



INJECTIVITY OF CELLULAR AUTOMATA

Hiroyuki Ishibashi

Chuou 2-3-8, Moroyama

Iruma, Saitama, Japan

Abstract

Our purpose is to analyze and prove as strictly and clearly as possible that cellular automata \mathcal{A} 's of finite type with a quiescent state q are injective if and only if either \mathcal{A} contains two mutually erasable configurations c_1, c_2 in Moore [2] or two not distinguished configurations d_1, d_2 in Myhill [4].

1. Preliminaries

A cellular automaton is defined as a quadruple $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbb{Z}^2, S, N, f\}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ is the cell set for $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ the rational integers, $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t\}$ is the set of states, $N : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is the neighborhood function defined by for each $i = (i_1, i_2)$ in \mathbb{Z}^2 by

$$N(i) = \{j = (j_1, j_2) \mid |i_\lambda - j_\lambda| \leq 1 \text{ for } \lambda = 1, 2\},$$

$$\text{in particular } |N(i)| = 9,$$

and $f : S^9 \rightarrow S$ is a map, we call f the *local map*.

Received: October 3, 2017; Accepted: November 11, 2017

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37B15, 68Q80.

Keywords and phrases: cellular automaton, tessellation space, global map of configurations, mutually erasable, Garden of Eden.

We define the set C of configurations by the set of mapping \mathbb{Z}^2 to S , i.e.,

$$C = S^{\mathbb{Z}^2} = \{c = (\dots, c(i), \dots) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^2, c(i) \in S\},$$

where c is called a *configuration* on \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Since $|N(i)| = 9$, if we give $N(i)$ an arbitrary linear order and let $c(N(i))$, a subconfiguration of c on $N(i)$, endow with the induced ordering of $N(i)$, we may apply f to $c(N(i))$ to get the global map

$$F : C \rightarrow C$$

defined by

$$F(c)(i) = f(c(N(i))) \text{ for } c \in C \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

For Δ_n an $n \times n$ -square subset of \mathbb{Z}^2 we define C_n the set of $n \times n$ -configurations by

$$C_n = \{c|_{\Delta_n} \mid c \in C\}.$$

Since F is homogeneous, that is, it commutes with any parallel transition of \mathbb{Z}^2 , our definition of C_n does not depend essentially on the choice of Δ_n in \mathbb{Z}^2 . Further for $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ -square subset $\Delta_{(n+2)}$ of \mathbb{Z}^2 obtained by extending Δ_n one cell on four sides of Δ_n , we get

$$C_{n+2} = \{c|_{\Delta_{n+2}} \mid c \in C\}.$$

Then, we can define

$$C_{n+2} \setminus C_n = \{c|_{\Delta_{n+2} \setminus \Delta_n} \mid c \in C\},$$

the set of square frames of sides $n+2$. We call $e \in C_{n+2} \setminus C_n$ an *edge* or a *frame* of $c_n = c|_{\Delta_n}$ or C_n .

For any c in C and any c_n in C_n ,

$$E = c \setminus c_n$$

is called an *environment* of c_n and we denote their relationship by

$$c = c_n \vee E.$$

For e with $E = e \vee E'$ and $c_n = (\dots, c_n(i), \dots)$ in C_n , we observe that

$$c_n(N(i)) \subseteq c_n \vee e,$$

which enable us to define a map

$$F_{n,e} : C_n \rightarrow C_n$$

$$c_n = (\dots, c_n(i), \dots) \mapsto c'_n = (\dots, c'_n(i), \dots)$$

with

$$c'_n(i) = f(c_n(N(i))).$$

A state q in S is said to be *quiescent* if

$$f(\underbrace{q, q, \dots, q}_9) = q.$$

A configuration c in C is said to be a *finite type* if for some c_n in C_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists E an environment of c_n of which states are all q and

$$c = c_n \vee E.$$

Throughout this paper we assume that S contains q and any c in C is a finite type. Thus, we understand C denotes the set of configurations of finite type.

2. Statement of the Theorem

Definition. n -mutually erasable:

For n in \mathbb{N} two configurations d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} with $d_1 \neq d_2$ are said to be *n -mutually erasable* if there exist

$$d' \text{ in } C_{n-4}, \quad g, g' \text{ in } C_{n-2} \setminus C_{n-4} \text{ and } h \text{ in } C_n \setminus C_{n-2}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_{n-2} \ni d_1 \vee g & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,h}} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 C_{n-2} \ni d_2 \vee g & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,h}} & d' \vee g' \in C_{n-2}.
 \end{array}$$

Remark. (a) Let d_1, d_2 be n -mutually erasable. Then, for any l in $C_{n+2} \setminus C_n$, there exists h' in $C_n \setminus C_{n-2}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_n \ni c_1 = d_1 \vee g \vee h & \xrightarrow{F_{n,l}} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 C_n \ni c_2 = d_2 \vee g \vee h & \xrightarrow{F_{n,l}} & d' \vee g' \vee h' \in C_n.
 \end{array}$$

(b) Note that $d'_1 = d_1 \vee g$ and $d'_2 = d_2 \vee g$ are also $(n+2)$ -mutually erasable by taking h, l for g, h and thus this procedure can be continued to get their extensions \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 in C .

