}\right]$. Since $E$ splits on $U$, there are functions $a_{l}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow K^{*}$ for $l=1, \ldots, r$ such that

$$
\left[g_{i, j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $i, j \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ define

$$
\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} a_{1} & & & 0 \\
& a_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
0 & & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next consider $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $j \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Here $\left[h_{i, j}\right]=\left[a_{0}\right]$ and there are functions $a_{s, t}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow K^{*}$, such that

$$
\left[g_{i, j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $j \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$ define

$$
\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{0} a_{1, r} \\
a_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $j \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, define $\tilde{g}_{i, j}=\widetilde{g}_{j, i}^{-1}$ (see below for existence of the inverse). Finally for $i, j \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$ define $\tilde{g}_{i, j}=g_{i, j}$.

Now check the $\tilde{g}_{i, j}$ satisfy the following axioms:
(1) $\tilde{g}_{i, j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G L(r, K)$,
(2) $\widetilde{g}_{i, i}=1$,
(3) $\widetilde{g}_{i, k}=\tilde{g}_{i, j} \cdot \widetilde{g}_{j, k}$, and
(4) $\widetilde{g}_{i, j}^{-1}=\widetilde{g}_{j, i}$.

It is not hard to see (1) and (2) hold for $\tilde{g}_{i, j}$ since they hold for $g_{i, j}$ and $h_{i, j}$. For axiom (4), first take the case $i, j \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. Here since $h_{j, i}=h_{i, j}^{-1}$ and $g_{j, i}=g_{i, j}^{-1}$,

$$
\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}^{-1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} a_{1} & & & 0 \\
& a_{2} & & \\
0 & & \ddots & \\
& & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0}^{-1} a_{1}^{-1} & & & 0 \\
& a_{2}^{-1} & & \\
0 & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & a_{r}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\widetilde{g}_{j, i}\right] .
$$

Next consider $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $j \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Then $\left|\tilde{g}_{i, j}\right|=a_{0}\left|g_{i, j}\right|$ and $\tilde{g}_{i, j}^{-1}=\tilde{g}_{j, i}$ by definition. Finally consider $i, j \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Here $\widetilde{g}_{i, j}^{-1}=g_{i, j}^{-1}=g_{j, i}=\widetilde{g}_{j, i}$. This suffices to check axiom (4). Lastly consider axiom (3). First take the case $i, j, k \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. Here the matrices are diagonal so axiom (3) holds for $\widetilde{g}_{i, j}$ since it holds for $g_{i, j}$ and $h_{i, j}$. If $i, j, k \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$, then axiom (3) holds since $\tilde{g}_{i, j}=g_{i, j}$. Suppose $i, j \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $k \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\tilde{g}_{i, j}\right] \cdot\left[\tilde{g}_{j, k}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} a_{1} & & & 0 \\
& a_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
0 & & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{0} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{0} b_{1, r} \\
b_{2,1} & \cdots & b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
b_{r, 1} & \cdots & b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} b_{0} a_{1} b_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{0} b_{0} a_{1} b_{1, r} \\
a_{2} b_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2} b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r} b_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r} b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[g_{i, k}\right]=\left[g_{i, j}\right] \cdot\left[g_{j, k}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} & & & 0 \\
& a_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
0 & & & a_{r}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1, r} \\
b_{2,1} & \cdots & b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
b_{r, 1} & \cdots & b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} b_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1} b_{1, r} \\
a_{2} b_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2} b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r} b_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r} b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a function $h_{i, k}=c_{0}: U_{i} \cap U_{k} \rightarrow K^{*}$, and by definition

