ISSN: 0972-0871

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF PERTURBED NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

Sang Il Choi and Yoon Hoe Goo

Department of Mathematics Hanseo University Seosan, Chungnam 356-706 Korea

Abstract

We study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the following perturbed differential system:

$$y' = f(t, y) + \int_{t_0}^{t} g(s, y(s), T_1 y(s)) ds + h(t, y(t), T_2 y(t)).$$

We impose the conditions on the perturbed part

$$\int_{t_0}^t g(s, y(s), T_1 y(s)) ds, h(t, y(t), T_2 y(t))$$

and on the fundamental matrix of the unperturbed system y' = f(t, y).

Received: August 4, 2017; Accepted: September 4, 2017

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34D05, 34D10.

Keywords and phrases: perturbed differential system, exponentially asymptotically stable, exponentially asymptotically stable in variation.

This work was supported by the research fund from Hanseo University in 2017.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Elaydi and Farran [8] introduced the notion of exponential asymptotic stability (EAS) which is a stronger notion than that of uniformly Lipschitz stable. They investigated some analytic criteria for an autonomous differential system and its perturbed systems to be EAS. In Pachpatte [16, 17], the stability and asymptotic behavior of the solutions of perturbed nonlinear systems under some suitable conditions on the perturbation term is studied. In Gonzalez and Pinto [9], the asymptotic behavior and boundedness of the solutions of nonlinear differential systems is investigated. In Choi et al. [6], Lipschitz and exponential asymptotic stability for nonlinear functional systems is proved. Also, in Goo [10-12] and Goo et al. [13, 14], Lipschitz and asymptotic stability for perturbed differential systems is studied.

In this paper, we investigate asymptotic behavior for solutions of perturbed nonlinear systems using integral inequalities. The method incorporating integral inequalities takes an important place among the methods developed for the qualitative analysis of solutions to linear and nonlinear system of differential equations.

Consider the unperturbed nonlinear system

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$
 (1.1)

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ and \mathbb{R}^n is the Euclidean n-space. We assume that the Jacobian matrix $f_x = \partial f/\partial x$ exists and is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and f(t, 0) = 0. Also, consider the perturbed functional differential system of (1.1)

$$y' = f(t, y) + \int_{t_0}^{t} g(s, y(s), T_1 y(s)) ds + h(t, y(t), T_2 y(t)), y(t_0) = y_0, (1.2)$$

where $g, h \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, g(t, 0, 0) = h(t, 0, 0) = 0, and $T_1, T_2 : C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous operators. The symbol

 $|\cdot|$ will be used to denote any convenient vector norm in \mathbb{R}^+ . For an $n \times n$ matrix A, define the norm |A| of A by $|A| = \sup_{|x| \le 1} |Ax|$.

Let $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ denote the unique solution of (1.1) with $x(t_0, t_0, x_0) = x_0$, existing on $[t_0, \infty)$. Then we can consider the associated variational systems around the zero solution of (1.1) and around x(t), respectively,

$$v'(t) = f_{r}(t, 0)v(t), \quad v(t_{0}) = v_{0}$$
(1.3)

and

$$z'(t) = f_x(t, x(t, t_0, x_0))z(t), \quad z(t_0) = z_0.$$
 (1.4)

The fundamental matrix $\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)$ of (1.4) is given by

$$\Phi(t, t_0, x_0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} x(t, t_0, x_0),$$

and $\Phi(t, t_0, 0)$ is the fundamental matrix of (1.3).

The following definitions are standard, we state them here for convenient [8].

