

EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF STAGE-STRUCTURED NONAUTONOMOUS COOPERATIVE SYSTEM WITH DELAY

XIN MIN WU*

Department of Mathematics
Shoayang University
Shaoyang, Hunan 422000
P. R. China
e-mail: wxm6138@163.com

Abstract

By using Mawhin continuation theory of coincidence degree theory, we derive the existence of periodic solutions of stage-structured nonautonomous cooperative system with delay.

1. Introduction

In a natural world, there exist many individuals of species which experience two stages in the lifetime, i.e., immature stage and mature stage, for example, animal and amphibian. Therefore, to make the models more practical, species are usually considered by dividing the individuals into two stages. Recently, there exist many papers [1, 4] in the literature which investigate some stage-structured predator-prey systems, however, the papers which investigate stage-structured cooperative systems are scarce. In this paper, we study stage-structured nonautonomous

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 92D25, 34C25, 34K15.

Keywords and phrases: periodic, stage-structure, topological degree theory, time delay.

*This subject is supported by Science Foundation of Hunan Education Department.

Received March 23, 2006

cooperative system of two species. Consider the following model:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}_1(t) = -a_1(t)x_1(t) + b_1(t)x_2(t) - \beta_1(t)x_1(t)x_3(t), \\ \dot{X}_2(t) = a_2(t)x_1(t) - b_2(t)x_2(t) - C(t)x_2^2(t), \\ \dot{X}_3(t) = x_3(t)(-d(t) - e(t)x_3(t)) + \beta_2(t)x_1(t-T)x_3(t-T). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ are immature and mature population densities of prey species respectively, and $x_3(t)$ represents the population density of predator species. In our this model, the predator species can just prey on immature individuals of prey species, and this is natural because the mature individuals have developed some protective instinct. All coefficients $a_i(t)$, $b_i(t)$, $\beta_i(t)$; ($i = 1, 2$), $c(t)$, $d(t)$, $e(t)$ are continuous functions. $T > 0$ is digest delay time.

2. The Existence of a Positive Periodic Solution

In this section, based on Mawhin's continuation theorem, we shall show the existence of at least one positive periodic solution of system (1.1) to do so, we need to make some preparations.

Let X and Y be real Banach spaces, let $L : \text{Dom} L \subset Z \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero, and let $P : X \rightarrow X$, $Q : y \rightarrow y$ be continuous projectors such that $\text{Im } P = \text{Ker} L$, $\text{Ker} Q = \text{Im } L$, and $X = \text{Ker} L \oplus \text{Ker} P$, $y = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } Q$. Denote by L_P the restriction of L to $\text{Dom} L \cap \text{Ker} P$, $K_P : \text{Im } L \rightarrow \text{Ker} P \cap \text{Dom} L$ the inverse (to L_P), and $T : \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker} L$ an isomorphism of $\text{Im } Q$ onto $\text{Ker} L$.

For convenience, we introduce Mawhin's continuation theorem [2, p. 40] as follows.

Lemma 1. *Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and let $N : X \rightarrow y$ be a continuous operator which is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ (i.e., $QN : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow y$ and $K_P(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow y$ are compact). Assume the following:*

- (i) *For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Dom} L$, $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$.*

(ii) For each $x \in 2\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L$, $QNx \neq 0$.

(iii) $\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega} \cap \text{Dom}L$. In what follows, we use the following notation:

$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w f(t) dt, \quad f^l = \min_{t \in [0, w]} |f(t)|, \quad f^M = \max_{t \in [0, w]} |f(t)|,$$

where f is a periodic continuous function with period $w > 0$.

(H_1) All the coefficients in system (1.1) are positive continuous w -periodic functions.

Now we state our fundamental theorem about the existence of a positive w -periodic solution of system (1.1).

Theorem. In addition to assumption (H_1), we assume the following:

$$(H_2) \quad b_2^l \beta_2^l \left[\frac{a_2^l b_2^l}{\alpha_1^M + \beta_1^M \left(\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \bullet \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \right) \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2} - b_2^M \right] > C^M d^M \left[\alpha_1^M + \beta_1^M \left(\frac{\beta_2^M a_2^M}{e^l c^l} \right) \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right].$$

Then system (1.1) has at least one positive w -periodic solution.

