



GENERIC LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN INDEFINITE TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

Dae Ho Jin

Department of Mathematics

Dongguk University

Gyeongju 780-714, Korea

Abstract

We study generic lightlike submanifolds M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} . The purpose of this paper is to prove several classification theorems of such a generic lightlike submanifold subject to the condition that the structure vector field ζ of \bar{M} is tangent to M .

1. Introduction

In the theory of Riemannian submanifold, there exists a class of submanifolds of an almost contact manifold \bar{M} . A submanifold M of \bar{M} is called *generic* [12, 13] if the normal bundle TM^\perp of M is mapped into the tangent bundle TM by action of the almost contact structure tensor J of \bar{M} , that is,

Received: February 7, 2017; Accepted: April 4, 2017

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C40, 53C50.

Keywords and phrases: generic lightlike submanifold, indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold, indefinite generalized Sasakian space form.

Communicated by Giovanni Calvaruso

$$J(TM^\perp) \subset TM.$$

We extended the concept of generic submanifold in case M is a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite almost contact manifold \bar{M} . In case M is lightlike submanifold, the radical distribution $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$ is non-trivial vector bundle of M and TM is lightlike vector bundle. Thus, we have

$$T\bar{M} \neq TM \oplus_{orth} TM^\perp.$$

Consider a complementary vector bundle $S(TM)$ of $Rad(TM)$ in TM , i.e.,

$$TM = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM).$$

We call $S(TM)$ a *screen distribution* of M . It is immediate from the last equation that $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate. Moreover, if M is para-compact, then there always exists a screen distribution $S(TM)$. Along M , we have

$$T\bar{M}|_M = S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM)^\perp, S(TM) \cap S(TM)^\perp \neq \{0\},$$

where $S(TM)^\perp$ is orthogonal complement to $S(TM)$ in $T\bar{M}|_M$. Although $S(TM)$ is not unique, it is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $S(TM)^* = TM/Rad(TM)$ [10]. Thus, all $S(TM)_s$ are mutually isomorphic. Moreover, while TM is lightlike, all $S(TM)_s$ are non-degenerate. Due to these reasons, we defined generic lightlike submanifold as follows [6-8]:

A lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite almost contact manifold \bar{M} is called *generic* if there exists a screen distribution $S(TM)$ of M such that

$$J(S(TM)^\perp) \subset S(TM). \quad (1.1)$$

The geometry of generic lightlike submanifold is an extension of the geometry of lightlike hypersurface or 1-lightlike submanifold. Much of its theory will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general lightlike submanifolds.

Oubina [11] introduced the notion of trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) , where α and β are smooth functions. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic manifolds are three important kinds of trans-Sasakian manifold such that

$$\alpha = \varepsilon, \beta = 0; \quad \alpha = 0, \beta = \varepsilon; \quad \alpha = \beta = 0,$$

respectively, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. In this case, if \bar{M} is a semi-Riemannian manifold, then we say that \bar{M} is an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β)* .

Alegre et al. [2] introduced generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic space forms are three important kinds of generalized Sasakian space forms such that

$$f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}; \quad f_1 = \frac{c-3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c+1}{4};$$

$$f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c}{4},$$

respectively, where c is a constant J -sectional curvature of each space forms.

We study generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} or an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$. We prove several classification theorems of such a generic lightlike submanifold subject such that the structure vector field ζ of \bar{M} is tangent to M .

2. Preliminaries

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* if there exists a structure set $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$, where J is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field, ζ is a vector field which is called the *structure vector field* and θ is a 1-form, a Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} and two smooth functions α and β on \bar{M} , such that

$$J^2 \bar{X} = -\bar{X} + \theta(\bar{X})\zeta, \quad \theta(\zeta) = 1, \quad \theta(\bar{X}) = \varepsilon \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta),$$

$$\theta \circ J = 0, \quad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \varepsilon \theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Y}), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J)\bar{Y} = \alpha \{ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \varepsilon \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} \} + \beta \{ \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \varepsilon \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X} \}, \quad (2.2)$$

for any vector fields \bar{X}, \bar{Y} and \bar{Z} on \bar{M} , where $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 according as the vector field ζ is spacelike or timelike, respectively. In this case, the set $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$ is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian structure of type* (α, β) .