Definition 1.1. The system (1.1) (the zero solution x = 0 of (1.1)) is called:

(S) stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 \ge 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $|x_0| < \delta$, then $|x(t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$,

(AS) asymptotically stable if it is stable and if there exists $\delta = \delta(t_0) > 0$ such that if $|x_0| < \delta$, then $|x(t)| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$,

(*ULS*) uniformly Lipschitz stable if there exist M > 0 and $\delta > 0$ such that $|x(t)| \le M|x_0|$ whenever $|x_0| \le \delta$ and $t \ge t_0 \ge 0$,

(EAS) exponentially asymptotically stable if there exist constants K > 0, c > 0, and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|x(t)| \le K|x_0|e^{-c(t-t_0)}, \quad 0 \le t_0 \le t$$

provided that $|x_0| < \delta$,

(EASV) exponentially asymptotically stable in variation if there exist constants K > 0 and c > 0 such that

$$|\Phi(t, t_0, x_0)| \le Ke^{-c(t-t_0)}, \quad 0 \le t_0 \le t$$

provided that $|x_0| < \infty$.

Remark 1.2 [9]. The last definition implies that for $|x_0| \le \delta$,

$$|x(t)| \le K|x_0|e^{-c(t-t_0)}, \quad 0 \le t_0 \le t.$$

Before proceeding to the statement of main results, we set forth some known results. We need Alekseev formula to compare between the solutions of (1.1) and the solutions of perturbed nonlinear system

$$y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0,$$
 (1.5)

where $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and g(t, 0) = 0. Let $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ denote the solution of (1.5) passing through the point (t_0, y_0) in $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

The following is a generalization to nonlinear system of the variation of constants formula due to Alekseev [1].

Lemma 1.3 [2]. Let x and y be solutions of (1.1) and (1.5), respectively. If $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then for all $t \ge t_0$ such that $x(t, t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y(t, t_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$y(t, t_0, y_0) = x(t, t_0, y_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, s, y(s)) g(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Lemma 1.4 (Bihari-type inequality). Let $u, \lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^+), w \in C((0, \infty))$ and w(u) be nondecreasing in u. Suppose that, for some c > 0,

$$u(t) \le c + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s) w(u(s)) ds, \quad t \ge t_0 \ge 0.$$

Then

$$u(t) \le W^{-1} \left[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s) ds \right],$$

where $t_0 \le t < b_1$, $W(u) = \int_{u_0}^u \frac{ds}{w(s)}$, $W^{-1}(u)$ is the inverse of W(u), and

$$b_1 = \sup \Big\{ t \ge t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda(s) ds \in \text{dom} W^{-1} \Big\}.$$

Lemma 1.5 [3]. Let $u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6, \lambda_7, \lambda_8, \lambda_9, \lambda_{10} \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $w \in C((0, \infty))$, and w(u) be nondecreasing in $u, u \leq w(u)$. Suppose that for some c > 0 and $0 \leq t_0 \leq t$,

$$\begin{split} u(t) &\leq c + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_1(s) u(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_2(s) w(u(s)) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s \left(\lambda_4(\tau) u(\tau) + \lambda_5(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_6(r) u(r) dr + \lambda_7(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_8(r) w(u(r)) dr \right) d\tau ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_9(s) \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_{10}(\tau) w(u(\tau)) d\tau ds. \end{split}$$

Then

$$u(t) \leq W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(\lambda_1(s) + \lambda_2(s) + \lambda_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s \bigg(\lambda_4(\tau) + \lambda_5(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_6(r) dr + \lambda_7(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_8(r) dr \bigg) d\tau + \lambda_9(s) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_{10}(\tau) d\tau \bigg) ds \bigg],$$

where $t_0 \le t < b_1$, W, W⁻¹ are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, and

$$\begin{split} b_1 &= \sup \bigg\{ t \geq t_0 : W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(\lambda_1(s) + \lambda_2(s) + \lambda_3(s) \\ &\cdot \int_{t_0}^s \bigg(\lambda_4(\tau) + \lambda_5(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_6(r) dr + \lambda_7(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau \lambda_8(r) dr \bigg) d\tau \\ &+ \lambda_9(s) \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_{10}(\tau) d\tau \bigg) ds \in \operatorname{dom} W^{-1} \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

We need the following corollary for the proof.