Proof. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = -\alpha_1(t) + b_1(t)e^{u_2(t)-u_1(t)} - \beta_1(t)e^{u_3(t)}, \\ u_2'(t) = \alpha_2(t)e^{u_1(t)-u_2(t)} - b_2(t) - c(t)e^{u_2(t)}, \\ u_3'(t) = -d(t) - e(t)e^{u_3(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{u_1(t-T)+u_3(t-T)-u_3(t)}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where all parameters are the same as those in system (1.1). It is easy to see that if system (2.1) has an w -periodic solution $(u_1^*(t), u_2^*(t), u_3^*(t))^T$,

then $(e^{u_1^*}, e^{u_2^*}, e^{u_3^*})^T$ is a positive w -periodic solution of system (1.1). Therefore, for system (1.1) to have at least one positive w -periodic solution, it is sufficient that (2.1) has at least one w -periodic solution. To apply lemma to system (2.1), we first define

$$X = Z = \{u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t))^T \in (R, R^3), u(t+w) = u(t)\}$$

and

$$\|u\| = \|(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t))^T\| = \sum_{i=1}^3 \max_{t \in [0, w]} |u_i(t)|$$

for any $u \in X$ (or z). Then X and Z are Banach spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let

$$Nu = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1(t) + b_1(t)e^{u_2(t)-u_1(t)} - \beta_1(t)e^{u_3(t)} \\ a_2(t)e^{u_1(t)-u_2(t)} - b_2(t) - c(t)e^{u_2(t)} \\ -d(t) - e(t)e^{u_3(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{u_1(t-T)+u_3(t-T)-u_3(t)} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Lu = u' = \frac{du(t)}{dt}, \quad pu = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w u(t) dt, \quad u \in X,$$

$$Q_Z = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w Z(t) dt, \quad z \in Z.$$

Then it follows that $\text{Ker} L = R^3$, $\text{Im} L = \left\{ z \in Z : \int_0^w Z(t) dt = 0 \right\}$ is closed in Z , $\dim \text{Ker} L = 3 = \text{codim Im } L$ and P, Q are continuous projectors such that

$$\text{Im } P = \text{Ker} L, \quad \text{Ker} Q = \text{Im } L = \text{Im}(I - Q).$$

Therefore, L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to L) $Kp : \text{Im } L \rightarrow \text{Ker} P \cap \text{Dom } L$ is given by

$$Kp(z) = \int_0^t Z(s) ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t z(s) ds dt.$$

Thus

$$QN u = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w F_1(s) ds \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w F_2(s) ds \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w F_3(s) ds \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Kp(I - Q)Nu = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t F_1(s) ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t F_1(s) ds dt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{w}\right) \int_0^w F_1(s) ds \\ \int_0^t F_2(s) ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t F_2(s) ds dt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{w}\right) \int_0^w F_2(s) ds \\ \int_0^t F_3(s) ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t F_3(s) ds dt + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{w}\right) \int_0^w F_3(s) ds \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$F_1(s) = -a_1(s) + b_1(s)e^{u_2(s)-u_1(s)} - \beta_2(s)e^{u_3(s)},$$

$$F_2(s) = a_2(s)e^{u_1(s)-u_2(s)} - b_2(s) - c(s)e^{u_2(s)}$$

and

$$F_3(s) = -d(s) - e(s)e^{u_3(s)} + \beta_2(s)e^{u_1(s-T)+u_3(s-T)-u_3(s)}.$$

Obviously, QN and $Kp(I - Q)N$ are continuous. It is not difficult to show that $Kp(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$ is compact for any open bounded $\Omega \subset X$ by using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Moreover, $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is clearly bounded. Thus, N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ with any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$.

Now we reach the point where we search for an appropriate open bounded subset Ω for the application of the theorem. Corresponding to the operator equation $Lx = \lambda Nx$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_1'(t) = \lambda [-a_1(t) + b_1(t)e^{u_2(t)-u_1(t)} - \beta_1(t)e^{u_3(t)}], \\ u_2'(t) = \lambda [a_2(t)e^{u_1(t)-u_2(t)} - b_2(t) - c(t)e^{u_2(t)}], \\ u_3'(t) = \lambda [-d(t) - e(t)e^{u_3(t)} + \beta_2(t)e^{u_1(t-T)+u_3(t-T)-u_3(t)}]. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Assume that $u = u(t) \in X$ is a solution of system (2.2) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Because of $(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t))^T \in X$, there are $\xi_i, \eta_i \in [0, w]$ such that

