



## ON THE ASSOCIATED PRIME IDEALS OF GENERALIZED $d$ -COHOMOLOGY MODULES

Mirsadegh Sayedsadeghi

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Payame Noor University (PNU)

P. O. Box, 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran

e-mail: msayedsadeghi@gmail.com

### Abstract

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $R$ -modules, where  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring with identity element. We provide conditions on modules so that associated prime ideals of generalized  $d$ -cohomology module

$H_d^i(M, N)$ , where  $d$  is a nonnegative integer, are finite.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this note,  $R$  denotes a Noetherian (commutative with nonzero identity) ring and  $d$  a nonnegative integer. Let  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  denote the category of  $R$ -modules, and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. The singular set  $S_k^*(M)$  ( $k \geq 0$ ) contains all prime ideals of  $R$  satisfying  $\text{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq k$ . Let

$$\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal of } R \text{ with } \dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq d\}.$$

---

Received: April 13, 2016; Revised: July 13, 2016; Accepted: July 29, 2016

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A30, 13D45.

Keywords and phrases: biequidimensional, finitely generated, associated prime,  $d$ -cohomology.

Then with the reverse inclusion, the set  $\Sigma$  is a system of ideals of  $R$  in the sense of [2, p. 21]. Following [1], for an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $L_d(M) = \{m \in M \mid \exists \mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma, \mathfrak{a}m = 0\}$ , and for  $i \geq 0$ ,  $H_d^i(-)$  be the  $i$ th right derived functor of  $L_d(-)$ . In [9], the  $d$ -transform  $T_d(M) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$  on the category of  $R$ -modules was defined.

Now, we define  $L_d(-, -), T_d(-, -) : \mathcal{C}(R) \times \mathcal{C}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(R)$  by

$$L_d(M, N) := \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N),$$

$$T_d(M, N) := \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}M, N).$$

Also, for  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $H_d^i(M, -) := \mathcal{R}^i L_d(M, -)$  be defined for all nonnegative integers  $i$ . It is clear that

$$H_d^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N),$$

and call it to be the  $i$ th *generalized  $d$ -cohomology* module of  $M, N$  with support of dimension  $\leq d$ .

Banica and Stoia [1] have studied  $d$ -cohomology module  $H_d^i(M)$ . Zamani et al. [7-9] have explored  $T_d(M, N)$  and  $H_d^i(M, N)$  intimately. The aim of this paper is to study the associated prime ideals of  $H_d^i(M, N)$  and  $T_d(M, N)$  whenever  $M, N$  are finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Also, this provides some results on sets  $\text{Supp}(T_d(M, N))$  and  $\text{Supp}(H_d^1(M, N))$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, the associated prime ideals  $T_d(M, N)$  and  $H_d^i(M, N)$  are studied. It is obtained that  $\text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N))$  and  $\text{Ass}_R(H_d^i(M, N))$  are finite under certain conditions for all  $i \geq 0$ . It is noted that a finite

dimensional Noetherian ring  $R$  is said to be *biequidimensional* if  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(R)$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , and  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(R)$ , for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R)$ , where  $\text{Ass}(R)$  denotes the set of all associated prime ideals of  $R$ .

The results, collected in Proposition 1, include some connections of the generalized  $d$ -transform functors and modules.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M, N$  be two  $R$ -modules. If  $M$  is finitely generated, then*

- (i)  $T_d(T_d(M, N)) \cong T_d(M, N)$ .
- (ii)  $T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, T_d(N))$ .
- (iii)  $T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \cong T_d(M, N)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Using the definition, [6, Theorem 2.75] and [4, Satz 3], it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_d(T_d(M, N)) &= \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, T_d(M, N)) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} (\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{b}M, N))) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} (\varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{b}M, N))) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} (\varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}M, N)) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} (\varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{b}M, \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, N))) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} (\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{b}M, \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, N))) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{b} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{b}M, T_d(N)) \cong T_d(M, T_d(N)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, using [9, Theorem 2.15], we have

$$T_d(T_d(M, N)) \cong T_d(M, N).$$

(ii) By using the definition and part (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) &= \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a} \otimes M, N) \\
 &\cong \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{a} \in \Sigma} \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, N)) \\
 &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, T_d(N)).
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_d(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow T_d(M, N) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_d^1(M, N)$$

provides the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_d(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow T_d(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow 0. \quad (\#)$$

Since  $L_d(L_d(M, N)) = L_d(M, N)$  and  $L_d(\text{Im } \alpha) = \text{Im } \alpha$ , by [9, Corollary 2.6],  $T_d(L_d(M, N)) = 0$  and  $T_d(\text{Im } \alpha) = 0$ . Hence, by part (i) on applying the functor  $T_d(-)$  to the exact sequence  $(\#)$ , we have

$$T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \cong T_d(T_d(M, N)) \cong T_d(M, N).$$

Now, by Proposition 1, the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M, N$  be two finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N)) = \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Ass}_R(N/L_d(N))$  and so  $\text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N))$  is finite.*

**Proof.** By [8, Theorem 1],  $\text{Ass}_R(T_d(N)) = \text{Ass}_R(N/L_d(N))$ . Now, by Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N)) &= \text{Ass}_R(T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N))) \\
 &= \text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, T_d(N)))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Ass}_R(T_d(N)) \\
&= \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Ass}_R(N/L_d(N)).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if  $N$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Ass}_R(N/L_d(N))$  is finite and so  $\text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N))$  is finite.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $M, N$  be two finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Supp}(T_d(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(N/L_d(N))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(T_d(M, N))$ . Then there exists  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R(T_d(M, N))$  so that  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Using Theorem 2,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R(N/L_d(N))$  and so there exists  $0 \neq n + L_d(N) \in N/L_d(N)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} = \text{Ann}_R(n + L_d(N))$ . It is clear that  $\frac{n + L_d(N)}{1} \neq 0$  in the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $(N/L_d(N))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(N/L_d(N))$  and so  $\text{Supp}(T_d(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(N/L_d(N))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $M, N$  be two finitely generated  $R$ -modules. If  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ , then  $\text{Supp}(H_d^1(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(N/L_d(N))$ .*