Throughout this paper, we may assume that the structure vector field ζ is unit spacelike, i.e., $\varepsilon = 1$, no loss of generality. From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \zeta = -\alpha J\bar{X} + \beta \{ \bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\zeta \}, \quad d\theta(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \alpha \bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}). \quad (2.3)$$

An indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} is called an *indefinite generalized Sasakian space form* and denote it by $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ if it admits a curvature tensor \bar{R} and three smooth functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= f_1 \{ \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y} \} \\ &+ f_2 \{ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{X} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z} \} \\ &+ f_3 \{ \theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{Y})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{X} \\ &+ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{Y})\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{X})\zeta \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let (M, g) be an m -dimensional lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , of dimension $(m+n)$. Then the radical distribution $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$ of M is a subbundle of the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle TM^\perp , of rank $r(1 \leq r \leq \min\{m, n\})$. In general, there exist two complementary non-degenerate distributions $S(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$ of $Rad(TM)$ in TM and TM^\perp , respectively, which are called the *screen distribution* and the *co-screen distribution* of M , such that

$$TM = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM), TM^\perp = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp),$$

where \oplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Denote by $F(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the $F(M)$ module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E . Also denoted by $(2.1)_i$ the i th equation of (2.1). We use the same notations for any others. We use the following range of indices:

$$i, j, k, \dots \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad a, b, c, \dots \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let $tr(TM)$ and $ltr(TM)$ be complementary vector bundles to TM in $\overline{TM}|_M$ and TM^\perp in $S(TM)^\perp$, respectively and let $\{N_1, \dots, N_r\}$ be a lightlike basis of $ltr(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$, where \mathcal{U} is a coordinate neighborhood of M , such that

$$\overline{g}(N_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \overline{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0,$$

where $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\}$ is a lightlike basis of $Rad(TM)|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{TM} &= TM \oplus tr(TM) = \{Rad(TM) \oplus tr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \\ &= \{Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

We say that a lightlike submanifold $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of \overline{M} is

- (1) *r*-lightlike submanifold if $1 \leq r < \min\{m, n\}$;
- (2) *co-isotropic submanifold* if $1 \leq r = n < m$;
- (3) *isotropic submanifold* if $1 \leq r = m < n$;
- (4) *totally lightlike submanifold* if $1 \leq r = m = n$.

The above three classes (2)~(4) are particular cases of the class (1) as follows:

$$S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}, \quad S(TM) = \{0\}, \quad S(TM) = S(TM^\perp) = \{0\},$$

respectively. The geometry of r -lightlike submanifolds is more general form than that of the others. For this reason, we consider only r -lightlike submanifolds M , with following local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of \overline{M} :

$$\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, N_1, \dots, N_r, F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m, E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\},$$

where $\{F_{r+1}, \dots, F_m\}$ and $\{E_{r+1}, \dots, E_n\}$ are orthonormal bases of $S(TM)$ and $S(TM)^\perp$, respectively. Denote $\varepsilon_a = \bar{g}(E_a, E_a)$. Then $\varepsilon_a \delta_{ab} = \bar{g}(E_a, E_b)$.

In the following, let X, Y, Z and W be the vector fields on M , unless otherwise specified. Let P be the projection morphism of TM on $S(TM)$. Then the local Gauss-Weingarten formulae of M and $S(TM)$ are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y) N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y) E_a, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i} X + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) N_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X) E_a, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X E_a = -A_{E_a} X + \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_{ai}(X) N_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \sigma_{ab}(X) E_b, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^*(X, PY) \xi_i, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi_i = -A_{\xi_i}^* X - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X) \xi_j, \quad (2.9)$$

respectively, where ∇ and ∇^* are induced linear connections on TM and $S(TM)$, respectively, h_i^ℓ and h_a^s are called the *local second fundamental forms* on TM , h_i^* are called the *local second fundamental forms* on $S(TM)$.

A_{N_i} , A_{E_a} and $A_{\xi_i}^*$ are the shape operators and τ_{ij} , ρ_{ia} , ϕ_{ai} and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are 1-forms.

