http://www.pphmj.com http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/MS103020299 Volume 103, Number 2, 2018, Pages 299-310

ISSN: 0972-0871

# WEAKLY p-RADICAL SUBMODULES OVER NON-COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Lamis J. M. Abu Lebda

Abu Dhabi University Abu Dhabi, U. A. E.

#### **Abstract**

Some important results were found on weakly prime submodules over non-commutative rings. In this paper, we generalize these results on weakly primary submodules over non-commutative rings. We also introduce the concept of weakly *p*-radical submodule and study some properties of primary radical of a submodule and show that for an *R*-module *M* that satisfies the ACC on weakly *p*-radical submodules, every weakly *p*-radical submodule is the weakly *p*-radical of a finitely generated submodule.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative rings with identity and are not necessarily commutative and all modules are unitary right *R*-modules. Some work has previously been conducted related to concepts of prime submodules, including the concept of weakly prime submodules over non- commutative rings introduced and studied primarily by Callialp and Farzalipour in [7].

Received: July 23, 2017; Revised: September 24, 2017; Accepted: October 21, 2017 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C05, 13C13.

Keywords and phrases: primary submodule over non-commutative rings, weakly primary radical submodule over non-commutative rings, primary radical of a submodule over non-commutative ring.

In particular, we refer to a number of papers concerning prime submodules which have been studied by various authors, see, for example [9, 11, 12]. Moreover, weakly prime ideals in a commutative ring with nonzero identity have been introduced and studied by Anderson and Smith in [2]. The structure of weakly primary ideals in a commutative ring has been studied by Atani and Farzalipour in [5]. The structure of weakly prime ideals over non-commutative rings has been studied by Hirano et al. [10].

The study of prime submodules is extended in many ways, such as weakly prime submodules, primary submodules, graded prime submodules, and *n*-absorbing submodules, see [5, 8, 13, 14]. The motivation of this paper is to continue the study of the family of primary submodules, and also to extend the results of Atani and Farzalipour [5] and Smith [14] to the weakly primary submodules over non-commutative rings. In fact, a number of results concerning weakly primary submodules over non-commutative rings are also mentioned.

In Section 2, we introduce the definition of the weakly primary submodule. A proper submodule N of an R-module M is called a *weakly primary submodule* of M if whenever  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $rRm \subseteq N$ , then either  $m \in M$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

We give some results about the weakly primary submodule and provide a characterization of weakly primary submodule as: a proper submodule N of an K-module M is weakly primary submodule of M if and only if for any ideal K of K and for any submodule K of K with K if K either K is a weakly primary submodule K of K with K is a weakly primary submodule of K of K is a weakly primary submo

The concepts of primary radical of a submodule and the p-radical submodule over commutative rings have been introduced and studied by

Abulebda in [3]. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of weakly p-radical submodule over non-commutative rings as follows: let N be a submodule of an R-module M. If there exist weakly primary submodules containing N, then the intersection of all weakly primary submodules containing N is the weakly primary radical submodule of N, denoted by wprad(N). If there is no weakly primary submodule containing N, then wprad(N) = M. In particular, wprad(M) = M. We say that a submodule N is weakly p-radical submodule if wprad(N) = N. We study some properties of primary radical of a submodule and show that for an R-module M that satisfies the ACC on weakly p-radical submodules, then every weakly p-radical submodule is the weakly p-radical of a finitely generated submodule.

Some results in this paper which will be identified by placing (\*) sign at them are quite similar to some in [1].

# 2. Weakly Primary Submodule over Non-commutative Ring

The weakly prime submodules over non-commutative ring have been studied by Callialp and Farzalipour in [7].

**Definition 2.1.** Let M be a left R-module. A proper submodule N of M is called a *weakly prime submodule* of M if whenever  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $0 \neq rRm \subseteq N$ , then either  $m \in M$  or  $r \in (N; M)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let R be an associative ring with identity and M be a unitary right R-module, and N be a submodule of M. Then  $\sqrt{(N:M)} = \{r \in R \mid r^n M \subseteq N \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$  is called the *radical* of N over R.