$$
\left[\tilde{g}_{i, k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c_{0} a_{1} b_{1,1} & \cdots & c_{0} a_{1} b_{1, r} \\
a_{2} b_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2} b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r} b_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r} b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now $h_{i, k}=h_{i, j} \cdot h_{j, k}$ implies $c_{0}=a_{0} b_{0}$ so axiom (3) holds in this case.
Finally suppose $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and $j, k \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Write $\left[g_{i, k}\right]=\left[c_{s, t}\right]=\left[g_{i, j}\right] \cdot\left[g_{j, k}\right]$ $=\left[a_{s, t}\right] \cdot\left[b_{s, t}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right] \cdot\left[\widetilde{g}_{j, k}\right]=\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right] \cdot\left[g_{j, k}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{0} a_{1, r} \\
a_{2,1} & \cdots & a_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
a_{r, 1} & \cdots & a_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1, r} \\
b_{2,1} & \cdots & b_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
b_{r, 1} & \cdots & b_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} c_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{0} c_{1, r} \\
c_{2,1} & \cdots & c_{2, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
c_{r, 1} & \cdots & c_{r, r}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is enough to check axiom (3) since $\tilde{g}_{i, j}=\tilde{g}_{i, k} \cdot \widetilde{g}_{k, j}$ together with the other axioms implies $\tilde{g}_{i, k}=\tilde{g}_{i, j} \cdot \widetilde{g}_{k, j}^{-1}=\tilde{g}_{i, j} \cdot \tilde{g}_{j, k}$ and $\tilde{g}_{k, j}=\tilde{g}_{i, k}^{-1}$ $\cdot \tilde{g}_{i, j}=\tilde{g}_{k, i} \cdot \tilde{g}_{i, j}$.

To see the transition data $\tilde{g}_{i, j}$ have the form given in the statement of the lemma, note $a_{0}=u_{i} / u_{j}$. For the morphism $f: E \rightarrow G$, fix an index $i$. For any open $V \subset U_{i}$ define

$$
\left[f_{i}(V)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left.u_{i}\right|_{V} & & & 0 \\
& 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
0 & & & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

This defines a local vector bundle morphism $f_{i}:\left.\left.E\right|_{U_{i}} \rightarrow G\right|_{U_{i}}$ and $\left[\widetilde{g}_{i, j}\right]$ $\cdot\left[f_{j}\right]=\left[f_{i}\right] \cdot\left[g_{i, j}\right]$. So there is a morphism $f: E \rightarrow G$ extending all the $f_{i} . f$ is injective since each $f\left(U_{i}\right)$ is injective. Since $f$ is an isomorphism on $X-|D|$, the cokernel of $f$ is supported on $|D|$. Restrict attention to $U$, the open neighborhood, where $E$ is trivial of rank $r$. Form the direct sum of the following exact sequence with $\left.\mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U} ^{r-1}$

$$
\left.\left.0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U} \xrightarrow{\imath} \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)\right|_{U}
$$

to obtain $\left.f\right|_{U}$

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f \mid U} G\right|_{U}
$$

Since $\operatorname{coker}(f)\left(U_{i}\right)$ is the zero module whenever $U_{i} \cap|D|=\varnothing$, it follows that $\operatorname{coker}(f) \cong \operatorname{coker}(\mathrm{t}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D}(D)$. Applying the Whitney sum formula to the short exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D}(D) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \rightarrow 0
$$

shows coker $(f)$ has Chern polynomial $1+c_{1}(L) t$ and $G$ has Chern polynomial $c_{t}(E)\left(1+c_{1}(L) t\right)$.

Lemma 4. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of a scheme $X$, and $D$ be a Cartier divisor on $X$ such that $|D| \subset \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}} U_{i}$ and $|D| \cap U_{i}=\varnothing$ for all $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$, where $\Lambda^{\prime} \subset \Lambda$. Write local equations for $D$ as $u_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ for $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ and 1 on $U_{i}$ for $i \notin \Lambda^{\prime}$. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be vector bundles of rank $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively, on $X$. Suppose $F_{1}$ splits on $U=\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda^{\prime}} U_{i}$ and write $\left.F_{1}\right|_{U}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{f_{1}}$. Let $\phi: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$ be a morphism of rank $r$ on $X-|D|$ dropping rank by $k \geq 1$ on $|D|$. Fix (locally) free bases for $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, respecting the splitting $\left.F_{1}\right|_{U}=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{f_{1}}$, so $\phi$ has a matrix representation $[\phi]$. Consider $[\phi]$ decomposed into submatrices whose dimensions are indicated below by subscripts

