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#### Abstract

A ring $A$ is called a -ring if $A$ is a prime ring and $A$ has no nonzero proper prime homomorphic image. The *-ring was introduced by Korolczuk in 1981. Since *-rings have an important role in radical theory of rings, the properties of *-ring have been being investigated Received: August 12, 2016; Accepted: October 19, 2016 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D60, 16S90, 16N60, 16N80.
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intensively. Since every ring can be viewed as a module over itself, the generalization of *-ring into module theory is an interesting investigation. We would like to present the generalization of *-rings in module theory named ${ }_{p}$-modules. An $A$-module $M$ is called a ${ }_{p}{ }^{-}$ module if $M$ is a prime $A$-module and $M$ has no nonzero proper prime submodule. According to the result of our investigation, we show that every $*$-ring is a ${ }_{p}$-module over itself. Furthermore, let $A$ be a ring, let $M$ be an $A$-module, and let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ with $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$, where $(0: M)_{A}=\{a \in A \mid a M=\{0\}\}$. We show that $M$ is a $*_{p}{ }^{-}$ module over $A$ if and only if $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $A / I$. On the other hand, the essential closure $*_{k}$ of the class of all $*$-rings is a special class of rings. As the last result of our investigation, we present the special class of modules determined by $*_{k}$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a ring. A ring $A$ is called a prime ring if $\{0\}$ is a prime ideal of A (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]). Any homomorphic image of a ring $A$ can be represented as $A / I$, where $I$ is an ideal of $A$. The homomorphic image $A / I$ of $A$ is called a prime homomorphic image if $A / I$ is a prime ring. The class of rings $\sigma$ is hereditary if $\sigma$ contains all ideals of a ring $A \in \sigma$. The class of rings $\sigma$ is essentially closed if $\sigma$ is closed under essential extensions. Let $\pi$ denote the class of all prime rings. A subclass $\mu$ of $\pi$ is called a special class if $\mu$ is hereditary and $\mu$ is essentially closed. For hereditary class of rings $\varrho$, the upper radical $\mathcal{U}(\varrho)$ is defined as the class of all ring $A$ such that $A$ has no nonzero homomorphic image in $\varrho$. The prime radical $\beta$ is the upper radical determined by the class of all prime rings $\pi$.

A prime ring $A$ is called a *-ring if $A$ has no nonzero proper ideal $I$ of $A$ such that $A / I$ is a prime ring (Korolczuk [6]). Some properties of $*$-rings were presented in (France-Jackson [2]). *-rings have been being studied intensively in radical theory of rings because of Gardner's question
mentioned in (Gardner [4]). Let * denote the class of all *-rings and let $*_{k}$ denote the essential closure of $*$. The essential closure ${ }_{k}$ of $*$ is a special class of rings. Gardner asked whether the prime radical $\beta$ coincide with the upper radical $\mathcal{U}\left(*_{k}\right)$ determined by $*_{k}$. (France-Jackson et al. [3]) have given an alternative solution of this question to have a positive answer.

On the other hand, let $M$ be an $A$-module. An $A$-module $M$ is called a prime A-module if $A M \neq\{0\}$ and for $m \in M$ and $J \triangleleft A$ such that $J m=\{0\}$ implies $m=0$ or $J M=\{0\}$. The set $(0: M)_{A}=\{a \in A \mid a M=\{0\}\}$ is called an annihilator of an $A$-module $M$. An $A$-module is faithful if $(0: M)_{A}=\{0\}$ (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]).

Theorem 1.1 (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]). Let A be a ring and let $I \unlhd A$.
(1) If $M$ is an $A / I$-module, then with scalar multiplication $a m=(a+I) m, M$ forms an A-module with $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$.
(2) If $M$ is an $A$-module and $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$, then $M$ is an $A / I$-module with the scalar multiplication $(a+I) m=a m$.
(3) If $M$ is an $A$-module and $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$, then $N$ is a submodule of the $A / I$-module if and only if $N$ is a submodule of the A-module $M$.
(4) $(0: M)_{A} / I=(0: M)_{A / I}$.
(Gardner and Wiegandt [5]) For every ring $A$, let $\Sigma_{A}$ denote the class of all $A$-modules $M$ with $A M \neq\{0\}$, and $\Sigma=\cup \Sigma_{A}$. Let $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)=$ $\cap\left((0: M)_{A} \mid M \in \Sigma_{A}\right)$ and we consider the class $\Sigma$ might satisfy the following conditions:

1. (M1) If $M \in \sum_{A / I}$, then $M \in \Sigma_{A}$.
2. (M2) If $M \in \Sigma_{A}$ and $I \unlhd A, I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$, then $M \in \sum_{A / I}$.
3. (M3) If $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)=\{0\}$, then $\Sigma_{B} \neq\{\varnothing\}$ for all nonzero ideals $B$ of $A$.
4. (M4) If $\Sigma_{B} \neq\{\varnothing\}$ whenever $\{0\} \neq B \unlhd A$, then $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)=\{0\}$.