$$u_i(\xi_i) = \max_{t \in [0, w]} u_i(t), \quad u_i(\eta_i) = \min_{t \in [0, w]} u_i(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is clear that

$$u'_i(\xi_i) = 0, \quad u'_i(\eta_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From this and system (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -\alpha_1(\xi_1) + b_2(\xi_1)e^{u_2(\xi_1)-u_1(\xi_1)} - \beta_1(\xi_1)e^{u_3(\xi_1)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} a_2(\xi_2)e^{u_1(\xi_2)-u_2(\xi_2)} - b_2(\xi_2) - c(\xi_2)e^{u_2(\xi_2)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} -d(\xi_3) - e(\xi_3)e^{u_3(\xi_3)} + \beta_2(\xi_3)e^{u_1(\xi_3-T)}e^{u_3(\xi_3-T)}e^{-u_3(\xi_3)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$-\alpha_1(\eta_1) + b_2(\eta_1)e^{u_2(\eta_1)-u_1(\eta_1)} - \beta_1(\eta_1)e^{u_3(\eta_1)} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$a_2(\eta_2)e^{u_1(\eta_2)-u_2(\eta_2)} - b_2(\eta_2) - c(\eta_2)e^{u_2(\eta_2)} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

$$-d(\eta_3) - e(\eta_3)e^{u_3(\eta_3)} + \beta_2(\eta_3)e^{u_1(\eta_3-T)+u_3(\eta_3-T)-u_3(\eta_3)} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.3), we get

$$b_2(\xi_1)e^{u_2(\xi_1)} - \alpha_1(\xi_1)e^{u_1(\xi_1)} = \beta_1(\xi_1)e^{u_3(\xi_1)} > 0,$$

that is,

$$a_1^l e^{u_1(\xi_1)} \leq b_2(\xi_1)e^{u_2(\xi_1)} \leq b_2^M e^{u_2(\xi_2)}.$$

Hence

$$e^{u_1(\xi_1)} \leq \frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} e^{u_2(\xi_2)}. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.3) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(\xi_2)e^{2u_2(\xi_2)} &= a_2(\xi_2)e^{u_1(\xi_2)} - b_2(\xi_2)e^{u_2(\xi_2)} \\ &\leq a_2(\xi_2)e^{u_1(\xi_1)} < \frac{a_2^M b_2^M}{a_1^l} e^{u_2(\xi_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$e^{u_2(\xi_2)} \leq \frac{a_2^M b_2^M}{c^l a_1^l} \stackrel{def}{=} d_2. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$e^{u_1(\xi_1)} \leq \frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} e^{u_2(\xi_2)} \leq \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \stackrel{def}{=} d_1. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^l e^{2u_3(\xi_3)} &\leq e(\xi_3)e^{2u_3(\xi_3)} = \beta_2(\xi_2)e^{u_1(\xi_3-T)+u_3(\xi_3-T)} - d(\xi_3)e^{u_3(\xi_3)} \\ &\leq \beta_2^M e^{u_1(\xi_1)+u_3(\xi_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.9) implies

$$e^{u_3(\xi_3)} \leq \frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} e^{u_1(\xi_1)} \leq \frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \stackrel{def}{=} d_3. \quad (2.12)$$

Multiplying (2.5)-(2.7) by $e^{u_i(t)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) gives

$$-a_1(\eta_1)e^{u_1(\eta_1)} + b_2(\eta_1)e^{u_2(\eta_1)} - \beta_1(\eta_1)e^{u_3(\eta_1)} = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$a_2(\eta_2)e^{u_1(\eta_1)} - b_2(\eta_2)e^{u_2(\eta_2)} - c(\eta_2)e^{2u_2(\eta_2)} = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

$$-d(\eta_3)e^{u_3(\eta_3)} - e(\eta_3)e^{2u_3(\eta_3)} + \beta_2(\eta_3)e^{u_1(\eta_3-T)+u_3(\eta_3-T)} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Equation (2.13) implies that