Since $\bar{\nabla}$ is torsion-free, ∇ is also torsion-free, and both h_i^ℓ and h_a^s are symmetric. From the fact that $h_i^\ell(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi_i)$, we know that each h_i^ℓ is independent of the choice of the screen distribution $S(TM)$. The above three local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$g(A_{\xi_i}^* X, Y) = h_i^\ell(X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) \eta_j(Y), \quad (2.10)$$

$$g(A_{E_a} X, Y) = \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, Y) + \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_{ai}(X) \eta_i(Y), \quad (2.11)$$

$$g(A_{N_i} X, PY) = h_i^*(X, PY), \quad \eta_k(A_{\xi_i}^* X) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where η_i is the 1-forms given by $\eta_i(X) = \bar{g}(X, N_i)$. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0$, $\bar{g}(\xi_i, E_a) = 0$, $\bar{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$, $\bar{g}(N_i, E_a) = 0$ and $\bar{g}(E_a, E_b) = \varepsilon \delta_{ab}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) &= 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = -\varepsilon_a \phi_{ai}(X), \\ \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) + \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) &= 0, \quad \bar{g}(A_{E_a} X, N_i) = \varepsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X), \\ \varepsilon_b \sigma_{ab} + \varepsilon_a \sigma_{ba} &= 0 \text{ and } h_i^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(\xi_j, \xi_k) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Denote by \bar{R} , R and R^* the curvature tensors of the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} and the linear connections ∇ and ∇^* on M and $S(TM)$, respectively. By using the Gauss-Weingarten formulae (2.5)~(2.9) for M and $S(TM)$, we obtain the Gauss equations for M and $S(TM)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(X, Z)A_{N_i}Y - h_i^\ell(Y, Z)A_{N_i}X\} \\
&+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{h_a^s(X, Z)A_{E_a}Y - h_a^s(Y, Z)A_{E_a}X\} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^r [\tau_{ji}(X)h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y)h_j^\ell(X, Z)] \\
&+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n [\phi_{ai}(X)h_a^s(Y, Z) - \phi_{ai}(Y)h_a^s(X, Z)] \Big\} N_i \\
&+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \left\{ (\nabla_X h_a^s)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_a^s)(X, Z) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^r [\rho_{ia}(X)h_i^\ell(Y, Z) - \rho_{ia}(Y)h_i^\ell(X, Z)] \\
&+ \sum_{b=r+1}^n [\sigma_{ba}(X)h_b^s(Y, Z) - \sigma_{ba}(Y)h_b^s(X, Z)] \Big\} E_a. \quad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y)PZ &= R^*(X, Y)PZ + \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^*(X, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*Y - h_i^*(Y, PZ)A_{\xi_i}^*X\} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^r [\tau_{ij}(Y)h_j^*(X, PZ) - \tau_{ij}(X)h_j^*(Y, PZ)] \Big\} \xi_i. \quad (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $R = 0$, we say that M is *flat*.

3. Generic Lightlike Submanifolds

For a generic lightlike submanifold M , from (1.1) we see that $J(Rad(TM))$, $J(ltr(TM))$ and $J(S(TM)^\perp)$ are subbundles of $S(TM)$. In the following, we shall assume that the vector field ζ is tangent to M . Călin [3] proved that if ζ is tangent to M , then it belongs to $S(TM)$. Using this result, there exists a non-degenerate almost complex distribution H_o , i.e., $J(H_o) = H_o$, such that

$$S(TM) = \{J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM))\} \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM)^\perp) \oplus_{orth} H_o.$$

Denote by H the almost complex distribution with respect to J such that

$$H = Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM)) \oplus_{orth} H_o.$$

Therefore, the general decomposition form of TM in Section 2 is reduced to

$$TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM)^\perp). \quad (3.1)$$

Consider $2r$ -local null vector fields U_i and V_i , $(n-r)$ -local non-null unit vector fields W_a , and their associated 1-forms u_i , v_i and w_a defined by

$$U_i = -JN_i, \quad V_i = -J\xi_i, \quad W_a = -JE_a, \quad (3.2)$$

$$u_i(X) = g(X, V_i), \quad v_i(X) = g(X, U_i), \quad w_a(X) = \varepsilon_a g(X, W_a). \quad (3.3)$$

Denote by S the projection morphism of TM on H and F a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ globally defined on M by $F = J \circ S$. Then JX is expressed as