$$
[\phi]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{r, f_{1}-r} & B_{r, r} \\
C_{f_{2}-r, f_{1}-r} & D_{f_{2}-r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assume for all $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, the submatrices $B_{r, r}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $D_{f_{2}-r, r}\left(U_{i}\right)$ have the following form (where the dependence of the entries $h_{s, t}$ on $i$ has been suppressed):

$$
B_{r, r}\left(U_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{1,1} & \cdots & h_{1, r-k} & u_{i}^{M_{1}} h_{1, r-k+1} & \cdots & u_{i}^{M_{k}} h_{1, r} \\
& & \ddots & & \\
h_{r, 1} & \cdots & h_{r, r-k} & u_{i}^{M_{1}} h_{r, r-k+1} & \cdots & u_{i}^{M_{k}} h_{r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
D_{f_{2}-r, r}\left(U_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{r+1,1} & \cdots & h_{r+1, r-k} & u_{i}^{M_{1}} h_{r+1, r-k+1} & \cdots & u_{i}^{M_{k}} h_{r+1, r} \\
& & & \ddots & & \\
h_{f_{2}, 1} & \cdots & h_{f_{2}, r-k} & u_{i}^{M_{1}} h_{f_{2}, r-k+1} & \cdots & u_{i}^{M_{k}} h_{f_{2}, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $G$ be the partial tensor of $F_{1}$ and $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ by the sequence $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$ such that

$$
G(U)=L_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{f_{1}-k} \oplus\left(L_{f_{1}-k+1} \otimes L^{M_{1}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(L_{f_{1}} \otimes L^{M_{k}}\right)
$$

Then there is a morphism $\psi: G \rightarrow F_{2}$ such that
(1) $\operatorname{im}(\phi) \subset \operatorname{im}(\psi)$ and
(2) $\operatorname{im}\left(\phi_{x}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(\psi_{x}\right)$ for all $x \notin|D|$.

Proof. Notice columns $f_{1}-k+1, \ldots, f_{1}$ of [ $\phi$ ] vanish on $|D|$ with vanishing orders $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}$. Define

$$
\left[\psi_{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A\left(U_{i}\right) & B_{i}^{\prime} \\
C\left(U_{i}\right) & D_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where for all $i \in \Lambda$

$$
\left[B_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{1,1} & \cdots & h_{1, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
h_{r, 1} & \cdots & h_{r, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[D_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{r+1,1} & \cdots & h_{r+1, r} \\
& \ddots & \\
h_{f_{2}, 1} & \cdots & h_{f_{2}, r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $i \in \Lambda$, write $\left[\Theta_{i}\right]$ for the following matrix:

$$
\left[\Theta_{i}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & u_{i}^{M_{1}} & & \\
0 & & & & \ddots & \\
u_{i}^{M_{k}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then $\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\psi_{j}\right] \cdot\left[\Theta_{j}\right]=\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\phi\left(U_{j}\right)\right]=\left[\phi\left(U_{i}\right)\right] \cdot\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{1}}\right]=\left[\psi_{i}\right] \cdot\left[\Theta_{i}\right] \cdot\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{1}}\right]$. In other words, $\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\psi_{j}\right]=\left[\psi_{i}\right] \cdot\left[\Theta_{i}\right] \cdot\left[g_{i, j}^{F_{1}}\right] \cdot\left[\Theta_{j}\right]^{-1}=\left[\psi_{i}\right] \cdot\left[g_{i, j}^{G}\right]$. Thus there is a vector bundle morphism $\psi: G \rightarrow F_{2}$ extending all the $\psi_{i}$. Since the $u_{i}$ are units on $X-|D|$, condition (2) is satisfied. To check
condition (1), suppose $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{f_{2}}\right) \in(\operatorname{im}(\phi))\left(U_{i}\right)$ for some $i \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. That is, there is some $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{f_{1}}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(U_{i}\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{f_{1}}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{f_{2}}\right)$. Then by comparing the matrices of $\phi\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $\psi\left(U_{i}\right)$, one observes

$$
\psi\left(U_{i}\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{f_{1}-k}, u_{i}^{M_{1}} x_{f_{1}-k+1}, \ldots, u_{i}^{M_{k}} x_{f_{1}}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{f_{2}}\right)
$$