**Definition 2.3.** Let M be a left R-module. A proper submodule N of M is called a *primary submodule* of M if whenever  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $rRm \subseteq N$ , then either  $m \in M$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

Now we introduce the definition of the weakly primary submodule:

**Definition 2.4.** Let M be a left R-module. A proper submodule N of M is called a *weakly primary submodule* of M if whenever  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $0 \neq rRm \subseteq N$ , then either  $m \in M$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

**Remark 2.5.** (a) Every weakly prime submodule is a weakly primary submodule. The converse is not true as in the following example:

Let  $R = Z_8 \oplus Z_8$  and M be an R-module as  $M = (Z_8 \oplus Z_8) \oplus (Z_8 \oplus Z_8)$  with addition and multiplication defined as:

$$((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) + ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$$

$$= ((a_1 + x_1, b_1 + y_1), (a_2 + x_2, b_2 + y_2)),$$

$$((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \cdot (x, y) = ((a_1x, b_1y), (a_2x, b_2y)).$$

The submodule  $L = \{(0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 4), (0, 0)\}$  is a weakly primary submodule but not a weakly prime submodule because  $((0, 2), (0, 0)) \cdot (0, 2)$   $\in L$  and neither  $(0, 2) \in (L : M)$  nor  $((0, 2), (0, 0)) \in L$ .

(b) Every primary submodule is a weakly primary submodule over R. The converse is not true as in the following example:

Let  $R = Z_4 \oplus Z_4$  and M be an R-module as  $M = (Z_4 \oplus Z_4) \oplus (Z_4 \oplus Z_4)$  with addition and multiplication defined as:

$$((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) + ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$$

$$= ((a_1 + x_1, b_1 + y_1), (a_2 + x_2, b_2 + y_2)),$$

$$((a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)) \cdot (x, y) = ((a_1x, b_1y), (a_2x, b_2y)).$$

The submodule  $L = \{(0, 0), (0, 0), (0, 2), (0, 0)\}$  is a weakly primary submodule but not a primary submodule because  $((0, 1), (0, 0)) \cdot (1, 0) = 0 \in L$  and neither  $(1, 0) \in \sqrt{(L : M)}$  nor  $((0, 1), (0, 0)) \in L$ .

The following theorem gives the condition that makes the weakly primary submodule primary:

**Theorem 2.6\*.** Let M be an R-module. Let N be a weakly primary submodule of M. If  $\sqrt{(N:M)} \cdot N \neq 0$ , then N is a primary submodule of M.

**Proof.** Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $eRm \subseteq N$ . If  $rRm \neq 0$ , then N is a weakly primary submodule giving  $m \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . So assume that rRm = 0. If  $0 \neq rN$ , then  $\exists x \in N$  such that  $rx \neq 0$ . Now,  $0 \neq rRx = rR(m+x) \subseteq N$ , so N is a weakly primary submodule giving  $(m+x) \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , thus  $m \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

Now we assume that rN = 0.

Case 1. If  $\sqrt{(N:M)} m \neq 0$ , then  $\exists k \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  such that  $km \neq 0$ . So  $0 \neq kRm = (r+k)Rm \subseteq N$ . Also,  $m \in N$  or  $(r+k) \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . Since  $k \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , we have  $m \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

Case 2.  $\sqrt{(N:M)} m = 0$ . Since  $\sqrt{(N:M)} \cdot N \neq 0$ ,  $\exists s \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  and  $t \in N$  such that  $st \neq 0$ . Then  $0 \neq sRt = (r+s)R(m+t) \subseteq N$  so  $(m+t) \in N$  or  $(r+s) \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , thus  $m \in N$  or  $(r+s) \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , thus  $m \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

**Corollary 2.7.** Let M be an R-module. Let N be a weakly primary submodule of M. If N is not primary submodule, then for any ideal I of R such that  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , we have IN = 0. In particular,  $\sqrt{(N:M)} \cdot N = 0$ .