$$JX = FX + \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(X)N_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(X)E_a. \quad (3.4)$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (3.2)~(3.4) by turns and using (2.2), (2.5)~(2.13) and (3.2)~(3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_j^\ell(X, U_i) &= h_i^*(X, V_j), \quad \varepsilon_a h_i^*(X, W_a) = h_a^s(X, U_i), \\ h_j^\ell(X, V_i) &= h_i^\ell(X, V_j), \quad \varepsilon_a h_i^\ell(X, W_a) = h_a^s(X, V_i), \\ \varepsilon_b h_b^s(X, W_a) &= \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, W_b), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X U_i &= F(A_{N_i} X) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ia}(X) W_a \\ &\quad - \{\alpha \eta_i(X) + \beta v_i(X)\} \zeta,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X V_i &= F(A_{\xi_i}^* X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X) V_j + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) U_j \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a \phi_{ai}(X) W_a - \beta u_i(X) \zeta,\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X W_a &= F(A_{E_a} X) + \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_{ai}(X) U_i + \sum_{b=r+1}^n \sigma_{ab}(X) W_b \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_a \beta w_a(X) \zeta,\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X u_i)(Y) &= - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y) \tau_{ji}(X) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) \phi_{ai}(X) \\ &\quad - \beta \theta(Y) u_i(X) - h_i^\ell(X, FY),\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X v_i)(Y) &= \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y) \tau_{ij}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a w_a(Y) \rho_{ia}(X) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=r+1}^r u_j(Y) \eta_j(A_{N_i} X) - g(A_{N_i} X, FY) \\ &\quad - \theta(Y) \{\alpha \eta_i(X) + \beta v_i(X)\},\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X F)(Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) A_{N_i} X + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) A_{E_a} X \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y) U_i - \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y) W_a \\ &\quad + \alpha \{g(X, Y) \zeta - \theta(Y) X\} + \beta \{\bar{g}(JX, Y) \zeta - \theta(Y) FX\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Applying $\overline{\nabla}_X$ to $\overline{g}(\zeta, \xi_i) = 0$, $\overline{g}(\zeta, E_a) = 0$ and $\overline{g}(\zeta, N_i) = 0$ by turns and using (2.1), (2.3), (2.5)~(2.12), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^\ell(X, \zeta) &= -\alpha u_i(X), \quad h_a^s(X, \zeta) = -\alpha w_a(X), \\ h_i^*(X, \zeta) &= -\alpha v_i(X) + \beta \eta_i(X). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting (3.4) into (2.3) and using (2.5), we have

$$\nabla_X \zeta = -\alpha FX + \beta(X - \theta(X)\zeta). \quad (3.13)$$

We denote by λ_{ij} , μ_{ia} , ν_{ia} , κ_{ab} and χ_{ij} the 1-forms such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{ij}(X) &= h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = h_j^*(X, V_i), \quad \kappa_{ab}(X) = \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, W_b), \\ \mu_{ia}(X) &= h_i^\ell(X, W_a) = \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, V_i), \quad \chi_{ij}(X) = h_i^\ell(X, V_j), \\ \nu_{ai}(X) &= h_i^*(X, W_a) = \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, U_i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Note that, from (3.5)_{3,5} and (3.14)_{2,4}, we see that χ_{ij} and κ_{ab} are symmetric.

Denote by H' the distribution on $H(TM)$ such that

$$H' = J(\text{ltr}(TM)) \oplus_{\text{orth}} J(S(TM)^\perp), \quad TM = H \oplus H'.$$

Definition. We say that a lightlike submanifold M of \overline{M} is called

- (1) *irrotational* [10] if $\overline{\nabla}_X \xi_i \in \Gamma(TM)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$,
- (2) *solenoidal* [9] if A_{W_a} and A_{N_i} are $S(TM)$ -valued,
- (3) *statical* [9] if M is both irrotational and solenoidal.

Remark. From (2.5) and (3.12)₂, the item (1) is equivalent to

$$h_i^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = \phi_{ai}(X) = 0.$$

By using (3.12)₄, the item (2) is equivalent to

$$\eta_j(A_{N_i} X) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia}(X) = \eta_j(A_{E_a} X) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} . If F is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ , then the following statements are satisfied:*

- (1) \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold, i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 0$,
- (2) M is statical,
- (3) $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $J(S(TM^\perp))$ and H are parallel distributions on M ,
- (4) M is locally a product manifold $M_r \times M_{n-r} \times M^\#$, where M_r , M_{n-r} and $M^\#$ are leaves of $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, $J(S(TM^\perp))$ and H , respectively.

Proof. (1) As $\nabla_X F = 0$, taking the scalar product with U_j to (3.11), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) g(A_{N_i} X, U_j) + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n w_\alpha(Y) g(A_{E_\alpha} X, U_j) - \theta(Y) \{ \alpha v_j(X) - \beta \eta_j(Y) \} = 0.$$

Replacing Y by ζ to this equation, we have $\alpha v_j(X) - \beta \eta_j(X) = 0$. Therefore, $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold.