Lemma 5. Let $X$ be a nonsingular, quasi-projective scheme of dimension $n \geq 2$ over a field $K$. Suppose $E$ is a coherent sheaf which is nice over a finite set of distinct closed points $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \subset X$. Suppose $E$ has locally free resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{2} \xrightarrow{\phi} F_{1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have rank $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively. Let $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up of $X$ along the first nonzero Fitting ideal of $E$. Let $e_{i}=p^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and suppose $[\phi]$ has column vanishing sequence $M_{1}^{i}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}^{i}$ on $e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Let $G$ be the partial tensor of $p^{*} F_{2}$ and $L_{i}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\left(e_{i}\right)$ by the sequence $M_{1}^{i}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}^{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then Lemma 4 gives a sheaf morphism $\psi: G \rightarrow p^{*} F_{1}$. Moreover $\psi$ is injective and

$$
\operatorname{coker}(\psi) \cong\left(p^{*} E\right)^{* *}
$$

Proof. Fix an index $i$ in $1, \ldots, k$, and write $x=x_{i}, e=e_{i}, L=L_{i}$, and $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}=M_{1}^{i}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}^{i}$. Since $E$ is not locally free at $x$, $[\phi]$ drops rank at this point, say by $r \geq 1$. Let $U$ be an affine open subset of $X$ containing $x$ such that $F_{1}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{f_{1}}, \quad F_{2}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)^{f_{2}}$, and $U \cap\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}=\{x\}$. Then the $f_{2}$ row by $f_{1}$ column matrix $[\phi(U)]$ has elements of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ as entries. Evaluated at the point $x$, they produce a rank $f_{1}-r$ matrix of elements of the field $K$. Thus there is a finite sequence of $K$-linear elementary row operations giving the matrix $[\phi(U)]$ the following form (where $I_{s}$ and $0_{s, t}$ denote the $s$ by $s$ identity and $s$ by
$t$ zero matrices, respectively):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{f_{1}-r} & 0_{f_{1}-r, r} \\
0_{f_{2}-\left(f_{1}-r\right), f_{1}-r} & 0_{f_{2}-\left(f_{1}-r\right), r}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