Definition. n -not distinguished:

Two configurations d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} with $d_1 \neq d_2$ are said to be *n -not distinguished* if there exist

- (1) E an environment of C_{n-4} , and
- (2) c' in C

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C \ni c_1 = d_1 \vee E & \xrightarrow{F} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 C \ni c_2 = d_2 \vee E & \xrightarrow{F} & c' \in C.
 \end{array}$$

Now we state our theorem.

Theorem. *The following (I), (I_n) and (I'_n) are equivalent:*

(I) *F is not injective.*

(I_n) *There are n -mutually erasable configurations d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} for some n in \mathbb{N} .*

(I'_n) *There are n -not distinguished configurations d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} for some n in \mathbb{N} .*

3. Proof for the Theorem

Using the tools prepared in the previous section we now prove our theorem, which will be done in each step of (a) (I) to (I'_n) , (b) (I'_n) to (I_n) , and (c) (I_n) to (I).

For (a). By (I) we have c_1, c_2 in C such that $c_1 \neq c_2$ and $F(c_1) = F(c_2)$. Further, since c_1, c_2 are finite type, there are d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} for some n in \mathbb{N} such that for some E an environment of C_{n-4} of which states are all q we have

$$c_i = d_i \vee E, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $d_1 \neq d_2$, since $c_1 \neq c_2$. Thus (I'_n) holds.

For (b). By (I'_n) we have d_1, d_2 in C_{n-4} with $d_1 \neq d_2$ and E an environment of C_{n-4} such that

$$F(d_1 \vee E) = F(d_2 \vee E).$$

Then, expressing E as

$$E = g \vee h \vee E'$$

for g in $C_{n-2} \setminus C_{n-4}$, h in $C_n \setminus C_{n-2}$, and E' an environment of C_n , we have d' in C_{n-4} and g' in $C_{n-2} \setminus C_{n-4}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 d_1 \vee g & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,h}} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & & d' \vee g', \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 d_2 \vee g & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,h}} &
 \end{array}$$

which is (I_n) .

For (c). By (I_n) we have d_1, d_2, d' in C_{n-4} with $d_1 \neq d_2, g_0, g'_0$ in $C_{n-2} \setminus C_{n-4}$ and g_1 in $C_n \setminus C_{n-2}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 d_1 \vee g_0 & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,g_1}} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & & d' \vee g'_0, \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 d_2 \vee g_0 & \xrightarrow{F_{n-2,g_1}} &
 \end{array}$$

Further by (a) of Remark in Section 2, for any g_2 in $C_{n+2} \setminus C_n$ there exists g'_1 in $C_n \setminus C_{n-2}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 d_1 \vee g_0 \vee g_1 & \xrightarrow{F_{n,g_2}} & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & & d' \vee g'_0 \vee g'_1, \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 d_2 \vee g_0 \vee g_1 & \xrightarrow{F_{n,g_2}} &
 \end{array}$$

Here, since g_2 is arbitrarily chosen, we may repeat this method to get g_3, g_4, \dots . Thus, if we choose E an arbitrary environment of C_n and set

$$c_i = d_i \vee g_0 \vee g_1 \vee E, \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$F(c_1) = F(c_2).$$

Since $d_1 \neq d_2$, we see $c_1 \neq c_2$. Thus (I) holds and we have completed our proof for the theorem.

References

- [1] B. Durand, Global properties of cellular automata, Cellular Automata Complex Systems, E. Goles and S. Martinez, eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999, pp. 1-22.
- [2] Jarkko Kari, Preface [Part 1: Special issue: Current research trends in cellular automata theory], Nat. Comput. 16(3) (2017), 365-366.
- [3] E. F. Moore, Machine models of self-reproduction, Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. 14 (1963), 17-34.
- [4] J. Myhill, The converse to Moore's Garden-of-Eden theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 685-686.
- [5] H. Nishio and T. Saito, Information dynamics of cellular automata I – An algebraic study, Fundamenta Informaticae 58(3-4) (2003), 399-420.