Proposition 1.2 (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]). Let A be a ring and let $I \unlhd A$. Then there is a prime A-module $M$ such that $(0: M)_{A}=I$ if and only if $I$ is a prime ideal of $A$.

Definition 1.3 (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]). For every ring $A$, let $\Sigma_{A}$ be a class of prime $A$-modules and let $\Sigma=\bigcup \Sigma_{A}$. The class $\Sigma$ is called a special class of modules if $\Sigma$ satisfies (M1), (M2), and the following conditions:

1. (SM3) If $M \in \Sigma_{A}, B \unlhd A$ and $B M \neq\{0\}$, then $M \in \Sigma_{B}$.
2. (SM4) If $B \unlhd A$ and $M \in \Sigma_{B}$, then $B M \in \Sigma_{A}$.

If $\Sigma$ is a special class of modules, then $\mu=\{A \mid A$ has a faithful module in $\left.\Sigma_{A}\right\}$ is a special class of rings. Conversely, if $\mu$ is a special class of rings and we define $\Sigma_{A}=\left\{M \mid M\right.$ is a prime $A$-module and $\left.A /(0: M)_{A} \in \mu\right\}$, then $\Sigma=\bigcup \Sigma(A)$ is a special class of modules (Nicholson and Watters [7]).

Example 1.4. Let $\pi$ denote the class of all prime rings and for every ring $A$ let $\Sigma_{A}=\left\{M \mid M\right.$ is a prime $A$-module and $\left.A /(0: M)_{A} \in \pi\right\}$. Since $\pi$ is a special class of rings, the class $\Sigma=\bigcup \Sigma_{A}$ is a special class of modules.

These basic theories motivate us to investigate the special class of modules generated by ${ }_{k}$.

## 2. Main Results

Let $M$ be an $A$-module. A homomorphic image $M / N$ of $A$-module $M$ is called a prime homomorphic image of $M$ if $M / N$ is a prime $A$-module. Since every ring can be viewed over itself, we will give a new type of module
named $*_{p}$-module. This kind of module is motivated by the existence of *-ring.

Definition 2.1. Let $M$ be an $A$-module. $A$-module $M$ is called a ${ }^{*}{ }_{p}$ module if $M$ is a prime $A$-module and $M$ has no nonzero proper prime homomorphic image.

The necessary and sufficient condition for $A$-module $M$ to be a ${ }^{*}{ }_{p}$ module is given below.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M$ be an A-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over $A$.
2. $M$ is a prime A-module and every proper prime submodule $N$ of $M$ implies $N=\{0\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $M$ be a ${ }_{p}$-module over $A$. By the definition, we have $M$ is a prime $A$-module. Furthermore, $M$ has no nonzero proper prime image. Let $N$ be a proper prime submodule of $M$. Suppose $N \neq\{0\}$. Then $M / N$ is a nonzero proper prime homomorphic image of $M$, a contradiction.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $M$ be a prime $A$-module and every proper prime submodule $N$ of $M$ implies $N=\{0\}$. Suppose $M / N$ is a nonzero prime homomorphic image of $M$. This gives $N$ is a proper prime submodule of $M$. This implies that $N=\{0\}$. So, we may conclude that $M$ has no nonzero proper prime homomorphic image.

Some modules are naturally ${ }_{p}$-module. In the next lemma, we show that every simple module $M$ over a ring $A$ is a ${ }_{p}$-module.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a commutative ring and $M$ be an $A$-module. If $M$ is a simple $A$-module, then $M$ is $a * p$-module over $A$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $m \in M$ such that $a m=0$. Suppose $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow a$ $\in(0: m)$. Thus, $m \in M_{r}$, where $M_{r}$ is a torsion submodule of $M$. Since $M$ is a simple $A$-module, we have $M_{r}=\{0\} \Rightarrow m=0$ or $M_{r}=M \Rightarrow a \in$ ( $0: M$ ). Hence, $M$ is a prime $A$-module. Since $M$ is a simple $A$-module, $A$-module $M$ has no nonzero proper prime homomorphic image. So, $M$ is a ${ }^{2} p$-module.