(2) From the last equation, we obtain

$$\bar{g}(A_{N_i} X, U_j) = 0, \quad v_{ai}(X) = \bar{g}(A_{E_a} X, U_j) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Replacing Y by ξ_j to (3.11) such that $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, \xi_j) W_a = 0.$$

From this equation and (2.13)₂, we obtain

$$h_i^\ell(X, \xi_j) = 0, \quad \phi_{ai}(X) = h_a^s(X, \xi_i) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Taking the scalar product with N_j to (3.11) such that $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) \bar{g}(A_{N_i} X, N_j) + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n w_\alpha(Y) \bar{g}(A_{E_\alpha} X, N_j) = 0.$$

From this equation and (2.13)₄, we obtain

$$\bar{g}(A_{N_i} X, N_j) = 0, \quad \rho_{ia}(X) = \bar{g}(A_{E_a} X, N_j) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we see that M is statical.

(3) Taking the scalar product with V_j and W_b to (3.11) by turns, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_j^\ell(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y) \lambda_{ji}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) \mu_{ja}(X), \\ h_b^s(X, Y) &= \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y) \kappa_{ab}(X), \end{aligned}$$

due to $v_{ai}(X) = 0$. Replacing Y by V_i to the second equation, we have

$$\mu_{ia}(X) = h_i^\ell(X, W_a) = \varepsilon_a h_a^s(X, V_i) = 0.$$

As $\rho_{ia} = 0$, $A_{W_a} X$ belongs to $S(TM)$ by (2.13)₄. As $A_{\xi_i}^* X$ and $A_{W_a} X$ belong to $S(TM)$ and $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, from the last three equations we get

$$A_{\xi_i}^* X = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{ij}(X) V_j, \quad A_{E_a} X = \sum_{b=r+1}^n \kappa_{ab}(X) W_b. \quad (3.18)$$

As $v_{aj}(X) = h_a^s(X, U_j) = 0$, taking $Y = U_j$ to (3.11), we get

$$A_{N_i} X = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{ji}(X) U_j. \quad (3.19)$$

Taking $Y \in \Gamma(H)$ and then, taking $Y = V_j$ to (3.11) by turns, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, Y)U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, Y)W_a = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r h_i^\ell(X, V_j)U_i + \sum_{a=r+1}^n h_a^s(X, V_j)W_a = 0,$$

respectively. Taking the scalar product with U_j and W_b to these two equations by turns, for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(H)$, we have

$$h_i^\ell(X, Y) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, Y) = 0, \quad h_i^\ell(X, V_j) = 0, \quad h_a^s(X, V_j) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

respectively. Taking the scalar product with $Z \in \Gamma(H_o)$ to (3.11), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^r u_i(Y)h_i^*(X, Z) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a w_a(Y)h_a^s(X, Z) = 0.$$

Taking $Y = U_k$ to this equation, we have

$$h_i^*(X, Y) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad Y \in \Gamma(H_o). \quad (3.21)$$

By directed calculations from (3.1) and by using (2.5), (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.16), (3.17), (3.20) and the fact that $\phi_{ai} = \rho_{ia} = 0$, we derive

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i, V_j) = g(\nabla_X V_i, V_j) = g(\nabla_X Y, V_i) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_i, W_a) = g(\nabla_X V_i, W_a) = g(\nabla_X Y, W_a) = 0,$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(H_o)$, or equivalently, we get

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(H), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

This result implies that H is a parallel distribution on M .

By using (3.4), (3.6), (3.15), (3.17), (3.20), (3.21) and $\rho_{ia} = 0$, we derive

$$g(\nabla_X U_i, N_j) = g(\nabla_X U_i, U_j) = g(\nabla_X U_i, Y) = 0,$$

$$g(\nabla_X W_a, N_j) = g(\nabla_X W_a, U_j) = g(\nabla_X W_a, Y) = 0,$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(H_o)$, or equivalently, we get

$$\nabla_X Z \in \Gamma(H'), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad Z \in \Gamma(H').$$

Thus, H' is also a parallel distribution of M .

(4) As $TM = H \oplus H'$, and H and H' are parallel distributions, by the decomposition theorem of de Rham [4], M is locally a product manifold $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are leaves of the distributions H' and H , respectively.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} . If U_i or V_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then $\alpha = \beta = 0$, i.e., \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold.*

Proof. (1) If U_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ to (3.6), we have $\alpha\eta_i(X) + \beta\nu_i(X) = 0$. Thus, $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Taking the scalar product with V_k and W_b to (3.6) by turns, we get $\tau_{ij} = 0$ and $\rho_{ia} = 0$, respectively. Applying J to (3.6) and using (2.1)₁, (3.12)₃, we obtain

$$A_{N_i}X = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_{ji}(X)U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \nu_{ai}(X)W_a. \quad (3.22)$$

Taking the scalar product with N_j to (3.22), we obtain $\eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0$.