$$c^M e^{2u_2(\eta_2)} + b_2^M e^{u_2(\eta_2)} > a_2^l e^{u_1(\eta_2)} > a_2^l e^{u_1(\eta_1)}.$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_2^l e^{u_2(\eta_2)} &< b_2(\eta_1) e^{u_2(\eta_1)} = a_1(\eta_1) e^{u_1(\eta_1) + \beta_1(\eta_1)} e^{u_3(\eta_1) + u_1(\eta_1)} \\ &< a_1^M e^{u_1(\eta_1)} + \beta_1^M e^{u_3(\xi_3) + u_1(\eta_1)} \\ &\leq \left\{ a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right] \right\} e^{u_1(\eta_1)}, \\ e^{u_1(\eta_1)} &> \frac{b_2^l}{\left\{ a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right] \right\}} e^{u_2(\eta_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Substituting (2.16) into (2.15) gives

$$c^M e^{2u_2(\eta_2)} + b_2^M e^{u_2(\eta_2)} > \frac{a_2^l b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} e^{u_2(\eta_2)}.$$

Hence

$$e^{u_2(\eta_2)} > \frac{1}{c^M} \left\{ \frac{a_2^l b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} - b_2^M \right\} \stackrel{def}{=} p_2 > 0. \quad (2.17)$$

From (2.14) and (2.16), we obtain

$$e^{u_1(\eta_1)} > \frac{b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} e^{u_2(\eta_2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \frac{b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} \\
&\cdot \frac{1}{c^M} \left\{ \frac{a_2^l b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} - b_2^M \right\} \stackrel{def}{=} p_1 > 0. \quad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_2^l e^{u_1(\eta_1) + u_3(\eta_3)} &\leq \beta_2(\eta_3) e^{u_1(\eta_3 - T) + u_3(\eta_3 - T)} = d(\eta_3) e^{u_3(\eta_3)} + e(\eta_3) e^{2u_3(\eta_3)} \\
&\leq d^M e^{u_3(\eta_3)} + e^M e^{2u_3(\eta_3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{u_3(\eta_3)} &> \frac{\beta_2^l}{e^M} e^{u_1(\eta_1)} - \frac{d^M}{e^M} \\
&\geq \frac{b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} \\
&\cdot \frac{\beta_2^l}{c^M \cdot e^M} \left\{ \frac{a_2^l b_2^l}{a_1^M + \beta_1^M \left[\frac{\beta_2^M}{e^l} \cdot \frac{a_2^M}{c^l} \left(\frac{b_2^M}{a_1^l} \right)^2 \right]} - b_2^M \right\} - \frac{d^M}{e^M} \\
&\stackrel{def}{=} p_3 > 0. \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.9)-(2.11) and (2.17)-(2.19), we get

$$|u_1(t)| \leq \max\{|\ln d_1|, |\ln p_1|\} \stackrel{def}{=} R_1$$

$$|u_2(t)| \leq \max\{|\ln d_2|, |\ln p_2|\} \stackrel{def}{=} R_2$$

$$|u_3(t)| \leq \max\{|\ln d_3|, |\ln p_3|\} \stackrel{def}{=} R_3.$$

Clearly, R_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are independent of λ . Denote $M = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_o$; here, R_o is sufficiently large such that each solution $(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*)^T$ meets the following system:

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 e^{\beta-\alpha} - \bar{\beta}_1 e^\gamma = 0 \\ \bar{a}_2 e^{\alpha-\beta} - \bar{b}_2 - \bar{c} e^\beta = 0 \\ -\bar{d} - \bar{e} e^\gamma + \bar{\beta}_2^\alpha = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

satisfies $\|(\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*)^T\| = |\alpha^*| + |\beta^*| + |\gamma^*| < M$, provided that system (2.2) has a solution or a number of solutions. Now we take $\Omega = \{(u_1(t), u_2(t), u_3(t))^T \in X : \|(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T\| < M\}$. This satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 1 when $(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L = \partial\Omega \cap R^3$, $(u_1, u_1, u_3)^T$ is a constant vector in R^3 with $|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3| = M$. If system (2.20) has a solution or a number of solutions, then

$$QN \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_1 e^{u_2-u_1} - \bar{\beta}_1 e^{u_3} \\ \bar{a}_2 e^{u_1-u_2} - \bar{b}_2 - \bar{c} e^{u_2} \\ -\bar{d} - \bar{e} e^{u_3} + \bar{\beta}_2 e^{u_1} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This proves that condition (ii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. Finally, we will prove that condition (iii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. To this end, we define $\phi : \text{Dom}L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by