This sequence of row and operations corresponds to changing the local trivializations of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Since $X$ is a nonsingular, quasi-projective scheme of dimension $n$, one may shrink $U$ to a smaller affine open set as necessary so there are functions $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(U)$ giving local coordinates near $x$. Choose them so the first nonzero Fitting ideal $I$ of $E$ is degree $d>0$ homogeneous in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. Write $U=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ so $I(U)$ is generated by a finite number of degree $d$ homogeneous polynomials in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ over $R$, say by the polynomials $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}$. Since $I$ is supported at $x$, one has $u_{1}^{d}, \ldots, u_{n}^{d} \in I(U)$. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda} \cup U$ be an open cover of $X$ such that $x \notin U_{i}$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Write $W=p^{-1}(U)$ and $W_{i}=p^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ for $i \in \Lambda$. On $W$ the blow up $\widetilde{X}$ has equations $\left\{u_{i} t_{j}=u_{j} t_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, n\right.$ and $j=1, \ldots, n\} \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ([3] 1.4). Let $V_{i}$ be the open set $\left\{t_{i} \neq 0\right\} \cap W$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then the divisor $e$ has equations $u_{i}$ on $V_{i}$. Since $I(U)$ is generated by degree $d$ polynomials $\left(p^{-1} I \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)\left(W \cap V_{i}\right) \subset\left(u_{i}^{d}\right)$. Since $u_{i}^{d} \in I(U)$, one has $\left(p^{-1} I \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)\left(W \cap V_{i}\right)=\left(u_{i}^{d}\right)$. Thus $\left(p^{-1} I \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)(W)$ $=\left(e^{d}\right)$. The hypotheses of Lemma 4 hold so there is a morphism $\psi^{\prime}: G^{\prime}$ $\rightarrow p^{*} F_{1}$, where $G^{\prime}$ is the partial tensor of $p^{*} F_{2}$ with $L$ by the sequence $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}$. To see $\psi^{\prime}$ is injective, notice $\phi$ has rank $f_{1}$ on $X-\{x\}$ so $p^{*} \phi$ has rank $f_{1}$ on $\widetilde{X}-|e| . \psi^{\prime}$ has the same rank as $p^{*} \phi$ on $\widetilde{X}-|e|$. Choose a point $y \in|e|$ and suppose $y \in V_{i}$ for some fixed index $i$. The first nonzero Fitting ideal of $E$ is generated by the $f_{1} \times f_{1}$ minor determinants of [ $\phi$ ]. Since the Fitting ideal is degree $d$ homogeneous with respect to $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n},[\phi]$ has at least one $f_{1} \times f_{1}$ minor determinant, call it $\Pi$, of degree exactly $d$ in $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. Compared to [ $\left.\phi\right]$, columns $j=f_{1}-r$ $+1, \ldots, f_{1}$ of [ $\psi^{\prime}$ ] lack a factor of $u_{i}^{M_{j}}$. Hence the corresponding minor
determinants of [ $\psi^{\prime}$ ] lack a factor of $u_{i}^{M}$, where $M=M_{1}+\cdots+M_{f_{1}}$. Therefore the $f_{1} \times f_{1}$ minor determinant of [ $\psi^{\prime}$ ] corresponding to $\Pi$ has degree $d-M=0$ in $u_{i}$ so it is nonzero at $y$. Thus $\psi^{\prime}$ has rank $f_{1}$ at $y$. Since $y$ was chosen arbitrarily in $|e|, \psi^{\prime}$ has rank $f_{1}$ everywhere. Repeat this argument for each $x_{i} \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ to obtain an injective morphism $\psi: G \rightarrow p^{*} F_{1}$, where $G$ is the partial tensor of $p^{*} F_{2}$ and $L_{i}$ by the sequence $M_{1}^{i}, \ldots, M_{f_{1}}^{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Let $f: p^{*} F_{2} \rightarrow G$ be the morphism given by Lemma 3. $\psi$ forms a commutative square with $1_{p^{*} F_{2}}$


There is a unique induced morphism $h: p^{*} E \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\psi)$ which is surjective by Lemma 5 . To see $\operatorname{ker}(h)$ is torsion, let $W_{0}$ be a nonempty affine open subset of $\tilde{X}$ such that $W_{0} \cap\left|e_{i}\right|=\varnothing$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Take sections over $W_{0}$ to obtain a diagram of modules with exact rows, where $f\left(W_{0}\right)$ is a module isomorphism


By Lemma 5, $h\left(W_{0}\right)$ is an isomorphism. $\operatorname{ker}(h)$ is torsion since it is supported on a proper closed subset of $\tilde{X}$. Thus there is an exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(h) \rightarrow p^{*} E \xrightarrow{h} \operatorname{coker}(\psi) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{ker}(h)$ is torsion, applying $\operatorname{Hom} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\left(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)$ gives

$$
0 \rightarrow(\operatorname{coker}(\psi))^{*} \rightarrow\left(p^{*} E\right)^{*} \rightarrow(\operatorname{ker}(h))^{*}=0
$$

$\operatorname{coker}(\psi) \cong(\operatorname{coker}(\psi))^{* *}$ since coker $(\psi)$ is a vector bundle so applying $\operatorname{Hom} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\left(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}\right)$ again gives the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 1. The theorem follows from Lemma 3 and the Whitney sum formula applied to the following short exact sequence from Lemma 5:

$$
0 \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\psi} p^{*} F_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\psi) \rightarrow 0 .
$$
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