(2) If V_i is parallel with respect ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ , U_k , V_k and W_b to (3.7) by turns, we have $\beta = 0$, $\tau_{ji} = 0$, $h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0$ and $\phi_{ai} = 0$, respectively. Applying J to (3.7) and using (2.1) and (3.12)₁, we get

$$A_{\xi_i}^*X = -\alpha u_i(X)\zeta + \sum_{j=1}^r \chi_{ij}(X)U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \mu_{ia}(X)W_a.$$

Taking the scalar product with U_k , we get $h_i^\ell(X, U_j) = 0$. Taking $X = U_i$ to (3.12)₁, we have $-\alpha = -\alpha u_i(U_i) = h_i^\ell(U_i, \zeta) = 0$. As $\alpha = 0$, we obtain

$$A_{\xi_i}^* X = \sum_{j=1}^r \chi_{ij}(X) U_j + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \mu_{ia}(X) W_a. \quad (3.23)$$

4. Submanifolds of Space Forms

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$. Then we have the following:*

- (1) α is a constant,
- (2) $\alpha\beta = 0$,
- (3) $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$ and $f_1 - f_3 = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) - \zeta\beta$.

Proof. Comparing the tangential, lightlike transversal and co-screen components of the two equations (2.4) and (2.14), and using (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= f_1 \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &+ f_2 \{\bar{g}(X, JZ)FY - \bar{g}(Y, JZ)FX + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)FZ\} \\ &+ f_3 \{\theta(X)\theta(Z)Y - \theta(Y)\theta(Z)X \\ &+ \bar{g}(X, Z)\theta(Y)\zeta - \bar{g}(Y, Z)\theta(X)\zeta\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^\ell(Y, Z)A_{N_i}X - h_i^\ell(X, Z)A_{N_i}Y\} \\ &+ \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{h_a^s(Y, Z)A_{E_a}X - h_a^s(X, Z)A_{E_a}Y\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h_i^\ell)(X, Z) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^r \{ \tau_{ji}(X) h_j^\ell(Y, Z) - \tau_{ji}(Y) h_j^\ell(X, Z) \} \\
& + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \phi_{ai}(X) h_a^s(Y, Z) - \phi_{ai}(Y) h_a^s(X, Z) \} \\
& = f_2 \{ u_i(Y) \bar{g}(X, JZ) - u_i(X) \bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2u_i(Z) \bar{g}(X, JY) \}. \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with N_i to (2.15), and then, substituting (4.1) into the resulting equation and using (2.13)₄, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, PZ) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^r \{ \tau_{ij}(Y) h_j^*(X, PZ) - \tau_{ij}(X) h_j^*(Y, PZ) \} \\
& + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a \{ \rho_{ia}(Y) h_a^s(X, PZ) - \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, PZ) \} \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^r \{ h_j^\ell(X, PZ) \eta_i(A_{N_j} Y) - h_j^\ell(Y, PZ) \eta_i(A_{N_j} X) \} \\
& = f_1 \{ g(Y, PZ) \eta_i(X) - g(X, PZ) \eta_i(Y) \} \\
& + f_2 \{ v_i(Y) \bar{g}(X, JPZ) - v_i(X) \bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2v_i(PZ) \bar{g}(X, JY) \} \\
& + f_3 \{ \theta(X) \eta_i(Y) - \theta(Y) \eta_i(X) \} \theta(PZ). \quad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.5)₁ and using (2.10), (2.12), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X h_j^\ell)(Y, U_i) &= (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) + g(A_{N_i} Y, \nabla_X V_j) - g(A_{\xi_j}^* Y, \nabla_X U_i) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^r h_i^*(X, U_k) h_k^\ell(Y, \xi_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), (3.2)~(3.7) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X h_j^\ell)(Y, U_i) &= (\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r \{ \tau_{kj}(X) h_k^\ell(Y, U_i) + \tau_{ik}(X) h_k^*(Y, V_j) \} \\
&- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{ \phi_{aj}(X) h_a^s(Y, U_i) + \varepsilon_a \rho_{ia}(X) h_a^s(Y, V_j) \} \\
&- g(A_{\xi_j}^* X, F(A_{N_i} Y)) - g(A_{\xi_j}^* Y, F(A_{N_i} X)) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^r \{ h_i^*(Y, U_k) h_k^\ell(X, \xi_j) + h_i^*(X, U_k) h_k^\ell(Y, \xi_j) \} \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r h_j^\ell(X, V_k) \eta_k(A_{N_i} Y) - \alpha^2 u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) \\
&- \beta^2 u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) + \alpha \beta \{ u_j(X) v_i(Y) - u_j(Y) v_i(X) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (4.2) such that replace i by j and take $Z = U_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, V_j) - (\nabla_Y h_i^*)(X, V_j) \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r \{ \tau_{ik}(X) h_k^*(Y, V_j) - \tau_{ik}(Y) h_k^*(X, V_j) \} \\
&- \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a \{ h_a^s(Y, V_j) \rho_{ia}(X) - h_a^s(X, V_j) \rho_{ia}(Y) \} \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^r \{ h_k^\ell(Y, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} X) - h_k^\ell(X, V_j) \eta_i(A_{N_k} Y) \} \\
&+ (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \{ u_j(X) \eta_i(Y) - u_j(Y) \eta_i(X) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\alpha\beta\{u_j(X)v_i(Y) - u_j(Y)v_i(X)\} \\
& = f_2\{u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y) + 2\delta_{ij}\bar{g}(X, JY)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this equation with (4.3) such that $PZ = V_j$ and using the facts that $h_i^\ell(X, V_j)$ are symmetric and $\eta_i(A_{N_j}X)$ are skew-symmetric with respect to i and j due to (2.13)₃ and (3.5)₃, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{f_1 - f_2 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\}[u_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - u_j(X)\eta_i(Y)] \\
& = 2\alpha\beta\{u_j(Y)v_i(X) - u_j(X)v_i(Y)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi_i$, $Y = U_j$ and $X = V_i$, $Y = U_j$, respectively, we have