$$\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_2 e^{u_2-u_1} \\ \bar{a}_2 e^{u_1-u_2} - \bar{c} e^{u_2} \\ -\bar{e} e^{u_3} + \bar{\beta}_2 e^{u_1} \end{bmatrix} + \mu \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{\beta}_1 e^{u_3} \\ -\bar{b}_2 \\ -\bar{d} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mu \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter. When $(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L = \partial\Omega \cap R^3$, $(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ is a constant vector in R^3 with $|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3| = M$. We will show that when $(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L$, $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \mu) \neq 0$, if the conclusion is not true, then constant vector $(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ with $|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3| = M$ satisfies $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \mu) = 0$. From

$$-\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_2 e^{u_2 - u_1} + \mu(-\bar{\beta}_1 e^{u_3}) = 0$$

$$\bar{a}_2 e^{u_1 - u_2} - \bar{c} e^{u_2} + \mu(-\bar{b}_{21}) = 0$$

$$-\bar{e}_1 e^{u_3} + \bar{\beta}_2 e^{u_1} + \mu(-\bar{d}) = 0$$

and following the argument of (2.9)-(2.11), we obtain

$$|u_i| < \max\{|\ln d_i|, |\ln p_i|\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 |u_i| < \sum_{i=1}^3 \max\{|\ln d_i|, |\ln p_i|\} < M,$$

which contradicts the fact that $|u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3| = M$. Therefore, according to topological degree theory, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(JQN(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg(\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg(\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \\ &= \deg((- \bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_2 e^{u_2 - u_1}, \bar{a}_2 e^{u_1 - u_2} - \bar{c} e^{u_2}, \\ & \quad - \bar{e}_1 e^{u_3} + \bar{\beta}_2 e^{u_1})^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, (0, 0, 0)^T). \end{aligned}$$

Because of condition (i), the system of algebraic equations

$$\begin{cases} -\bar{a}_1 + \frac{\bar{b}_2 y}{x} = 0 \\ \frac{\bar{a}_2 x}{y} - \bar{c}y = 0 \\ -\bar{e}z + \bar{\beta}_2 x = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $(x^*, y^*, z^*)^T$ which satisfies $x^* > 0$, $y^* > 0$, and $z^* > 0$, and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg((-\bar{a}_1 + \bar{b}_2 e^{u_2 - u_1}, \bar{a}_2 e^{u_1 - u_2} - \bar{c}e^{u_2}, \\ & \quad -\bar{e}e^{u_3} + \bar{\beta}_2 e^{u_1})^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \\ & \text{sign} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{-\bar{b}_2 y^*}{(x^*)^2}, & \frac{\bar{b}_2}{x^*}, & 0 \\ \frac{\bar{a}_2}{y^*}, & \frac{\bar{a}_2 x^*}{-(y^*)^2} - \bar{c}, & 0 \\ \bar{\beta}_2, & 0, & \bar{e}z^* \end{vmatrix} = \text{sign} \left[-\bar{e}\bar{c}\bar{b}_2 \frac{z^* y^*}{(x^*)^2} \right] = -1 \end{aligned}$$

consequently,

$$\deg(JQN(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \neq 0.$$

This shows that condition (iii) of Lemma 1 is satisfied. By now Ω verifies all the requirements of Lemma 1 and then system (2.2) has at least one ω -periodic solution. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] W. G. Aiello and H. I. Freedman, A time-delay model of single-species growth with stage structure, *Math. Biosci.* 101(2) (1990), 139-152.
- [2] R. E. Gaixes and J. L. Mawhin, *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [3] D. Mukherjee and A. B. Roy, Uniform persistence and global attractivity theorem for generalized prey-predator system with time delay, *J. Nonlinear Anal., TMA* 38 (1999), 59-74.

- [4] W. Wang and L. Chen, A predator-prey system with stage-structure for predator, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 33(11) (1997), 83-91.
- [5] Zhengqiu Zhang, Periodic solutions of a predator-prey system with stage-structures for predator and prey, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 302(2) (2005), 291-305.
- [6] Zhengqiu Zhang and Zhicheng Wang, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 137 (2004), 475-485.
- [7] Zhengqiu Zhang and Shanwu Zeng, Periodic solution of a nonautonomous stage-structured single species model with diffusion, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 63(2) (2005), 277-289.