Now, we give a characterization of the weakly primary submodule:

**Theorem 2.8\*.** Let M be an R-module. A proper submodule N of M is weakly primary submodule of M if and only if for any ideal I of R and for any submodule K of M with  $0 \neq IK \subset N$  either  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$  or  $K \subseteq N$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that N is a weakly primary submodule of M. If N is primary, then for any ideal I of R and for any submodule K of M with

 $0 \neq IK \subset N$  either  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$  or  $K \subseteq N$  is trivial. So assume N is not primary submodule of M. Let  $0 \neq IK \subset N$  with  $x \in K - N$ . Now let  $r \in I$ . If  $0 \neq rRx$ , since  $rRx \subseteq N$  and N is a weakly primary submodule, so  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , thus  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . Now, if 0 = rRx, assume that  $rK \neq 0$ , say  $rk \neq 0$  for some  $k \in K$ . Now  $0 \neq rRk \subseteq N$ , then  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . If  $k \notin N$ , then  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . If  $k \in N$ , then  $0 \neq rRk = rR(k + x) \subseteq N$ , so  $k + x \in N$  or  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . Since  $x \notin N$ ,  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . So we can assume that rK = 0. Suppose that  $Ix \neq 0$ , and let  $ix \neq 0$ , where  $i \in I$ . Now,  $0 \neq iRx \subseteq N$ , then N is a weakly primary submodule giving that  $i \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . As  $0 \neq iRx = (r+i)Rx \subseteq N$ , so  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . Thus, we can assume Ix = 0 since  $IK \neq 0$ ,  $\exists i \in I$  and  $k \in K$  such that  $ik \neq 0$ , now  $0 \neq iRk \subseteq N$ .

By Corollary 2.7, we have  $\sqrt{(N:M)} \cdot N = 0$  and  $0 \neq iRk = iR(k+x)$   $\subseteq N$ . If  $i \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  and  $k+x \notin N$ , since  $0 \neq (r+i)R(k+x) = iRk \subseteq N$  we have  $(r+i) \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ , and so  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$ . Now if  $i \notin \sqrt{(N:M)}$  and  $k+x \in N$ , since  $0 \neq iRk \subseteq N$ , we have  $k \in N$ , so  $x \in N$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $r \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  thus  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$ .

Now, suppose for any ideal I of R and for any submodule K of M with  $0 \neq IK \subset N$  either  $I \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  or  $K \subseteq N$ . To prove that N is a weakly primary submodule, assume that  $sRm \subseteq N$ , where  $s \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Let I = Rs and K = Rm. So  $0 \neq IK = RsRm \subseteq N$ , so either  $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N:M)}$  or  $K \subseteq N$ . Thus,  $s \in \sqrt{(N:M)}$  or  $m \in N$ .

**Theorem 2.9\*.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be R-modules,  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and let  $N \subseteq M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then if  $N = K \oplus M_2$  (or  $N = M_1 \oplus K$ ) is a weakly primary submodule of M for some submodule K of  $M_1$ , then K is a weakly primary submodule of  $M_1$  (resp. K is a weakly primary submodule of  $M_2$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $N = K \oplus M_2$  be a weakly primary submodule of  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , let  $0 \neq rRm_1 \subseteq K$ , where  $r \in R$  and  $m_1 \in M$  such that  $m_1 \notin K$ , then  $(m_1, 0) \notin K \oplus M_2$ ,  $0 \neq rR(m_1, 0) \subseteq K \oplus M_2$ . Since  $N = K \oplus M_2$  is a weakly primary submodule, there exists a positive integer n such that  $r^n(M_1 \oplus M_2) \subseteq K \oplus M_2$ , hence  $r^nM_1 \subseteq K$  for some positive integer n. Thus,  $r \in \sqrt{(K : M_1)}$ . Thus, K is a weakly primary submodule of  $M_1$ .  $\square$ 

# 3. Weakly p-radical Submodule over Non-commutative Ring

The weakly prime radical submodules over non-commutative ring have been introduced by Behboodi [6].

**Definition 3.1.** Let N be a submodule of an R-module M. If there exist weakly prime submodules containing N, then the intersection of all weakly prime submodules containing N is the weakly prime radical submodule of N, denoted by wrad(N). If there is no weakly prime submodule containing N, then wrad(N) = M. In particular, wrad(M) = M. We say that a submodule N is  $weakly \ radical \ submodule$  if wrad(N) = N.

Now we introduce the concept of the weakly *p*-radical submodule.

**Definition 3.2.** Let N be a submodule of an R-module M. If there exist weakly primary submodules containing N, then the intersection of all weakly primary submodules containing N is the weakly primary radical submodule of N, denoted by wprad(N). If there is no weakly primary submodule containing N, then wprad(N) = M. In particular, wprad(M) = M.

We say that a submodule N is weakly p-radical submodule if wprad(N) = N.