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad \alpha\beta = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\eta_i(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, N_i)$ and using (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$(\nabla_X \eta_i)Y = -g(A_{N_i}X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)\eta_j(Y).$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.12)₃ and using (3.10), (3.14) and the last equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, \zeta) & = -(X\alpha)v_i(Y) + (X\beta)\eta_i(Y) \\
& + \alpha^2\theta(Y)\eta_i(X) + \beta^2\theta(X)\eta_i(Y) \\
& + \alpha \left\{ g(A_{N_i}X, FY) + g(A_{N_i}Y, FX) - \sum_{j=1}^r v_j(Y)\tau_{ij}(X) \right. \\
& \left. - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \varepsilon_a w_a(Y)\rho_{ia}(X) - \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\eta_i(A_{N_j}X) \right\} \\
& - \beta \left\{ g(A_{N_i}X, Y) + g(A_{N_i}Y, X) - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)\eta_j(Y) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this and (3.12) into (4.3) such that $PZ = \zeta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X\beta + [f_1 - f_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)]\theta(X)\}\eta_i(Y) \\ & - \{Y\beta + [f_1 - f_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)]\theta(Y)\}\eta_i(X) \\ & = (X\alpha)v_i(Y) - (Y\alpha)v_i(X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \zeta$ and $Y = \xi_i$, and taking $X = U_k$ and $Y = V_i$ by turns, we get

$$f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta, \quad U_i\alpha = 0, \quad \forall i.$$

Applying ∇_X to $h_i^\ell(Y, \zeta) = -\alpha u_i(Y)$ and using (3.9) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)u_i(Y) - \beta h_i^\ell(X, Y) \\ &+ \alpha \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(Y)\tau_{ji}(X) + \sum_{a=r+1}^n w_a(Y)\phi_{ai}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_i^\ell(X, FY) + h_i^\ell(Y, FX) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this and (3.12) into (4.2) such that $Z = \zeta$, we obtain

$$(X\alpha)u_i(Y) = (Y\alpha)u_i(X).$$

Replacing Y by U_i to this, we obtain $X\alpha = 0$. Thus, α is a constant.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a generic lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$. If one of $\{F, U_i, V_i\}$ is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ , then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.*

Proof. (1) If F is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, by Theorem 3.1, we get (3.19) and the results: $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\phi_{ia} = \rho_{ai} = \eta_i(A_{N_j}X) = 0$. As $\alpha = 0$, we see that $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 4.1.