Example 2.4. 1. (Adkins and Weintraub [1]). An abelian group $A$ is a simple $\mathbb{Z}$-module if and only if $A$ is a cyclic group of prime order. Hence, $A$ is a $*_{p}$-module over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers if $A$ is a cyclic group of prime order.
2. The integers modulo prime number $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a simple $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Hence, $A$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
3. (Adkins and Weintraub [1]). Let $V=\mathbb{R}^{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and consider the linier transformation $T: V \rightarrow V$ defined by $T(u, v)=(-v, u)$. Then the $\mathbb{R}[X]$-module $V_{T}$ is a simple $\mathbb{R}[X]$-module. So, we may deduce that $V_{T}$ is $*_{p}$-module over $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

The following theorem shows that every $*$-ring is a ${ }_{p}$-module.
Theorem 2.5. Let $A$ be a ring. If $A$ is $a *$-ring, then $A$ is $a *_{p}$-module over itself.

Proof. We will show that $A$ is a prime $A$-module. For this step, we can follow Corollary 3.14.17 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]) or we give the other way to proof. Since $A$ is a prime ring, $A A=A^{2} \neq\{0\}$. Suppose $A$ is not a prime $A$-module. Then there exists $J \triangleleft A$ with $J A \neq\{0\}$ and $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $J a=\{0\}$. Since $0 \neq a \in A$, we can construct the nonzero ideal $\langle a\rangle$ of $A$ generated by $a$ such that $J\langle a\rangle=\{0\}$, contrary to $A$ is a prime ring.

Suppose $A$ is not a $*_{p}$-module. Then there exists a nonzero proper prime submodule $I$ of $A$. In the other words, $A / I$ is a prime $A$-module. Now define $(0: A / I)_{A}=\{a \in A \mid a(A / I)=\{0\}\}$. Clearly $(0: A / I)_{A} \neq\{0\}$, because $0 \neq I$ $\subseteq(0: A / I)_{A}$. We will show that $(0: A / I)_{A}$ is a prime ideal of $A$. Let $J, K \triangleleft A$ such that $J K \subseteq(0: A / I)_{A}$. If $K \nsubseteq(0: A / I)_{A}$, let $k \in K, \bar{a}=$ $a+I \in A / I$ be such that $k \bar{a}=\{\overline{0}\}$. Then $J(k \bar{a}) \subseteq J K \bar{a} \subseteq(0: A / I)_{A} \bar{a}$ $=\{\overline{0}\}$. So, $J(A / I)=\{\overline{0}\}$. This gives $J \subseteq(0: A / I)_{A}$. Hence, $(0: A / I)_{A}$ is a prime ideal of $A$, contrary to $A$ is a $*$-ring.

The converse of Theorem 2.5 is not true in general.
Example 2.6. The ring $J=\{2 x / 2 y+1 \mid \operatorname{gcd}(2 x, 2 y+1)=1, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a *-ring. By Theorem 2.5 , we have $J$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $J$. However, the module $J$ over itself is not a simple module.

Lemma 2.7. Let $A$ be a ring. If $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over $A$, then every nonzero proper homomorphic image of $a *_{p}$-module over $A$ is not a ${ }^{*}$-module over $A$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a ring and consider $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $A$. Suppose $M / N$ is a nonzero proper homomorphic image of $M$. Clearly, $M / N$ is not a prime $A$-module. Hence, $M / N$ is not a $*_{p}$-module over $A$.

In the following theorem, we give a sufficient condition for an $A$-module $M$ to be a ${ }_{p}$-module over $A$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $I$ be an ideal of a ring $A$ with $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$ and let $M$ be an $A$-module such that $A M \neq\{0\}$. If $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over the factor ring $A / I$, then $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over $A$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a ${ }_{p}$-module over the factor ring $A / I$. Then $M$ is a prime $A / I$-module. By Proposition 3.14.15 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), we have $M$ is a prime $A$-module. Suppose there exists a nonzero proper prime homomorphic image $M / N$ of $M$ over $A$. It follows from Proposition 3.14.15 in Gardner and Wiegandt [5], we have $M / N$ is a prime $A / I$-module. In the other words, $M$ has a nonzero proper prime homomorphic image over $A / I$, contrary to $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module. Hence, $M$ has no nonzero proper prime homomorphic image over $A$. Thus, $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $A$.

The following theorem shows the consequence of the existence of a * $p$-module $M$ over a ring $A$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $I$ be an ideal of a ring A such that $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$ and let $M$ be an A-module such that $A M \neq\{0\}$. If $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over the ring $A$, then $M$ is $a *_{p}$-module over $A / I$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a ${ }_{p}$-module over the ring $A$ and let $I$ be an ideal of a ring $A$ such that $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$. Clearly, $M$ is a prime $A / I$-module. Suppose there exists a nonzero proper prime homomorphic image $M / N$ of $M$ over $A / I$. Then $M / N$ is a prime $A / I$-module. By Proposition 3.14 .15 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), we have $M / N$ is a prime $A$-module, contrary to $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module. So, we may conclude that $M$ is a $*_{p}$-module over $A / I$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $*_{k}$ be the essential closure of the class of all *-rings and for every ring $A$ let $\Sigma_{A}=\{M \mid M$ is a prime A-module with $\left.A /(0: M)_{A} \in *_{k}\right\}$. Then the class $\Sigma=U \Sigma_{A}$ is a special class of modules.