Corollary 1.6. Let $u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+), w \in C((0, \infty))$ and w(u) be nondecreasing in $u, u \leq w(u)$. Suppose that for some c > 0,

$$\begin{split} u(t) &\leq c + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_1(s) u(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_2(s) w(u(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_4(\tau) u(\tau) d\tau ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \lambda_5(s) \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_6(\tau) w(u(\tau)) d\tau ds, \quad 0 \leq t_0 \leq t. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} u(t) &\leq W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(\lambda_1(s) + \lambda_2(s) \\ &+ \lambda_3(s) \int_{t_0}^s \bigg(\lambda_4(\tau) d\tau + \lambda_5(\tau) \int_{t_0}^s \lambda_6(\tau) d\tau \bigg) ds \bigg) \bigg], \end{split}$$

where $t_0 \le t < b_1$, W, W^{-1} are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, and

$$b_{1} = \sup \left\{ t \geq t_{0} : W(c) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \left(\lambda_{1}(s) + \lambda_{2}(s) + \lambda_{3}(s) \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \lambda_{4}(\tau) d\tau + \lambda_{5}(s) \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \lambda_{6}(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \in \text{dom } W^{-1} \right\}.$$

2. Main Results

In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior for solutions of the perturbed functional differential systems.

To obtain the asymptotic behavior, the following assumptions are needed:

- (H1) The solution x = 0 of (1.1) is EASV.
- (H2) w(u) is nondecreasing in u such that $u \le w(u)$ and $\frac{1}{v}w(u) \le w\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)$ for some v > 0.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that (H1), (H2), and that the perturbing term $g(t, y, T_1y)$ satisfies

$$|g(t, y(t), T_1 y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} (a(t)|y(t)| + b(t)w(|y(t)|) + |T_1 y(t)|),$$
 (2.1)

$$|T_1 y(t)| \le b(t) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) |y(s)| ds + d(t) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.2)

$$|h(t, y(t), T_2y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} c(t) w(|y(t)|) + |T_2y(t)|, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$|T_2 y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} m(t) |y(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\alpha s} q(s) |y(s)| ds,$$
 (2.4)

where $\alpha > 0$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and $T_1, T_2 : C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous operators. If

$$M(t_0) = W^{-1} \left[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(c(s) + m(s) + e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^{s} \left(a(\tau) + b(\tau) + q(\tau) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} k(r) dr + d(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} p(r) dr \right) d\tau \right] < \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where $t \le t_0$, $c = |y_0| Me^{\alpha t_0}$, and W, W^{-1} are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, then all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0)$ and $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ be solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. By the assumption (H1), it is EAS by Remark 1.2. Using Lemma 1.3, together with (2.1)-(2.4), we obtain

$$\leq M | y_0 | e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left(\int_{t_0}^s e^{-\alpha \tau} \left((a(\tau) + q(\tau)) | y(\tau) | + b(\tau) w(| y(\tau) |) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau k(r) | y(r) | dr \right)$$

$$+ d(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau p(r) w(| y(r) |) dr d\tau + e^{-\alpha s} m(s) | y(s) | + e^{-\alpha s} c(s) w(| y(s) |) ds.$$

Applying the assumption (H2), we have

$$\leq M | y_0 | e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha t} \left(e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^s \left((a(\tau) + q(\tau)) | y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau} \right) \right.$$

$$+ b(\tau) w(| y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau}) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau k(r) | y(r) | e^{\alpha r} dr$$

$$+ d(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau p(r) w(| y(r) | e^{\alpha r}) dr d\tau + m(s) | y(s) | e^{\alpha s} + c(s) w(| y(s) |) e^{\alpha s} ds.$$

Set $u(t) = |y(t)|e^{\alpha t}$. An application of Lemma 1.5 and (2.5) obtains

$$|y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(c(s) + m(s) + e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^s \bigg(a(\tau) + b(\tau) + q(\tau) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau k(r) dr + d(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau p(r) dr \bigg) d\tau \bigg] \le e^{-\alpha t} M(t_0),$$

where $t \ge t_0$ and $c = M |y_0| e^{\alpha t_0}$. Hence, all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.2. Letting c(t) = m(t) = q(t) = 0 in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the same result as that of Theorem 3.5 in [4].

