

## SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF RATIO OF SCALE PARAMETERS IN EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTIONS

**Z. GOVINDARAJULU**

Department of Statistics  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, KY 40506, U. S. A.

### Abstract

Fixed-width confidence intervals for the ratio of scale parameters in exponential distributions are considered. The first-order asymptotic results of the sequential procedure are established. An application to estimating certain reliability is provided.

### 1. The Formulation of the Problem

Let  $(X_i, Y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  be a random sample from the bivariate density  $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ , where

$$f_1(x) = (\mu\theta)^{-1} \exp(-x/\mu\theta)$$

and

$$f_2(y) = \mu^{-1} \exp(-y/\mu).$$

We are interested in estimating  $\theta$  by  $\hat{\theta}_n$  such that

$$P(\theta \in (\hat{\theta}_n \pm d)) \geq 1 - \alpha, \quad (1.1)$$

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 62L12; Secondary 62E20.

Key words and phrases: fixed-width confidence interval, ratio of scale parameters.

Communicated by Hideo Hirose

Received September 25, 2004

© 2005 Pushpa Publishing House

where  $d$  and  $\alpha$  are the specified constants. One can easily obtain the likelihood equations for  $\theta$  and  $\mu$  to be

$$\mu\theta = \bar{X}_n$$

and

$$2\mu = \frac{\bar{X}_n}{\theta} + \bar{Y}_n, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\bar{X}_n$  and  $\bar{Y}_n$  denote the sample means of the  $X$  and  $Y$  samples. Thus,

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\theta} = \bar{X}_n / \bar{Y}_n. \quad (1.3)$$

Further, the information matrix is given by

$$I(\theta, \mu) = n \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{-2} & (\theta\mu)^{-1} \\ (\theta\mu)^{-1} & 2\mu^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

from which we obtain the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ , namely  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2$  as

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 = 2\theta^2/n. \quad (1.4)$$

From the large-sample properties of the maximum likelihood estimates, we have

$$(\hat{\theta} - \theta)/\sigma_{\hat{\theta}} \stackrel{d}{\approx} \text{normal}(0, 1). \quad (1.5)$$

For sufficiently large  $n$ , (1.1) implies that

$$\frac{d^2}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2} \geq z_{\alpha/2}^2$$

or

$$n \geq 2\theta^2 z^2 / d^2 = n^*, \quad (\text{say})$$

where  $z = z_{\alpha/2}$  denotes the upper  $100(1 - \alpha/2)$ th percentile of the standard normal distribution. However,  $n^*$  involves the unknown parameter  $\theta$ . Hence, we resort to the following adaptive sequential rule: sample pairs

$(X_i, Y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots$  sequentially and stop at  $N$ , where

$$N = \inf \left\{ n \geq m : n \geq \frac{2z^2}{d^2} \hat{\theta}_n^2 \right\}, \quad (1.6)$$

and  $m$  denotes the initial sample size.

## 2. Properties of the Sequential Procedure

**Property 1.** The sequential procedure has a finite termination with probability one.

**Proof.** Let  $b = 2z^2/d^2$  and consider

$$P(N = \infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(N > n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n < b\hat{\theta}_n^2) = 0$$

since  $\hat{\theta}_n$  converges to  $\theta$  in probability.

**Property 2.**

$$N \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s.}, EN \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } d \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (N/n^*) = 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $Z_n = (\hat{\theta}_n/\theta)^2$ ,  $f(n) = n$  and  $t = b\theta^2$ . Then we can rewrite the stopping time as

$$N = N(t) = \inf \{ n \geq m : Z_n \leq f(n)/t \}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus  $Z_n$  is a sequence of random variables such that  $Z_n > 0$  and  $\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} Z_n = 1$  a.s. due to the fact that  $\hat{\theta}_n/\theta \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $N$  is well-defined and non-decreasing as a function of  $t$  and one can easily verify that

$$N \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. and } EN \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, for  $N > 1$ , (proceedings as in Chow and Robbins [2]), we have

$$N \geq b\hat{\theta}_N^2 \text{ and } N - 1 < b\hat{\theta}_{N-1}^2$$

from which we obtain

$$b\hat{\theta}_N^2 \leq N \leq 1 + b\hat{\theta}_{N-1}^2$$

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_N^2}{\theta^2} \leq \frac{N}{n^*} \leq \frac{1}{n^*} + \frac{\hat{\theta}_{N-1}^2}{\theta^2}.$$

By taking limits on both sides of the preceding inequality as  $d \rightarrow 0$  (and hence,  $b \rightarrow \infty$ ), we establish the last part of (2.1).