**Proposition 3.3.** It is clear that every weakly primary submodule is a weakly p-radical submodule.

D 6 T ' ' 1		$\neg$
Proof Irivial		- 1
<b>Proof.</b> Trivial.	L	

## **Proposition 3.4.** *Let N and L be submodules of an R-module M. Then:*

- (1)  $N \subseteq wprad(N)$ .
- (2) If  $N \subseteq L$ , then  $wprad(N) \subseteq wprad(L)$ .
- (3) wprad(N) is a weakly p-radical submodule, i.e., wprad(wprad(N))= wprad(N).
  - (4)  $wprad(N \cap L) \subseteq wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ .
  - (5) wprad(N + L) = wprad(wprad(N) + wprad(L)).

### **Proof.** (1) Trivial.

- (2) Let  $N \subseteq L$  and let K be weakly primary submodule containing L. Then  $N \subseteq K$ , hence  $wprad(N) \subseteq wprad(L)$ .
- (3) By (1),  $N \subseteq wprad(N)$  and by (2),  $wprad(N) \subseteq wprad(wprad(N))$ . Let K be weakly primary submodule containing N. Then  $wprad(N) \subseteq wprad(K) = K$ . So every weakly primary submodule containing N also contains wprad(N). Thus,  $wprad(wprad(N)) \subseteq wprad(N)$ , therefore wprad(wprad(N)) = wprad(N).
- (4) Since  $N \cap L \subseteq N$  and  $N \cap L \subseteq L$ , by (2),  $wprad(N \cap L) \subseteq wprad(N)$  and  $wprad(N \cap L) \subseteq wprad(L)$ , therefore  $wprad(N \cap L) \subseteq wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ .
- (5) By (1),  $N + L \subseteq wprad(N) + wprad(L)$  and by (2), wprad(N + L)  $\subseteq wprad(wprad(N) + wprad(L))$ . Now let K be a weakly primary submodule containing N + L, then  $N \subseteq K$  and  $L \subseteq K$ . Hence, wprad(N) $\subseteq wprad(K) = K$  and  $wprad(L) \subseteq wprad(K) = K$ . Thus,  $wprad(N) + wprad(L) \subseteq K$ . So every weakly primary submodule containing N + L also contains wprad(N) + wprad(L). Therefore,

 $wprad(wprad(N) + wprad(L)) \subseteq wprad(N + L).$ 

So wprad(N + L) = wprad(wprad(N) + wprad(L)).

**Proposition 3.5.** Let N and L be submodules of an R-module M such that whenever  $N \cap L \subseteq K$  we have  $N \subseteq K$  or  $L \subseteq K$  for any weakly primary submodule K of M. Then wprad $(N \cap L) = wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ .

**Proof.** By (4) of Proposition 3.4,  $wprad(N \cap L) \subseteq wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ . Now if  $wprad(N \cap L) = M$ , thus wprad(N) = wrad(L) = M so  $wprad(N \cap L) = wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ . If  $wprad(N \cap L) \neq M$ , then there exists a weakly primary submodule K such that  $N \cap L \subseteq K$ . By hypotheses,  $N \subseteq K$  or  $L \subseteq K$  so that  $wprad(N) \subseteq K$  or  $wprad(L) \subseteq K$ .

This is true for all weakly primary submodules containing  $N \cap L$ . Then  $wprad(N) \cap wprad(L) \subseteq wprad(N \cap L)$ . Thus,  $wprad(N \cap L) = wprad(N) \cap wprad(L)$ .

The following theorem gives a characterization of the weakly p-radical submodule of an R-module which satisfies the ACC on weakly p-radical submodules.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let M be an R-module. If M satisfies the ACC on weakly p-radical submodules, then every weakly p-radical submodule is the weakly p-radical of a finitely generated submodule.

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a weakly p-radical submodule N which is not the weakly p-radical of a finitely generated submodule. Let  $n_1 \in N$  and let  $N_1 = wprad(n_1R)$ . Then  $N_1 \subsetneq N$  so there exists  $n_2 \in N - N_1$ . Let  $N_2 = wprad(n_1R + n_2R)$ , then  $N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq N$ . So there exists  $n_3 \in N - N_2$  etc. This gives an ascending chain of weakly p-radical submodules  $N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq N_3 \subsetneq \cdots$ , which is a contradiction.