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (H1), (H2), and that the perturbing term $g(t, y, T_1y)$ satisfies

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} |g(s, y(s), T_1 y(s))| ds \le e^{-\alpha t} (a(t)|y(t)| + b(t)w(|y(t)|) + |T_1 y(t)|), (2.6)$$

$$|T_1 y(t)| \le b(t) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) |y(s)| ds + d(t) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.7)

$$|h(t, y(t), T_2y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} \left(b(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(s) |y(s)| ds + |T_2y(t)| \right),$$
 (2.8)

and

$$|T_2 y(t)| \le m(t)|y(t)| + d(t) \int_{t_0}^t q(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.9)

where $\alpha > 0$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and $T_1, T_2 : C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous operators. If

$$M(t_0) = W^{-1} \left[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(a(s) + b(s) + m(s) + b(s) \right) \right] ds$$

$$\cdot \int_{t_0}^{s} (c(\tau) + k(\tau)) d\tau + d(s) \int_{t_0}^{s} (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) d\tau ds ds ds$$

$$< \infty, (2.10)$$

where $b_1 = \infty$, $c = M | y_0 | e^{\alpha t_0}$, and W, W^{-1} are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, then all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0)$ and $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ be solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. By the assumption (H1), it is EAS. Applying Lemma 1.3, together with (2.6)-(2.9), we have

$$|y(t)| \le M |y_0| e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)}$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left(e^{-\alpha s} (a(s) + m(s)) |y(s)| + b(s) w(|y(s)|) \right)$$

$$+ b(s) \int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + k(\tau)) |y(\tau)| d\tau$$

$$+ d(s) \int_{t_0}^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) w(|y(\tau)|) d\tau ds.$$

It follows from (H2) that

$$| y(t) | \le M | y_0 | e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha t} \bigg((a(s) + m(s)) | y(s) | e^{\alpha s} + b(s) w(| y(s) | e^{\alpha s}) + b(s) \int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + k(\tau)) | y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau + d(s) \int_{t_0}^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) w(| y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau}) d\tau \bigg) ds.$$

Define $u(t) = |y(t)|e^{\alpha t}$. Then, by Corollary 1.6 and (2.10), we have

$$|y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(a(s) + b(s) + m(s) + b(s) \int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + k(\tau)) d\tau + d(s) \int_{t_0}^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) d\tau \bigg) ds \bigg]$$

$$\le e^{-\alpha t} M(t_0),$$

where $c = M |y_0| e^{\alpha t_0}$. Hence, all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$, and so the proof is complete.

Remark 2.4. Letting c(t) = k(t) = m(t) = q(t) = 0 in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the same result as that of Theorem 3.7 in [4].

Theorem 2.5. Assume that (H1), (H2), and that the perturbing term $g(t, y, T_1 y)$ satisfies

$$|g(t, y(t), T_1y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} (a(t)|y(t)| + b(t)w(|y(t)|) + |T_1y(t)|),$$
 (2.11)

$$|T_1 y(t)| \le b(t) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) |y(s)| ds + c(t) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.12)

$$|h(t, y(t), T_2y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} n(t) |y(t)| + |T_2y(t)|,$$
 (2.13)

and

$$|T_2 y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} m(t) w(|y(t)|) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\alpha s} d(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.14)

where $\alpha > 0$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, n, p, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, n, p \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and $T_1, T_2 : C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous operators. If

$$M(t_0) = W^{-1} \left[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(m(s) + n(s) + e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^{s} \left(a(\tau) + b(\tau) + d(\tau) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} k(r) dr + c(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} p(r) dr \right) d\tau \right] < \infty, (2.15)$$

where $t \ge t_0$, $c = |y_0| Me^{\alpha t_0}$, and W, W^{-1} are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, then all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0)$ and $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ be solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. By the assumption (H1), it is EAS by Remark 1.2. Using Lemma 1.3, together with (2.11)-(2.14), we obtain

$$\leq M |y_0| e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \left(\int_{t_0}^s e^{-\alpha \tau} \left(a(\tau) |y(\tau)| \right) \right)$$

$$+ (b(\tau) + d(\tau))w(|y(\tau)|) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} k(r)|y(r)|dr$$

$$+ c(\tau) \int_{t_0}^{\tau} p(r)w(|y(r)|)dr \bigg] d\tau + e^{-\alpha s} n(s)|y(s)| + e^{-\alpha s} m(s)w(|y(s)|) \bigg] ds.$$