**Property 3.** The coverage probability of the fixed-width interval tends to the nominal level,  $1 - \alpha$  as  $d \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof.** Consider

$$\hat{\theta}_N - \theta = \frac{\bar{X}_N}{\bar{Y}_N} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{\bar{X}_N - \lambda}{\bar{Y}_N} - \theta \frac{(\bar{Y}_N - \mu)}{\bar{Y}_N}. \quad (2.3)$$

Because Anscombe's [1] theorem holds for sum of i.i.d. variables, we infer that

$$n^{*1/2} (\bar{X}_N - \lambda) \overset{d}{\approx} \text{normal } (0, 1)$$

and

$$n^{*1/2} (\bar{Y}_N - \mu) \overset{d}{\approx} \text{normal } (0, 1),$$

where  $\lambda = \theta\mu$ . Thus,  $\bar{Y}_N$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability as  $d \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, we can rewrite (2.3) (after using Slutsky's theorem) as

$$\begin{aligned} n^{*1/2} (\hat{\theta}_N - \theta) &\approx \frac{n^{*1/2}}{\mu} \{(\bar{X}_N - \lambda) - \theta(\bar{Y}_N - \mu)\} \\ &\approx n^{*-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N W_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\{W_i = X_i - \lambda - \theta(Y_i - \mu)\}$  form an i.i.d. sequence. Thus applying again Anscombe's [1] theorem, we infer that

$$n^{*1/2} (\hat{\theta}_N - \theta) \approx \text{normal } (0, \text{var} W_1 = 2\theta^2). \quad (2.5)$$

Hence,

$$P(|\hat{\theta}_N - \theta| \leq d) \approx 2\Phi\left(\frac{d}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{n^*}{2}}\right) - 1 = 2\Phi(z) - 1 = 1 - \alpha. \quad (2.6)$$

Finally, in order to establish the asymptotic efficiency of the sequential procedure, namely, that  $EN/n^*$  tends to 1 as  $d$  tends to zero, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** [3]. Let  $\{Z_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive random variables and  $\{m_k, k \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $m_k$  increases with  $k$  and  $Z_k/m_k \rightarrow 1$  a.s. as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, for any  $b > 0$ , let

$$T(b) = \inf\{k \geq 1 : Z_k \geq b\}, t(b) = \inf\{k \geq 1 : m_k \geq b\} \quad (2.7)$$

and assume that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} [t(b\rho)/t(b)] = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

If for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\{Z_k < \delta m_k\} < \infty, \quad (2.9)$$

then, as  $b \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E\{T(b)/t(b)\} \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.10)$$

**Property 4.** We have

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (EN/n^*) = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

**Proof.** In Lemma 2.1, set  $Z_n = \hat{\theta}_n^2, b = 2z^2/d^2$  and it suffices to verify (2.9).

Let  $g(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda/\mu$  and expand  $g(\bar{X}_n, \bar{Y}_n)$  as

$$g(\bar{X}_n, \bar{Y}_n) = g(\lambda, \mu) + (\bar{X}_n - \lambda) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} + (\bar{Y}_n - \mu) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} + R, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = \frac{-\lambda}{\mu^2} = \frac{-\theta}{\mu}$$

and the remainder term involves higher powers of  $(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{Y}_n - \mu)$ .