Taking the scalar product with U_j to (3.19), we get

$$h_i^*(X, U_j) = 0.$$

Using this result, (3.6), (3.19) and the facts that $\rho_{ai} = 0$ and $FU_i = 0$, we get

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Substituting the last two equations into (4.3) with $PZ = U_j$, we have

$$f_1 \{v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} + f_2 \{v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)\} = 0,$$

due to $\rho_{ai} = \eta_i(A_{N_j}X) = 0$. Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = V_j$ to this equation, we obtain $f_1 = 0$. Therefore, $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and $\overline{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.

(2) If U_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then we get (3.22), $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\tau_{ij} = \rho_{ia} = \eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0$ by (1) of Theorem 3.2. As $\alpha = 0$, by Theorem 4.1, we have $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$. Taking the scalar product with U_j to (3.22), we get

$$h_i^*(X, U_j) = 0.$$

Applying F to (3.22) and using the facts that $FU_i = FW_a = 0$, we see that $F(A_{N_i}X) = 0$. Applying ∇_X to $h_i^*(Y, U_j) = 0$ and using (3.6), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X h_i^*)(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Substituting the last two equations into (4.3) with $PZ = U_j$, we have

$$f_1 \{v_j(Y)\eta_i(X) - v_j(X)\eta_i(Y)\} + f_2 \{v_i(Y)\eta_j(X) - v_i(X)\eta_j(Y)\} = 0,$$

due to $\tau_{ij} = \rho_{ia} = \eta_j(A_{N_i}X) = 0$. Taking $X = \xi_i$ and $Y = V_j$ to this equation, we obtain $f_1 = 0$. Therefore, $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$ and $\overline{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is flat.

(3) If V_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , then we get (3.23), $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\tau_{ij} = \phi_{ia} = h_j^\ell(X, \xi_i) = 0$ by (2) of Theorem 3.2. As $\alpha = \beta = 0$ by Theorem 4.1, $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$. Taking the scalar product with U_k to (3.23), we get

$$h_i^\ell(Y, U_j) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to this equation and using (3.6) and (3.12), we have

$$(\nabla_X h_i^\ell)(Y, U_j) = -g(A_{\xi_i}^* Y, F(A_{N_j} X)) - \sum_{a=r+1}^n \rho_{ja}(X) h_i^\ell(Y, W_a).$$

Substituting the last two equations into (4.2) with $Z = U_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & g(A_{\xi_i}^* X, F(A_{N_j} Y)) - g(A_{\xi_i}^* Y, F(A_{N_j} X)) \\ & + \sum_{a=r+1}^n \{\rho_{ja}(Y) h_i^\ell(X, W_a) - \rho_{ja}(X) h_i^\ell(Y, W_a)\} \\ & = f_2 \{u_i(Y) \eta_j(X) - u_i(X) \eta_j(Y) + 2\delta_{ij} \bar{g}(X, JY)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

As $h_i^\ell(\xi_j, X) = 0$ and $h_i^\ell(U_j, X) = 0$, we have $A_{\xi_i}^* \xi_j = 0$ and $A_{\xi_i}^* U_j = 0$. Taking $X = \xi_j$ and $Y = U_i$ to (4.4), we obtain $f_2 = 0$. Therefore $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$.

References

- [1] L. Carlitz, Gauss sums over finite fields of order 2^n , Acta Arith. 15 (1969), 247-265.
- [2] P. Alegre, D. E. Blair and A. Carriazo, Generalized Sasakian space form, Israel J. Math. 141 (2004), 157-183.
- [3] C. Călin, Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, Thesis, University of Iasi, Romania, 1998.

- [4] G. de Rham, Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 26 (1952), 328-344.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [6] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, *Inter. Electronic J. Geometry* 5(1) (2012), 108-119.
- [7] D. H. Jin, Indefinite generalized Sasakian space form admitting a generic lightlike submanifold, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 51(6) (2014), 1711-1726.
- [8] D. H. Jin and J. W. Lee, Generic lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold, *Math. Prob. Engin.* 2011, Art ID 610986, 1-16.
- [9] D. H. Jin and J. W. Lee, A semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature admits lightlike submanifolds, *Inter. J. of Math. Analysis* 9(25) (2015), 1215-1229.
- [10] D. N. Kupeli, *Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 366, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [11] J. A. Oubina, New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 32 (1985), 187-193.
- [12] K. Yano and M. Kon, Generic submanifolds, *Ann. di Math. Pura Appl.* 123 (1980), 59-92.
- [13] K. Yano and M. Kon, Generic submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds, *Kodai Math. J.* 3 (1980), 163-196.