Applying the assumption (H2), we have

$$|y(t)| \le M|y_0|e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t Me^{-\alpha t} \left(e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^s \left(a(\tau)|y(\tau)|e^{\alpha \tau} + (b(\tau) + d(\tau))w(|y(\tau)|e^{\alpha \tau}) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau k(r)|y(r)|e^{\alpha r} dr + c(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau p(r)w(|y(r)|e^{\alpha r}) dr \right) d\tau + m(s)w(|y(s)|e^{\alpha s}) + n(s)|y(s)|e^{\alpha s} \right) ds.$$

Set $u(t) = |y(t)|e^{\alpha t}$. An application of Lemma 1.5 and (2.15) obtains

$$|y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(m(s) + n(s) + e^{\alpha s} \int_{t_0}^s \bigg(a(\tau) + b(\tau) + d(\tau) + b(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau k(r) dr + c(\tau) \int_{t_0}^\tau p(r) dr \bigg) d\tau \bigg] \le e^{-\alpha t} M(t_0),$$

where $t \ge t_0$ and $c = M |y_0| e^{\alpha t_0}$. Therefore, all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$. Hence, the theorem is proved.

Remark 2.6. Letting d(t) = m(t) = n(t) = 0 in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the same result as that of Theorem 3.5 in [4].

Theorem 2.7. Assume that (H1), (H2), and that the perturbing term $g(t, y, T_1y)$ satisfies

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} |g(s, y(s), T_1 y(s))| ds \le e^{-\alpha t} (a(t)|y(t)| + b(t)w(|y(t)|) + |T_1 y(t)|), (2.16)$$

$$|T_1 y(t)| \le b(t) \int_{t_0}^t k(s) |y(s)| ds + m(t) \int_{t_0}^t p(s) w(|y(s)|) ds,$$
 (2.17)

$$|h(t, y(t), T_2y(t))| \le e^{-\alpha t} \left(m(t) \int_{t_0}^t c(s) w(|y(s)|) ds + |T_2y(t)| \right),$$
 (2.18)

and

$$|T_2 y(t)| \le d(t) w(|y(t)|) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t q(s) |y(s)| ds,$$
 (2.19)

where $\alpha > 0$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q, w \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $a, b, c, d, k, m, p, q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and $T_1, T_2 : C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n) \to C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are continuous operators. If

$$M(t_0) = W^{-1} \left[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(a(s) + b(s) + d(s) + d(s) + b(s) \int_{t_0}^{s} (k(\tau) + q(\tau)) d\tau + m(s) \int_{t_0}^{s} (c(\tau) + p(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right] < \infty, \quad (2.20)$$

where $b_1 = \infty$, $c = M | y_0 | e^{\alpha t_0}$, and W, W^{-1} are the same functions as in Lemma 1.4, then all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x(t) = x(t, t_0, y_0)$ and $y(t) = y(t, t_0, y_0)$ be solutions of (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. By the assumption (H1), it is EAS. Applying Lemma 1.3, together with (2.16)-(2.19), we have

$$|y(t)| \le M|y_0|e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t Me^{-\alpha(t-s)} ds$$

$$\cdot \left(e^{-\alpha s} \left(a(s)|y(s)| + b(s)w(|y(s)|) + b(s)\int_{t_0}^s (k(\tau) + q(\tau))|y(\tau)|d\tau + m(s)\int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + p(\tau))w(|y(\tau)|)d\tau + d(s)w(|y(s)|)\right)\right) ds.$$