**Proof.** Using Proposition 1 and [9, Corollary 2], we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Supp}(T_d(M, N)) &= \text{Supp}(T_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N))) \\
&= \text{Supp}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)/L_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N))).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)/L_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \rightarrow T_d(M, N) \rightarrow H_d^1(M, N) \rightarrow 0$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Supp}(T_d(M, N)) &= \text{Supp}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)/L_d(\text{Hom}_R(M, N))) \\
&\cup \text{Supp}(H_d^1(M, N)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Corollary 3,

$$\text{Supp}(H_d^1(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(T_d(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(N/L_d(N)). \quad \square$$

By definition, we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_R(L_d(M, N)) &= \text{Ass}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, L_d(N))) \\ &= \text{Supp}(M) \cap \text{Ass}_R(L_d(N)). \end{aligned}$$

Below is the following general theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M, N$  be two  $R$ -modules and  $t$  be a positive integer. If  $M$  is finitely generated, then*

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_d^{t-i}(N))).$$

**Proof.** By [6, Theorem 10.47], there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r,s} := \text{Ext}_R^r(M, H_d^s(N)) \Rightarrow_r H_d^{r+s}(M, N).$$

For all  $i \geq 2$ , we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_i^{0,t} \rightarrow E_i^{0,t} \xrightarrow{d_i^{0,t}} E_i^{i,t-i+1}. \quad (*)$$

Since  $E_i^{0,t} = \text{Ker } d_{i-1}^{0,t} / \text{Im } d_{i-1}^{1-i,t+i-2}$  and  $E_i^{i,j} = 0$  for all  $j < 0$ , we may use  $(*)$  to obtain

$$\text{Ker } d_{t+2}^{i,t-i} \cong E_{t+2}^{i,t-i} \cong \dots \cong E_\infty^{i,t-i}$$

for all  $0 \leq i \leq t$ . There exists a finite filtration

$$0 = \varphi^{t+1}H^t \subseteq \varphi^tH^t \subseteq \dots \subseteq \varphi^1H^t \subseteq \varphi^0H^t = H_d^t(M, N)$$

such that  $E_\infty^{i,t-i} \cong \varphi^iH^t / \varphi^{i+1}H^t$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq t$ . Now, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varphi^{i+1}H^t \rightarrow \varphi^iH^t \rightarrow E_\infty^{i,t-i} \rightarrow 0$$

$(0 \leq i \leq t)$  in conjunction with

$$E_\infty^{i,t-i} \cong \text{Ker } d_{t+2}^{i,t-i} \subseteq \text{Ker } d_2^{i,t-i} \subseteq E_2^{i,t-i}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ass}_R(\varphi^i H^t) &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\varphi^{i+1} H^t) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_\infty^{i, t-i}) \\
 &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\varphi^{i+1} H^t) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{i, t-i}) \\
 &\Rightarrow \text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N)) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\varphi^1 H^t) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{0, t}) \\
 &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\varphi^2 H^t) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{1, t-1}) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{0, t}) \subseteq \dots \\
 &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(0) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{0, t}) \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{1, t-1}) \cup \dots \cup \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{t, 0}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(E_2^{i, t-i}) = \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_d^{t-i}(N)))$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring, quotient of a regular biequidimensional ring,  $M, N$  be two finitely generated  $R$ -modules and  $t$  be a positive integer. If  $\dim(S_{t+d}^*(N)) \leq d$ , then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N))$  is finite.*

**Proof.** By [1, Theorem of finiteness],  $H_d^i(N)$  is finitely generated for all  $i \leq t$  and so  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_d^{t-i}(N))$  is finitely generated. Hence, by Theorem 5,  $\text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N))$  is finite for all  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $M, N$  be two finitely generated  $R$ -modules and  $t$  be a positive integer. If  $L_d(N) = N$ , then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N))$  is finite.*

**Proof.** By [9, Corollary 2.5], we have  $H_d^i(N) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Hence, by Theorem 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ass}_R(H_d^t(M, N)) &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_d^{t-i}(N))) \\
 &= \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(M, L_d(N))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  and  $L_d(N)$  are finitely generated, the proof is complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referees for their constructive suggestions and comments which helped in the improvement of the presentation of manuscript.

### References

- [1] C. Banica and M. Stoia, Singular sets of a module and local cohomology, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* 16 (1976), 923-934.
- [2] N. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [3] A. Grothendieck, *Local Cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Math, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [4] H. Lenzing, Endlich Präsentierbare Moduln, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 20 (1969), 262-266.
- [5] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [6] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Springer Science, 2009.
- [7] N. Zamani, M. H. Bijan-Zadeh and M. S. Sayedsadeghi, Cohomology with support of dimension  $\leq d$ , *J. Algebra Appl.* 15 (2016), 10 pp. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816500420.
- [8] N. Zamani, M. H. Bijan-Zadeh and M. S. Sayedsadeghi,  $d$ -transform functor and some finiteness and isomorphism results, *Vietnam J. Math.* 43 (2014), 179-186.
- [9] N. Zamani, M. S. Sayedsadeghi, M. H. Bijan-Zadeh and K. Ahmadi-Amoli, On functors  $D_d(-)$  and  $D_d(M, -)$ , *Scientific Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 7 (2014), 622-628.