Consider, for some  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(g(\bar{X}_n, \bar{Y}_n) < \delta g(\lambda, \mu)) &= P((\bar{X}_n - \lambda) - \theta(\bar{Y}_n - \mu) + \mu R < (\delta - 1)\lambda) \\ &= P(n^{1/2}\{\bar{X}_n - \lambda - \theta(\bar{Y}_n - \mu)\} + o_p(1) \leq (\delta - 1)n^{1/2}\lambda) \\ &\doteq P\left(n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i + o_p(1) \leq (\delta - 1)n^{1/2}\lambda\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $o_p(1)$  tends to zero in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider

$$\sum_1^\infty P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n}{\bar{Y}_n} > \delta\theta\right) = \sum_1^{m_o} + \sum_{m_o+1}^\infty P\left(n^{-1/2} \sum_1^n W_i / \sqrt{2}\lambda < \sqrt{n}(\delta-1)/\sqrt{2}\right),$$

where  $m_o$  is chosen large enough so that the central limit theorem holds for the sum of  $W_i$  random variables. Note that

$$EW_i = 0 \text{ and } \text{var } W_i = 2\lambda^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^\infty P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n}{\bar{Y}_n} > \delta\theta\right) &= m_o + \sum_{m_o+1}^\infty \Phi\left(-(1-\delta)\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq m_o + \int_{m_o}^\infty \Phi\left(-(1-\delta)\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}\right) dx \\ &\leq m_o + \int_{m_o}^\infty \frac{\phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}(1-\delta)\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}(1-\delta)} dx \\ &\leq m_o + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{m_o}{2}}(1-\delta)} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\delta)^2 m_o}}{\frac{1}{4}(1-\delta)^2 \sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= m_o + \frac{4}{\sqrt{m_o\pi}} (1-\delta)^{-3} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(1-\delta)^2 m_o} \end{aligned}$$

which is finite. This completes the proof of Property 4.

### 3. An Application

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent having exponential distributions with scale parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. Suppose we are interested in estimating

$$p = P(X < Y) = 1 - \lambda(\lambda + \mu)^{-1} = \mu(\lambda + \mu)^{-1} = (1 + \theta)^{-1}.$$

Estimating  $\theta$  with a fixed-width confidence interval having width  $2d$  (when  $d$  is small) is equivalent to estimating  $p$  with width  $2p^2d$  with confidence at least  $1 - \alpha$  because

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &= P(\theta - d \leq \hat{\theta}_N \leq \theta + d) \\ &= P(1 + \theta - d \leq 1 + \hat{\theta}_N \leq 1 + \theta + d) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{p} - d \leq \frac{1}{\hat{p}_N} \leq \frac{1}{p} + d\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{p}{1 + pd} \leq \hat{p}_N \leq \frac{p}{1 - pd}\right) \\ &\doteq P(p(1 - pd) \leq \hat{p}_N \leq p(1 + pd)) \\ &= P(|\hat{p}_N - p| \leq p^2d). \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, if we are estimating  $p$  with a fixed width confidence interval having  $2d$  is equivalent to estimating  $\theta$  with width  $2d(1 + \theta)^2$  with confidence at least  $1 - \alpha$  for the following reason:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &= P(p - d \leq \hat{p}_N \leq p + d) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{p + d} \leq \frac{1}{\hat{p}_N} \leq \frac{1}{p - d}\right) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{d}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq 1 + \hat{\theta}_N \leq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{d}{p}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ &\doteq P\left(\frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{d}{p}\right) \leq 1 + \hat{\theta}_N \leq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 + \frac{d}{p}\right)\right) \\ &= P(1 + \theta - d(1 + \theta)^2 \leq 1 + \hat{\theta}_N \leq 1 + \theta + d(1 + \theta)^2) \\ &= P(|\hat{\theta}_N - \theta| \leq d(1 + \theta)^2). \end{aligned}$$

**References**

- [1] F. J. Anscombe, Large sample theory of sequential estimation, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 48 (1952), 600-607.
- [2] Y. S. Chow and H. Robbins, On the asymptotic theory of fixed-width sequential confidence intervals for the mean, Ann. Math. Statist. 36 (1965), 457-462.
- [3] A. Dmitrienko, Sequential methods in linear and generalized linear models, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Kentucky, 1998.