It follows from (H2) that

$$| y(t) | \le M | y_0 | e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t M e^{-\alpha t} \left(a(s) | y(s) | e^{\alpha s} \right)$$

$$+ (b(s) + d(s)) w(| y(s) | e^{\alpha s}) + b(s) \int_{t_0}^s (k(\tau) + q(\tau)) | y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau$$

$$+ m(s) \int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + p(\tau)) w(| y(\tau) | e^{\alpha \tau}) d\tau ds.$$

Let $u(t) = |y(t)|e^{\alpha t}$. Then, by Corollary 1.6 and (2.20), we obtain

$$|y(t)| \le e^{-\alpha t} W^{-1} \bigg[W(c) + M \int_{t_0}^t \bigg(a(s) + b(s) + d(s) + b(s) \bigg) + b(s) \int_{t_0}^s (k(\tau) + q(\tau)) d\tau + m(s) \int_{t_0}^s (c(\tau) + p(\tau)) d\tau \bigg) ds \bigg]$$

$$\le e^{-\alpha t} M(t_0),$$

where $c = M | y_0 | e^{\alpha t_0}$. Therefore, all solutions of (1.2) approach zero as $t \to \infty$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Remark 2.8. Letting a(t) = d(t) = m(t) = q(t) = 0 in Theorem 2.7, we obtain the same result as that of Theorem 3.3 in [14].

Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful for the referee's valuable comments.

References

- [1] V. M. Alekseev, An estimate for the perturbations of the solutions of ordinary differential equations, Vestn. Mosk. Univ. Ser. I. Math. Mekh. 2 (1961), 28-36 (in Russian).
- [2] F. Brauer, Perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 14 (1966), 198-206.

- [3] S. I. Choi and Y. H. Goo, Uniform Lipschitz stability of perturbed differential systems, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 101(4) (2017), 721-735.
- [4] S. I. Choi and Y. H. Goo, Uniform Lipschitz stability and asymptotic behavior of perturbed differential systems, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 29 (2016), 429-442.
- [5] S. I. Choi and Y. H. Goo, Asymptotic property of perturbed nonlinear systems, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 101(4) (2017), 785-801.
- [6] S. K. Choi, Y. H. Goo and N. J. Koo, Lipschitz and exponential asymptotic stability for nonlinear functional systems, Dynam. Systems Appl. 6 (1997), 397-410.
- [7] F. M. Dannan and S. Elaydi, Lipschitz stability of nonlinear systems of differential systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 113 (1986), 562-577.
- [8] S. Elaydi and H. R. Farran, Exponentially asymptotically stable dynamical systems, Appl. Anal. 25 (1987), 243-252.
- [9] P. Gonzalez and M. Pinto, Stability properties of the solutions of the nonlinear functional differential systems, J. Math. Appl. 181 (1994), 562-573.
- [10] Y. H. Goo, Lipschitz and asymptotic stability for perturbed nonlinear differential systems, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. 21 (2014), 11-21.
- [11] Y. H. Goo, Asymptotic behavior in nonlinear perturbed differential systems, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 98(7) (2015), 915-930.
- [12] Y. H. Goo, Uniform Lipschitz stability and asymptotic property of perturbed nonlinear systems, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) 100(1) (2016), 81-98.
- [13] Y. H. Goo and Y. Cui, Lipschitz and asymptotic stability for perturbed differential systems, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. 26 (2013), 831-842.
- [14] D. M. Im and Y. H. Goo, Asymptotic property for perturbed nonlinear functional differential systems, J. Appl. Math. Inform. 33 (2015) 687-697.
- [15] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, Differential and Integral Inequalities: Theory and Applications, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York and London, 1969.
- [16] B. G. Pachpatte, Stability and asymptotic behavior of perturbed nonlinear systems,J. Differential Equations 16 (1974), 14-25.
- [17] B. G. Pachpatte, Perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 51 (1975), 550-556.