The following theorem comes directly from Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem 3.7.** If every weakly p-radical submodule is the weakly p-radical of a finitely generated submodule. Then every weakly primary submodule is the weakly p-radical of a finitely generated submodule.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let M be an R-module. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) For each proper submodule N of M, there exists  $m \in N$  such that wprad(N) = wprad(Rm).
- (2) For each proper submodule N of M, if  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} N_{\alpha}$ , where  $\{N_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \lambda\}$  is a family of submodules of M, then  $N \subseteq wprad(N_{\alpha'})$  for some  $\alpha' \in \lambda$ .
- (3) For each proper submodule N of M, if  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} N_{\alpha}$ , where  $\{N_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \lambda\}$  is a family of weakly primary radical submodules of M, then  $N \subseteq N_{\alpha'}$  some for  $\alpha' \in \lambda$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let N be a proper submodule of M. If  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} N_{\alpha}$ , where  $\{N_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \lambda\}$  is a family of submodules of M. By (1), there exists  $m \in N$  such that wprad(N) = wprad(Rm). So  $m \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} N_{\alpha}$  and hence  $m \in N_{\alpha'}$  some for  $\alpha' \in \lambda$ . Therefore,  $N \subseteq wprad(N) = wprad(Rm) \subseteq wprad(N_{\alpha'})$  for some  $\alpha' \in \lambda$ .

 $(2)\Rightarrow (3)$  Let N be a proper submodule of M. If  $N\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha\in\lambda}N_{\alpha}$ , where  $\{N_{\alpha}:\alpha\in\lambda\}$  is a family of weakly primary radical submodules of M. By  $(2),\ N\subseteq wprad(N_{\alpha'})$  for some  $\alpha'\in\lambda$ . Since  $N_{\alpha'}$  is weakly primary radical submodule,  $N\subseteq N_{\alpha'}$  some for  $\alpha'\in\lambda$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let N be a proper submodule of M. It is clear that for each  $m \in N$ ,  $wprad(Rm) \subseteq wprad(N)$ . Now suppose that  $wprad(N) \nsubseteq wprad(Rm)$  for each  $m \in N$ . Then for each  $m \in N$ , there exists a weakly primary radical submodule  $N_m$  for which  $Rm \subseteq N_m$  and  $N \not\subset N_m$ . So  $N = \bigcup_{m \in N} Rm \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in N} N_m$  which is a contradiction to (3).

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

#### References

- [1] A. Ashour and M. Hamoda, Weakly primary submodules over non-commutative rings, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics 7(1) (2016), 917-927.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, Houston J. Math. 29(4) (2003), 831-840.
- [3] L. J. M. Abulebda, The primary radical of a submodule, Advances in Pure Mathematics 2 (2012), 344-348.
- [4] S. E. Atani and F. Farzalipour, On weakly primary ideals, Georgian Math. J. 12(3) (2005), 423-429.
- [5] S. E. Atani and F. Farzalipour, On weakly prime submodules, Tamkang J. Math. 38 (2007), 247-252.
- [6] M. Behboodi, On weakly prime radical of modules and semi-compatible modules, Acta Math. Hungar. 113(3) (2003), 243-254.
- [7] F. Callialp and F. Farzalipour, On weakly prime submodules, Tamkang J. Math. 38 (2007), 247-252.
- [8] A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, On *n*-absorbing submodules, Math. Commun. 17 (2012), 547-557.
- [9] J. Dauns, Prime modules, J. Reine Angew Math. 2 (1978), 156-181.
- [10] Y. Hirano, E. Poon and H. Tsutsui, On rings in which every ideal is weakly prime, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 74(5) (2010), 1077-1087.

- [11] J. Jenkins and P. F. Smith, On the prime radical of a module over a commutative ring, Comm. Algebra 20 (1992), 3593-3602.
- [12] R. L. McCasland and M. E. More, Prime submodules, Comm. Algebra 20 (1992), 1803-1817.
- [13] K. H. Oral, U. Tekir and A. G. Agargun, On graded prime and primary submodules, Turkish J. Math. 35 (2011), 159-167.
- [14] P. F. Smith, Primary modules over commutative rings, Glasgow Math. J. 43 (2001), 103-111.