Proof. We can follow the construction of a special class of modules generated by a special class of rings presented in (Nicholson and Watters [7]) or we will explain the detail of proof by showing that the class $\Sigma=U \Sigma_{A}$ satisfies (M1), (M2), (SM3), and (SM4). Let $M$ be an $A$-module such that
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$M \in \sum_{A / I}$. Then $M$ is a prime $A / I$-module with $(A / I) /(0: M)_{A / I} \in *_{k}$.
By Proposition 3.14.15 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), $M$ is a prime A-module. Let $\bar{a} \in(0: M)_{A / I} \Rightarrow \bar{a} M=\{0\}$, where $\bar{a}=a+I$ for some $a \in A$. Since $\{0\}=(a+I) M=a M, a \in(0: M)_{A}$ and by the assumption $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$ implies $\bar{a}=a+I \in(0: M)_{A} / I$. Hence, $(0: M)_{A / I} \subseteq$ $(0: M)_{A} / I$. On the other hand, let $a \in(0: M)_{A} \Rightarrow a M=\{0\}$. Since $\{0\}=a M=(a+I) M \Rightarrow a+I \in(0: M)_{A / I}$. Hence, $(0: M)_{A} / I \subseteq(0: M)_{A / I}$. So, we may conclude that $(0: M)_{A} / I=(0: M)_{A / I}$. This gives us the following isomorphism $A /(0: M)_{A} \cong(A / I) /(0: M)_{A} / I=(A / I) /(0: M)_{A / I}$ $\in *_{k}$. We can infer that $M \in \Sigma_{A}$.

Let $M \in \sum_{A}$. Then $M$ is a prime $A$-module with $A /(0: M)_{A} \in *_{k}$. By following Proposition 3.14.15 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), we have $M$ is a prime $A / I$-module, where $I \subseteq(0: M)_{A}$. Since $A /(0: M)_{A} \in *_{k}$ and $(A / I) /(0: M)_{A / I} \cong A /(0: M)_{A}$, we have $M \in \sum_{A / I}$.

Let $M \in \Sigma_{A}$ and let $B \triangleleft A$ such that $B M \neq\{0\}$.
By Proposition 3.14.13 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), we have $M$ is a prime $B$-module. Since $B /(0: M)_{B}=B /\left(B \cap(0: M)_{A}\right) \cong\left(B+(0: M)_{A}\right)$ $/(0: M)_{A} \triangleleft A /(0: M)_{A} \in *_{k}$ and $*_{k}$ is a special class of rings, we have $B /(0: M)_{B} \in *_{k}$.

Let $B \triangleleft A$ and let $M \in \sum_{M}$. Then $M$ is a prime $B$-module with $B /(0: M)_{B} \in *_{k}$. By Proposition 3.14.14 in (Gardner and Wiegandt [5]), we have $B M$ is a prime $A$-module with respect to a $\sum b_{i} m_{i}=\sum\left(a b_{i}\right) m_{i}$, $a \in A, b_{i} \in B, m_{i} \in M$. We will show that $A /(0: B M)_{A} \in *_{k}$. Furthermore, $B /(0: M)_{B}=B /\left(B \cap(0: B M)_{A}\right) \cong\left(B+(0: B M)_{A}\right) /(0: B M)_{A} \in *_{k}$. On the other hand, $\left(B+(0: B M)_{A}\right) /(0: B M)_{A} \triangleleft A /(0: B M)_{A}$. Since ${ }^{*} k$ is a special class of rings, ${ }_{k}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\text { If }\{0\} \neq I \triangleleft A, I \in *_{k} \text { and } A \text { is a prime ring, then } A \in *_{k}
$$

We have the following facts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(B+(0: B M)_{A}\right) /(0: B M)_{A} \triangleleft A /(0: B M)_{A} \text { and } \\
& \left(B+(0: B M)_{A}\right) /(0: B M)_{A} \text { is a prime ring. }
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we may conclude that $A /(0: B M)_{A} \in{ }^{*}$. This implies $B M \in \sum_{A}$. Hence, the class $\sum=\bigcup \sum_{A}$, where $\sum_{A}=\{M \mid M$ is a prime $A$-module such that $A /(0: M)_{A} \in *_{k}$, is the special class of modules determined by ${ }_{k}$.
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